

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FISHERS OF RESERVOIRS IN INDIA

A. EKKA, P. K. KATIHA, ARUN PANDIT, N. BARIK¹, SHYAM SALIM¹,
AND B. GANESH KUMAR³

Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute, Barrackpore

¹Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture, Bhubaneswar

²Central Institute of Fisheries Education, Mumbai

³National Centre for Agricultural Economics and Policy Research, New Delhi

(Received : 03.04.2012; Accepted : 15.11.2012)

The present study is a rapid assessment of socio-economic status to estimate income, illiteracy and health of reservoir fishers in seven states of India. Structured data from 415 fishermen were collected. The result indicated that against the Indian average literacy rate of 74.52% the literacy rate of 63.32% was recorded among the sampled fishers. The school dropouts were more at secondary level of education (57.75%). Fishers' children were vaccinated in most of the states as per the recommendation of Indian Council of Medical Research. The average monthly expenditure of the households was worked out to be Rs. 3148.3 only, which is very low to sustain livelihood; often lead to indebtedness.

Key words : Socio-economics, reservoir, fishers, income, literacy, health

Introduction

India has constructed large number of dams across the country for generating power and for Irrigation. At present the total reservoir area in the country is 3.15 m ha, out of which small reservoirs occupy 1.49 m ha, followed by large (1.14 m ha) and medium reservoirs (0.52 m ha). These water bodies, due to their high biogenic production potential, form very important fisheries resources of the country and are highly conducive for fishery activities. Over the years, reservoir fisheries has played a great role in socio-economic development of the country as it contributes to income and livelihoods to a large section of economically underprivileged population in India. (FAO 1997; Thilsted *et al.*, 1997). It has also been recognized as a powerful income and employment generator in different fora. However, consistent, regular and reliable socio-economic information of the reservoir-dependent fishers are lacking. The objective of the

study was to document the socio-economic status of reservoir fishers, mainly focusing on their status of literacy, health and income. The study will provide a framework of socio-economic parameters to the planners and policy makers for formulating suitable programmes for the upliftment of their socio-economic status.

Materials and methods

The study focused on the households deriving their income and livelihood, partially or fully from fisheries and related activities. Multistage random sampling was done at first stage and states having significant reservoir area were picked to select the units of the sample (Hankin, 1984). At the second stage, important districts and area having the constituent water bodies were selected. Fig.1. shows the schematic diagram of the procedures followed in the selection of sample household of fishing community. Ultimately, the fishers operating in these inland waters were selected randomly to collect the primary data.




Fig.1. Sample selection of fishing dependent households

The study covered 415 respondent households from 7 states, *viz.* Andhra Pradesh (A. P.), Himachal Pradesh (H. P.), Jharkhand (JH), Kerala, Madhya Pradesh (M. P.), Tamil Nadu (T. N.) and Uttar Pradesh (U. P.). The total households representing reservoir capture fisheries sector is given in Table 1. The state wise sample size varied from 50 to 64 respondents. The sample size of Jharkhand was higher compared to other states as the fishermen of Jharkhand were heavily dependent on reservoir compared to other Inland resources.

Data collection

The study was based on the data collected mainly from primary sources through personal interview using comprehensive and pre-tested schedules.

Variables and analysis

The socio-economic indicators considered for the study were gender, age, literacy, health, employment, income, expenditure pattern, savings and indebtedness parameters. The household was taken as unit of analysis. Statistical techniques such as frequency distribution, mean, percentages were used to analyze the data.

Results and discussion

Demographic Details

The age of the respondents were divided into three sub groups, *viz.* 15-35 year age group, 36-55 year age group and >56 year age group. Fishing was an activity mostly of fishers' in the age group of 36-55 years which constituted about 54.70 per cent of the respondents. The distribution also indicated the representation of young group (33.49 per cent) in fisheries activities. The fishers with age more than 56 had the least share with 11.81 per cent in total, indicating the personal difficulties of the fishing operation at old age. Since fisheries were a low income generating, laborious and risky enterprise, an occupational shift was observed for the younger groups. Among the sampled states, the percentage of younger people involved in active fishing was found to be comparatively less, on account of available alternative avocations and the diminishing popularity of fishing business among the younger generation; only in the state of Madhya Pradesh and Jharkhand considerable younger fishers (>44 per cent) were involved in fishing activities. The percentage of middle aged group fishers was more than 40 per cent in almost all states. It was highest for Kerala and Tamil Nadu (70.59%) followed by Uttar Pradesh (62.00%). The old age fishers had highest percentage in Andhra Pradesh (26.92%), followed by Himachal Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh (18%). The result also indicated non - existence of old fisher in Kerala and Madhya Pradesh.

