

CMFRI bulletin 42

Part One

AUGUST 1988



NATIONAL SEMINAR ON SHELLFISH RESOURCES AND FARMING

TUTICORIN

19-21 January, 1987

Session - I

**CENTRAL MARINE FISHERIES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)
P. B. No. 2704, E. R. G. Road, Cochin-682 031, India**

37- BIOCHEMICAL CHANGES IN THE OYSTER *CRASSOSTREA MADRASENSIS* (PRESTON) WITH MATURATION

D. C. V. EASTERSON AND D. KANDASAMI
Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute. Cochin-662 032

INTRODUCTION

Central Marine Fisheries Institute has perfected the technique of culturing the common edible oyster *Crassostrea madrasensis* (Preston). Venkataraman and Chari 1951 had studied the fluctuation in biochemical composition in the whole oyster meat for different months in the naturally growing oysters of Ennore, Madras. In the present paper a study has been made about the biochemical composition with maturity in male and female oysters cultured at Tuticorin, Tamil Nadu.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The edible oyster, *Crassostrea madrasensis* were collected from the Institute's Farm at the Tuticorin Bay. The oysters were carefully opened and gonadal smear examined microscopically. The stages of maturity were divided into five namely, immature, maturing, ripe, spent and indeterminate. In the indeterminate stage the sexes were not distinguishable. Since oysters attain first maturing by the first year, such older oysters were categorised as indeterminate, which were either in post spent stage or in the process of sex change. In the immature stage sex could be distinguished. In the maturing female and male oysters the developing stages of the gametes were easily distinguishable but the gametes were not fully ripe. The eggs were elongated and dense. In the ripe stage eggs were free, less granular and oozing; in the males the sperms were easily distinguishable. In the spent stage the gonads were generally brownish, watery and a few unreleased gametes could be observed here and there which were in the stages of absorption.

From each oyster, mantle, gills and adductor muscle were carefully dissected out. The rest of the tissue has been taken herein as visceral mass. Tissues of 3-5 oysters of particular stage were pooled, of which a part was used for the determination of moisture by drying at 55° C in

a hot air oven and the rest were minced and from this weighed portions were taken for the determination of lipid, protein and carbohydrate. The tissues used for moisture estimation were used for the determination of ash by incineration at 600° C in a muffle furnace,

Protein was estimated by biuret method after Gornall et al (Dawson et al 1969). Determination of total carbohydrate was carried out by anthrone method (Umbreit et al 1959) and lipid by Folch's method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data obtained are given in tables 1-3. The values for moisture and ash though significantly varied between the tissues, for the sarte tissue did not fluctuate very much and so were pooled together. In visceral mass the moisture content varied between 77.93% to 82.62%, and the high moisture content was observed in oysters which were in spent stage, Venkataraman

TABLE: 1 *Organwise moisture and ash content /" percentage.*

| | Moisture | Ash |
|-----------------|-------------|-----|
| Mantle | 79.50 | 6.1 |
| Gill | 81.11 | 6.6 |
| Adductor muscle | 78.00 | 5.6 |
| Visceral mass | 77.95-82.62 | 3.9 |

and Chari (1951) have found the total moisture content to vary between 76.67% to 85.04% in naturally growing whole edible oyster of Ennore, Madras, Further these authors dried the sample at 105° C. The same authors have also found the ash content to vary from 0.52% to 2.06%. Lipid content was found to vary with maturation but for the same stage, between the sexes, differences were not significant; therefore the data were pooled stage-wise and

average taken. In different organs the lipid content fluctuated between 0.20% to 2.20%. The lowest values were obtained in the mantle tissue and the highest in the visceral mass during ripe condition. Venkataraman and Chari (1951) did not study the fluctuation with maturation but they found for the whole oyster meat the fat content to vary between 1.36%-3.07% during different months.

TABLE: 2 *Percentage lipid content In different stages.*

| | Imma- ture | Matur- ing | Ripe | Spent | Indeter- minate |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------|-------|--------------------|
| Mantle | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Gill | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.71 |
| Adductor muscle | 0.80 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.83 |
| Visceral mass | 1.00 | 1.61 | 2.20 | 1.20 | 1.91 |

Protein content was found to be minimum (8.09%) in the gills of immature female oysters. The highest values (16.00%) were observed in the visceral mass of ripe males. Oysters which were in ripe, spent and indeterminate stages contained higher percentage of protein. Among the tissues adductor muscle showed lesser fluctuation between stages of maturity (11.63%-15.77%). Venkataraman and Chari (1951) have found the protein content in the whole oyster meat to vary seasonally between 5.72% to 13.31%.

The percentage of total carbohydrate content in the tissues varied between 0.9 to 8.6. The higher carbohydrate content was met with in the mantle and in the gills. Visceral mass in the maturing and ripe oysters contained lesser percentage of carbohydrate. For the whole oysters meat the carbohydrate (glycogen) content varied in different months between

TABLE:3 *Protein and carbohydrate content (%) in various tissues with maturation in male and female oysters.*

| | Male | | | | | Female | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|---------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|--|
| | Immature | Maturing | Ripe | Spent | Indeterminate | Immature | Maturing | Ripe | Spent | |
| PROTEIN | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mantle | 12.46 | 10.71 | 16.24 | 13.00 | 15.58 | 11.76 | 17.90 | 15.01 | 12.52 | |
| Gill | 10.57 | 9.77 | 13.93 | 12.04 | 12.85 | 8.09 | 11.53 | 11.82 | 12.89 | |
| Adductor muscle | 11.90 | 15.77 | 14.92 | 12.03 | 11.40 | 13.24 | 14.23 | 15.00 | 11.63 | |
| Visceral mass | 14.32 | 10.56 | 16.00 | 15.82 | 15.05 | 13.22 | 14.82 | 12.01 | 12.84 | |
| CARBOHYDRATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mantle | 3.3 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 8.6 | 5.2 | 0.6 | |
| Gill | 1.9 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | |
| Adductor muscle | 1.1 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 3.1 | |
| Visceral mass | 4.9 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 5.3 | |

0.44%-5.85% (Venkataraman and Chari 1951). The higher variation between the present work and that of Venkataraman and Chari (1951) is due to the fact that in the present work total carbohydrate content was measured while the earlier workers determined the glycogen content only,

REFERENCES

DAWSON, R. M. C, D. C. ELLIOTT, W. H. ELLIOTT, AND K.M.JONES. 1969.

Data For Biochemical Research. II Edition, Oxford University Press, London.

U^{^^}BREIT, W. W., R. H. BURRIS AND J. F. STAUFFER. 1959. *Marjometric Techni-^{^^^} B^{^^g^^} Publishing Co., Minne-^{g^},J5*

VENKATARAjVIAN, R. AND S. T. CHARI. 1951. Studies on oysters and clams: biochemical variations. *Indian J. Med. Res.*, (4): 533-541.