



## Socio Economics of Mussel Farming: Case Studies

Vipinkumar.V.P,  
CMFRI, Cochin.

---

### Introduction

Rational utilization of common property resources for sustainable development without endangering the environment is possible through community participation. Mussel farming offers good scope for development in our open waters for enhancing food and livelihood security of the stakeholders in our coastal agro climatic zones. Mussel farming has already been proved as one of the profitable enterprises in the coastal belts as a subsidiary income-deriving source of rural fishermen community. The experimental trials conducted by CMFRI have proved the techno-economic feasibility of mussel farming (Asokan et al, 2001 and Vipinkumar.V.P et al, 2001). Here an attempt has been made on exploration of two case studies in Kerala and Karnataka on socio economics of Self Help Groups of fisherfolk engaged in Mussel Farming.

A Self Help Group (SHG) consists of members linked by a common bond like caste, sub-caste, community, place of origin, activity etc. The Group Dynamics of these SHG's refer to the interaction of forces between the members. It is the internal nature of the groups as to how they are formed, what their structures and processes are, how they function and affect the individual members and the organization. (Lewin *et al.*1960). In an intensive study of Group Dynamics, Pfeiffer and Jones (1972) identified the Group Dynamics factors as to how the group is organised, the manner in which the group is led, the amount of training in membership and leadership skills, the tasks given to the groups, its prior history of success or failure etc. In a detailed study of Group Dynamics, Hersey and Blanchard (1995) gave emphasis on helping and hindering roles individuals play in groups such as establishing, aggressive, persuading, manipulative, committing, dependent, attending and avoidance.

### Case Study 1

Kasargod, the extreme north district of Kerala is particularly notable for mussel farming as it has been successfully accomplished by the women's Self Help Groups (SHGs) for the past few years. These groups were given financial assistance in the scheme namely, SGSY (Swarnajayanthi Gramaswa Røsgar Yojana) by the state government which takes care of economic empowerment of weaker sections (Vipinkumar, 2001). Subsidies, bank loans etc are the part and parcel of it and it essentially focuses attention on poverty alleviation through organised Self Help Groups. This programme looks into training, credit, marketing, technical knowledge and basic facilities necessary for the upliftment of the poor to bring them above the poverty line within three years in such a way that they should have a monthly earnings of at least Rs 2000 /-. It would be pertinent to have a look into



the consequences of adoption and cost dynamics of mussel farming by the women's Self Help Groups in Kasargod district.

This district possesses an area of 1992 km<sup>2</sup> with a population of 10, 71,508 as per 1991 census. The district with a population density of 538 km<sup>2</sup> has an average growth rate of 22.78 and 82.51 % literacy rate. Majority of the villagers earns their livelihood by agriculture, fishing, coir retting, coconut husk, toddy tapping etc. There is tremendous potential for aquaculture diversification in Kasargod coastal belts. Water bodies in these coastal belts have ample scope for the judicious utilisation of finfish culture, prawn and crab farming in Kasargod. (Asokan et al 2001)

## Methodology

This study was undertaken in two major panchayaths namely Cheruvathur and Padanna in Kasargod district. The study area, Cheruvathur panchayath has an area of 18.37 km<sup>2</sup> with a population of 24, 504 out of which 18, 631 people are literate. Agriculture is the main occupation of the majority and about 150 families are engaged in fishing as the main occupation and about 300 families as subsidiary occupation.

Similarly, Padanna panchayath has an area of 13.08 km<sup>2</sup> with a population of 17, 961 out of which 12, 746 people are literate. About 200 families are engaged in fishing as main occupation and about 400 families as part time occupation. The brackish water estuary systems of these panchayaths are extremely suitable for mussel culture.