The family composition of the sample respondents is given in Table 2 which indicated that males outnumbered females in most of the states except in Jharkhand and Kerala. The male-female ratio for the entire sample was 1.03 and is in parity with the national scenario.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FISHERS

Table 1. Age distribution of the sample respondents of the households

States	No. of households studied	Age Group (years)			Total
		15-35	36-55	>56	
Andhra Pradesh	52	15 (28.85)	23 (44.23)	14 (26.92)	52 (100.00)
Himachal Pradesh	50	12 (24.00)	29 (58.00)	9 (18.00)	50 (100.00)
Jharkhand	97	43 (44.33)	41 (42.27)	13 (13.40)	97 (100.00)
Kerala	51	15 (29.41)	36 (70.59)	0 (0.00)	51 (100.00)
Madhya Pradesh	64	33 (51.56)	31 (48.44)	0 (0.00)	64 (100.00)
Tamil Nadu	51	11 (21.57)	36 (70.59)	4 (7.84)	51 (100.00)
Uttar Pradesh	50	10 (20.00)	31 (62.00)	9 (18.00)	50 (100.00)
Total	415	139 (33.49)	227 (54.70)	49 (11.81)	415 (100.00)

Note- Values in parenthesis are in percent age

Table 2. Family composition of the respondent households (Number)

States	Male	Female	Total	Male - Female ratio	Average family size
Andhra Pradesh	126 (51.85)	117 (48.15)	243 (100.00)	1.08	5.06
Himachal Pradesh	97 (50.52)	95 (49.48)	192 (100.00)	1.02	3.76
Jharkhand	221 (48.79)	232 (51.21)	453 (100.00)	0.95	4.67
Kerala	103 (45.98)	121 (54.02)	224 (100.00)	0.85	4.39
Madhya Pradesh	171 (53.44)	149 (46.56)	320 (100.00)	1.15	5.00
Tamil Nadu	97 (50.52)	95 (49.48)	192 (100.00)	1.02	3.76
Uttar Pradesh	122 (53.98)	104 (46.02)	226 (100.00)	1.17	2.52
Total	937 (50.65)	913 (49.35)	1850 (100.00)	1.03	4.25

Values in parenthesis are in percentage

The male-female ratio of both adult and children is also given in Fig. 2, which depicts that states like Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh and Jharkhand have uniform (more than 1) male-female ratio both for children and adults. In Kerala, male-female ratio is almost equal for both children & adult but ratio is less than one. In Tamil Nadu and Uttar Pradesh, the ratio is less for children that means females are more than males among children, whereas in adults the number of females is less than males. It is a good indication that the sex ratio among children is getting better.

The family size plays an important role in defining the demographic features of a particular area. For fishers of low income group, bigger family size

means minimal access to basic needs like health, education, proper nutrition *etc.* The average family size of sample respondents' was worked out to be 4.25. Altogether 48.32 percent of the households belong to family size of 2-4 members per household. Andhra Pradesh (51.92%), Himachal Pradesh (66.67%), Kerala (54.90%) and Tamil Nadu (72.55%) have family size of 2-4 in more than 50 per cent of households except in Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh where family size of 4-6 is predominant with 48.44 and 42.00 percent respectively. Only 10 number of total respondents' household had more than 10 members in their families which indicates that most of the sampled population preferred small family size.




Fig. 2. Comparative male- female ratio among adults and children




Fig. 3. Continuing-drop out ratio of sample respondents (statewise)




Fig. 4. Access to health care

Literacy and education attainment

The literacy status includes the level of education as indicated by primary, secondary and collegiate level. The primary level indicated schooling till fourth grade, secondary level indicated by high school, secondary and vocational education. The college level of education was denoted by college and professional education. The literacy status of the respondent households was analyzed through

the literacy level, continuing and dropouts and access to educational facilities. The illiterate indicates fisher without any formal education and doesn't even possess functional literacy. The details of education attainment are given in Table 3.