Six Self Help Groups of women (three each from both panchayaths) were selected as the sample and the data were gathered as explorative case studies through personal interviews of the respondents. For the study, the Group Dynamics of members of Self Help Groups was measured by developing an index called Group Dynamics Effectiveness Index (GDEI). Group Dynamics Effectiveness was operationally defined for the study as the sum-total of the forces among the member of SHG based on the sub-dimensions, such as participation, influence & styles of influence, decision making procedures, task functions, maintenance functions, group atmosphere, membership, feelings, norms, empathy, interpersonal trust and achievements of SHG. (Vipinkumar, 1998)

For the computation of the Group Dynamics Effectiveness Index (GDEI) the scores obtained for each of the above mentioned sub-dimensions were first made uniform and then multiplied by the corresponding weightage assigned to each as by expert judges. These scores were then added up to get the GDEI score of each respondent.

It was also ensured that all the sub-dimensions identified as components of GDE were of high significance on the basis of the coefficient of agreement in judges rating as well as the statistical evidence from the results of the pilot study. The measurement device developed for the dependent variable *i.e.*, GDE was ascertained for its content validity.



## Measurement of Sub-dimensions

**A. Participation:** For the present study, participation was operationally defined as the degree to which the farmer is involved in group meetings, discussions and group activities of SHG.

**B. Influence & Style of Influence:** Influence was operationally defined as the degree to which a farmer can influence other member of SHG in a desirable way. Style of influence was operationalised as the manner in which the member attempts to influence other members of SHG. The four different styles included were autocratic style, peacemaker style, laissez-faire style and democratic style.

**C. Decision Making Procedures:** This is operationally defined as the degree to which farmer makes a decision with involvement of other group member of SHG, makes decisions without topic drifting, supports other members' decisions in consensus, feels the majority's decisions valid in the SHG, attempts to get all members participate in decisions of SHG and feels the gains of recognition for his contribution in decision making process.

**D. Task Functions:** This is operationalised as the degree to which the farmer makes suggestions to tackle a problem in the SHG, summarises what has been covered in the group, tries to give or ask for facts, ideas, opinions, feelings, feed back etc. and keeps the group on target.

**E. Maintenance Functions:** This is operationalised as the extent to which farmer helps others into group activities of SHG, helps/interrupts him in group discussions, feels the other members are co-operative and listening, perceives other members help in clarifying the ideas of all members, feels good or bad when ideas are accepted or rejected and the extent to which other members attempt to maintain task functions of SHG.

**F. Group Atmosphere:** This is operationalised as the extent to which the group member prefers friendly congenial atmosphere in the SHG, attempts to suppress conflict or unpleasant feelings in the group, feels other members are involved and interested and feels satisfied from the work climate.

**G. Membership:** This is operationally defined as the degree to which a group member feels accepted or included in the SHG, feels sub-grouping in the SHG and feels himself or other members to be outside the group.

**H. Feelings:** This is operationally defined as the degree to which the farmer feels anger/irritation, frustration, warmth, affection, excitement/boredom and competitiveness while performing the group activities of SHG.

**I. Norms:** This is operationalised as the extent to which the farmer feels the standards or ground rules and regulations are in operation that controls the behaviour of group members for the smooth functioning of the SHG.

**J. Empathy:** This is operationally defined as the degree to which the respondent is able to make out other person's feelings and thereby to understand it as he feels.

**K. Interpersonal trust:** This is operationally defined as the degree to which the respondent trusts the other members of the group as well as the faith other members has in him as perceived by the respondent.

**L. Achievements of SHG:** This is operationalised as the level of performance of SHG as perceived by the farmer as well as the performance of the farmer himself as the group member.



All these sub-dimensions were measured by a set of inventories containing appropriate questions arranged in a three-point continuum of always, sometimes and never with scoring pattern 2,1 and 0 for positive and vice versa for negative questions.

The cost estimates of all the selected Self help Groups were also computed and by taking in to consideration of major expenditure required for mussel farming is for the materials such as bamboo, nylon rope, coir, cloth, seed, etc. and labour costs essentially cover construction, seeding, harvesting etc. the Net Operating Profit and B: C ratio also were calculated for different SHG's to draw valid inferences.

## Results and Discussion

The study, focused attention on Group Dynamics Effectiveness as a trait of Self Help Groups resulted by the joint influence of individual members of the group generated out of skills and orientations from the past life experiences. It definitely varies from person to person, place to place, time to time, situation to situation and in turn from group to group. This might be the probable reason for the differential degree of GDEI observed among respondents.