The current literacy rate of India as a whole is 74.52 per cent (Census, 2011), but the literacy rate of different states in the present study indicated a diverse scenario. The states like Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand and Uttar Pradesh had literacy rate below 70 percent. The states of Himachal Pradesh, Kerala and Tamil Nadu showed high literacy rate with 88, 90.5 and 76.60 percent respectively. The continuing out drop ratio (Fig. 3) of sampled respondents revealed that states like Jharkhand, Uttar Pradesh had more dropouts with ratio 0.19 and 0.07 respectively.

Health situation

The health status of the respondent households was studied based on the parameters like administration of vaccines, failure to vaccination, incidence of common diseases and special ailments. The average age of administration of various vaccines varied among states (Table 4). The incidence of discontinuation was found in the states of Himachal Pradesh, Jharkhand and Uttar Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh. The maximum incidence of discontinuation was observed for MMR with 13.33 followed by pox and polio with 11.30 percent and 11.11 percent respectively. The states having high literacy rates have nil incidence of discontinuation as compared to states with less literacy rate.

Literacy plays an important role in creating awareness for understanding the health care facilities *etc.* Distance also plays an important role in availing the health care facilities, therefore the

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FISHERS

Table 3. Education attainment of reservoir fishers.

Districts	Total	Illiterate	Literate	Primary level *	Secondary level*	College level*
Andhra Pradesh	238 (100.00)	119 (50.00)	119 (50.00)	35 (29.41)	64 (53.78)	20 (16.81)
Himachal Pradesh	50 (100.00)	6 (12.00)	44 (88.00)	32 (72.73)	11 (25.00)	1 (2.27)
Jharkhand	410 (100.00)	175 (42.68)	235 (57.32)	121 (51.49)	105 (44.68)	9 (3.83)
Kerala	211 (100.00)	21 (9.95)	190 (90.45)	53 (27.89)	131 (68.95)	6 (3.16)
Madhya Pradesh	279 (100.00)	141 (50.54)	138 (49.46)	115 (83.33)	23 (16.67)	0 (0.00)
Tamil Nadu	188 (100.00)	44 (23.40)	144 (76.60)	40 (27.78)	93 (64.58)	11 (7.64)
Uttar Pradesh	50 (100.00)	17 (34.00)	33 (66.00)	23 (69.70)	4 (12.12)	6 (18.18)
Total	1426 (100.00)	523 (36.68)	903 (63.32)	419 (46.40)	431 (47.73)	53 (5.87)

Note- values in parenthesis are in percentage

* values in parenthesis are in percentage w.r.t. literate population only

Table 4. Average age of vaccine administration and incidence of discontinuation (percentage)

States	Pox		BCG		MMR		Polio		Others	
	Age	IOD	Age	IOD	Age	IOD	Age	IOD	Age	IOD
	(years)	(%)	(years)	(%)	(years)	(%)	(years)	(%)	(years)	(%)
Andhra Pradesh	1	5.3	1	2.1	1	1.2	5	0	-	-
Himachal Pradesh	3.08	6.67	0.54	8.89	1.30	13.33	4.94	11.11	5.43	9.37
Jharkhand	1.00	11.30	1.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	5	0	-	-
Kerala	0.44	0	0.73	0	0.57	0	2.58	0	-	-
Madhya Pradesh	1.00	0	1	0	1.00	0	5	0	-	-
Tamil Nadu	0.88	0	0.42	0	0.50	0	3.85	0	-	-
Uttar Pradesh	1.33	30.43	0.21	0	0.82	0.00	3.5	0	0.6	0
Average	1.25	7.67	0.70	2.00	0.88	2.50	4.27	1.59	0.86	1.34

IOD- Incidence of discontinuation (The percentage of sampled population discontinued administration of vaccines)
POX, BCG, MMR, POLLO, Others

nearest District hospital or Primary health centre (PHC) from the respondent's household was recorded. (Fig. 4). The average farthest distance was documented in states of Himachal Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Jharkhand with 5.33, 5.2 and 3.4 km respectively. The distance of District hospital varied from 4.8 km in Andhra Pradesh to 22.75 km in Uttar Pradesh.

The annual frequency of incidence of disease of the respondent household was shown in Table 5. Fever flu, body ache, diarrhoea, and other gastroenteric problems were common diseases observed in almost all states. Skin problem was highest in female respondents in Uttar Pradesh with

an annual frequency of 6.09. The incidence of anaemia was found in females of Jharkhand with an annual frequency of 0.13 in a year. In Jharkhand, 6 cases of cardiac failure in males and 5 cases of TB in females were documented among sample respondents. In M.P. four cases of cardiac failure were noted.