### Profile of Cost Estimates of Mussel Farming

The major expenditure required for mussel farming is for the materials such as bamboo, nylon rope, coir, cloth, seed, etc. and labour costs essentially cover construction, seeding, harvesting etc. The women's' groups constituted in the scheme DWCRRA started mussel farming as early as 1996-97 and are assisted by loan amount worth Rs 8800 / -per member with a subsidy amount worth Rs 4400 / - which looks quite fascinating. The duration of the loan is 5 years and the rate of interest is 12.5 % per annum. In addition to this, a revolving fund of Rs 5000 /- was also provided without interest. When the SHGs are economically empowered with the provision of loan facilities, the returns from mussel farming help them to repay the loan slowly.

The loan was granted through Farmers' Service Cooperative Banks and North Malabar Gramin Banks in Cheruvathur and Padanna panchayaths of Kasargod district. Majority of the SHGs' showed considerable progress in repayment of the loans, which can be concluded as an indication of the profitability of Mussel farming. The expenditure details of the selected SHGs in the initial year of mussel cultivation are shown in the Table 1.

The Net Operating Profit in all the six SHG's was computed and found as substantially good which proves the profitability of Mussel farming in the initial trial itself and since during the subsequent years, material costs such as those of bamboo, rope, cloth and labour cost in construction etc. are negligible, this ensures reasonable profit as a major consequence of adoption of Mussel farming enterprise bringing about economic empowerment of rural women through organised Self Help Groups.



**Table 1. Cost estimate of the SHG's in mussel farming in Kasargod district.**

	SHG 1	SHG 2	SHG 3	SHG 4	SHG 5	SHG 6
No. of ropes	500	800	600	750	900	725
Items						
Bamboo	6400	9600	7980	9000	11437	7800
Nylon rope	9954	17500	12000	15000	18000	14500
Coir rope	1100	1500	1200	1587	2000	1450
Cloth	3000	3250	1700	3338	3600	2250
Seed	6500	10000	8700	9000	10800	9770
Labour						
Construction	1600	2400	2170	2250	2700	2200
Seeding	1500	2565	1500	1875	2500	1800
Harvesting	1300	2000	1500	2000	2750	1875
Miscellaneous	1000	1600	1200	1500	1800	1450
Total Cost	32,354	50,415	37,950	45,550	55,587	43,095
Returns	40,000	64,000	48,000	60,000	72,000	58,000
Net Operating Profit	7,646	13,585	10,050	14,450	16,413	14,905
B : C Ratio	1.236	1.269	1.265	1.317	1.295	1.346
GDE Index	52.78	54.33	53.91	57.32	55.68	59.14

Experiences and observations already indicated that for a group to be developed as an SHG it requires a period of at least 36 months and it is a hectic process. It has to pass through various phases such as Formation phase, Stabilisation phase and Self Helping phase. These Self Help Groups promote a cooperative and participative culture among the members, which ensures the empowerment culture of the Self Helping phase.

The loan sanctioning, utilisation, accounts maintenance and timely repayment of loans etc. are all perfectly accomplished with proper maintenance of the documented records by the group members. This ascertains the fulfillment of norms and standards of the SHG leading to economic empowerment of the members.

### Case Study 2

Self Help Groups (SHGs') of fisherfolk were mobilised in *Karwar* and *Bhatkal* locations of Karnataka coastal belts. Three SHG's of 15 members each comprising a total of 45 were mobilised in *Majali* (Open Sea) of *Dhandebag* and three SHG's of 15 members each comprising a total of 45 were mobilised in *Sunkeri* of *Kali* estuary in *Karwar* coastal belts in *Uttar Kannada* district of Karnataka state. Training and demonstration on mussel farming was undertaken in these SHGs'. Initially, two training and demonstration programmes in these two sites in *Karwar* were undertaken, one for *raft culture* in open sea in *Majali* of *Dandebag* and one for *rack culture* in *Sunkeri* of *Kali* estuary. The training was imparted to 45 members of three Self Help Groups, each possessing 15 members in 2 sites separately comprising a total of 90 participants. At *Majali* in open sea, a 5 x



5 metre raft and at *Sunkeri* of Kali estuary, a 5 x 5 metre rack were constructed for mussel farming.

Similarly In *Mundalli* river of *Bhatkal* estuary in Karnataka, 4 Self Help Groups of 15 members each exclusively of women fisherfolk mobilised under the NGO, ' *Snehakunja* ' comprising a total of 60 participants were trained on mussel farming. They initiated a trial in 5 x 6 metre rack mussel culture by long line method.

The sample design for observation including the number of SHGs' trained, beneficiaries and method of culture is given in Table 2.

**Table 2: Mussel culture interventions in Karnataka state**

Site	No.Of SHG's Trained	No. of beneficiaries	Method of culture	Size of the rack / raft
Sunkeri of Kali estuary	3	45	Rack culture	5 x 5 m
Majali of Dhandebag	3	45	Raft culture	5 x 5 m
Bhatkal of Mundalli estuary	4	60	Raft culture	5 x 6 m

Data were gathered from these 10 Self Help Groups through personal interviews of the respondents. For the study, the Group Dynamics of members of Self Help Groups was again measured by developing an index called Group Dynamics Effectiveness Index (GDEI). The growth parameters were monitored every week in all the sites and the yield particulars of mussel during harvesting in each SHG was also noted.

## Results and Discussion

The major expenditure required for mussel farming is for the materials such as bamboo, nylon rope, coir, cloth, seed, etc. and labour costs essentially for construction, seeding, harvesting etc. The SHGs' of *Majali* and *Sunkeri* were mobilized by the project team of CMFRI and the SHG's of *Bhatkal* were mobilized by a NGO namely *Snehakunja*. The first two trials and demonstrations were under the funding of CMFRI and for the last one, only the technical helps during the training and demonstration were offered by CMFRI. The Yield particulars in all the ten SHG's was noted and found as substantially good which proves the profitability of mussel farming in the subsequent trials because the material costs such as those of bamboo, rope, cloth and labour cost in construction etc. are negligible, this ensures reasonable profit as a major consequence of adoption of Mussel farming enterprise bringing about economic empowerment of rural women through organised Self Help Groups.

The yield in Kg per metre length of the rope recorded in all SHGs' as Average Yield showed a positive relationship with GDEI score. The correlation ( $r = 0.958139$ ) was found significant owing to the 't' value 9.465624 at 1% level of significance. (Table 3.)

Experiences and observations already indicated that for a group to be developed as an SHG it requires a period of at least 36 months and it is a hectic



process. It has to pass through various phases such as Formation phase, Stabilisation phase and Self Helping phase. These Self Help Groups promote a cooperative and participative culture among the members, which ensures the empowerment culture of the Self Helping phase.

The utilization of fund sources, accounts maintenance etc. are all perfectly accomplished with proper maintenance of the documented records by the group members. This ascertains the fulfillment of norms and standards of the SHG leading to economic empowerment of the members.

The utilization of fund sources, accounts maintenance etc. are all perfectly accomplished with proper maintenance of the documented records by the group members. This ascertains the fulfillment of norms and standards of the SHG leading to economic empowerment of the members.

**Table 3. Relationship of Yield and GDEI of SHGs'**

SHG	Yield in Kg / m	GDEI score	Correlation Coefficient (r)	't' value
SHG 1	9.2	53.71	0.958139	9.4656248**
SHG 2	9.1	52.31		
SHG 3	8.9	51.91		
SHG 4	12.6	57.32		
SHG 5	12.7	56.68		
SHG 6	12.5	57.14		
SHG 7	13.6	60.01		
SHG 8	13.1	59.98		
SHG 9	13.8	61.29		
SHG 10	13.2	60.02		

### **Constraints Faced by the Fisherfolk in Mussel Farming**

Mussel farming faces a number of impediments like water salinity, seed availability, selection of location / site, climatic vagaries, identification of proper beneficiaries and proper monitoring opportunities. The major problems and constraints faced by the fisherfolk in mussel cultivation are as follows

- Unpredictable seed availability.
- Mortality of seeds during transportation.
- Reduced growth during certain years.
- Meat shucking problems.
- Marketing of mussels.



- Social constraints like caste splits, conflicts, politics etc. to a limited extent.

The open sea mussel culture in this particular case met with the impediment of unfortunate sabotage of the seeded mussel by some miscreants. It was rectified by reseeded, but the yield was not that much conspicuous compared to the trials undertaken in estuaries. All the SHG members are of unanimous opinion that the government agencies should come forward with improved marketing facilities, as marketing of the mussel was perceived as one of the biggest constraints. Provision of loans with reduced interest rates and freezer facility for storage of harvested mussels can bring about a breakthrough in this sector in the near future.

### **Conclusions and Remarks**

An attempt has been made to assess the socio economic impact of mussel farming by mobilizing Self Help Groups in Kerala and Karnataka coastal belts. Mussel farming is slowly achieving considerable significance because of its profitability. But it is inevitable to take care of the selection of suitable sites fulfilling the essential parameters for undertaking mussel culture trials. It would be pertinent to have study on the effect of coir retting zones on growth and attachment of mussel seeds to the strings, which often found to be not suitable by experiences and observations. Laboratory experiments should be widened to study the effect of coir retting zones on growth of mussel.

Similarly, export potential of mussel can be promoted through value addition experiments on depuration plants in filtered seawater. Organised fishermen's cooperatives can play a vital in various stages of seeding, harvesting, sorting, grading, packing, and marketing with an intention of export potential.

The study emphatically disclosed the deep rooted influence of Group Dynamics network among the farmer folk as influenced by their participation, influence & styles of influence, decision making procedures, task function, maintenance function, group atmosphere, membership, feelings, norms, empathy, interpersonal trust and achievements of SHG.

Irrespective of the location specific problem oriented resource based alternative programmes for income generation, this study emphasises on the economic empowerment of rural women through mussel farming as a means of poverty eradication through Self Help Groups because, poverty can only be alleviated by mobilising the poor to solve their actual problems in the form of organised SHGs'. In the impact assessment, the correlation analysis revealed, a proportional relationship between the Group Dynamics Effectiveness and Average Yield obtained for each SHG, which ensures reasonable profit as a major consequence of adoption of Mussel farming enterprise bringing about economic empowerment of fisherfolk through organised Self Help Groups.



## References

- Asokan.P.K, Vipinkumar.V.P, Appukuttan, K. K, Surendranathan, V.G and Sivadasan, M.P. 2001. Mussel Culture in Backwaters of Kasargod District, Kerala. *Mar. Fish.Inf. Serv. T& E Ser.* No. 169. pp 9 – 11.
- Hersey, P. and Blanchard, K.H. 1995. *Management of organizational Behaviour* (6th ed.) Prentice Hall, New Delhi. p.345-362.
- Lewin, K. Lippett, R. and White, R. 1960. "Leader Behaviour and Member Reaction in three social climates", In *Group Dynamics: Research and Theory* (2nd ed.) Eds. Cartwright, D. and Zander, A. Evanston, III: Row, Paterson & Company.
- Pfeiffer, J.W. and Jones, E.J. 1972. *Annual Handbook for Group Facilitators*. Vol.3. Pfeiffer & Company, San Diego, California. p. 19-24.
- Vipinkumar.V.P and Baldeo Singh. 1998. Dimensions of Self Help Group Dynamics of Horticulture Farmers. *J. Extn. Educ.* TNAU, Coimbatore. 3 (1&2): pp 1- 9.
- Vipinkumar.V.P and Baldeo Singh. 2001. Correlates of Effectiveness of Self Help Group Dynamics of Horticulture Farmers. *J. Extn. Educ.* TNAU. Coimbatore. 11(2): pp 2795- 2801.
- Vipinkumar.V.P, Appukuttan.K.K and Asokan, P.K. 2001. Mussel Farming by Women's Self Help Groups in Kasargod District – A Case Study. *Mar. Fish.Inf. Serv. T& E Ser.* No. 169. pp 4 – 6.