Occupational status

Occupational status showed the contribution of different income sources in supporting livelihood of the household. In addition to the primary occupation *i.e.* fishing, the fishers of reservoir were engaged in other activities. The major non-fishing

Table 5. The annual frequency of incidence (No. of times occurred in a year) of diseases

Disease	Andhra Pradesh		Himachal Pradesh		Jharkhand		Kerala		Madhya Pradesh		Tamil Nadu		Uttar Pradesh	
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
Fever/Flu	1.08 (37)	1.27 (37)	2.05 (47)	0.14 (2)	1.38 (67)	1.39 (75)	1.96 (80)	1.18 (67)	3.60 (64)	4.80 (63)	2.305 (81)	3.11 (72)	3.15 (86)	4.02 (79)
Body aches	3.06 (32)	4.6 (39)	1.02 (34)	0.39 (6)	0.57 (25)	0.17 (9)	1.16 (61)	0.70 (53)	3.70 (9)	2.50 (2)	1.31 (69)	1.44 (25)	3.43 (43)	4.11 (48)
Diarrhoea	1.04 (24)	1.22 (27)	0.20 (14)	0.29 (6)	0.01 (1)	0.08 (5)	0.11 (11)	0.05 (6)	5.00 (2)	4.50 (2)	2.33 (6)	6.00 (1)	4.13 (35)	5.25 (30)
Other gastro- enteric diseases	3.3 (3)	3 (6)	0.52 (14)	0.25 (8)	0.61 (28)	0.53 (27)	0.63 (25)	0.26 (13)	3.80 (4)	4.30 (3)	2.63 (4)	4.43 (14)	7.44 (32)	21.5 (8)
Skin disorders	-	-	0.29 (14)	0.19 (4)	-	0.11 (6)	0.50 (12)	0.14 (5)	4.00 (1)	5.70 (3)	5.85 (13)	0.20 (4)	24.0 (14)	6.09 (37)
Cardiac failure	-	-	0.02 (1)	0.02 (1)	0.06 (6)	0.0 (2)	0.02 (3)	-	6.30 (4)	-	-	-	30.0 (1)	-
TB	-	-	0.02 (1)	-	0.01 (1)	0.05 (5)	-	0.01 (1)	6.40 (5)	8.00 (1)	0.50 (1)	-	180 (1)	60.0 (1)
Anaemia	-	-	-	-	0.05 (3)	0.13 (8)	0.01 (2)	0.01 (1)	4.30 (3)	3.50 (2)	-	-	-	-
Diabetes	-	-	0.02 (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blood pressures	-	-	0.06 (3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AIDS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Others	-	-	-	0.02 (1)	0.20 (11)	0.05 (3)	0.16 (6)	0.20 (4)	3.70 (6)	3.70 (3)	-	-	120 (1)	154 (2)

M- Male, F-Female

Note- values in parenthesis represent no. of affected persons in the sample population

activities in which fishers involved themselves were labour, agriculture, business, and other service sectors with a contribution of 54.51, 18.68, 16.26, and 10.55 per cent respectively to family income. In the States of Andhra Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh, more than 85 percent of fishers were involved in activities other than fisheries. Only in the state of Madhya Pradesh the respondent's involvement in non-fishing activities was very low, *i.e.* 5.71 percent. Overall, the fisheries activities itself was not able to support livelihood of the fisher.

Income and expenditure pattern

The income and expenditure pattern of the respondent household was analyzed using the

monthly income and expenses across the sampled reservoir states of India (Table 6). The data revealed that the total monthly income was highest in Andhra Pradesh followed by Uttar access to resources might be the major determinants of levels of low socio-economic status. (Ruddle 1994, 1998; Béné 2003). The fuller utilisation of the human power potentiality can be achieved through infrastructure, investments, technology intensification, diversification and value addition. Various issues related to fishing activities in India need to be addressed in a time bound manner with mutual understanding and cooperation between public and private sectors.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FISHERS

Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. The income was lowest in Madhya Pradesh (Rs. 533.60) which was below poverty line. The income-expenditure ratio of Andhra Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh was less than one which meant their expenditure was more than their monthly earnings. Expenditure was measured for 8 most common expenses *i.e.* food and materials purchased and consumed at home and elsewhere, clothing, fuel, medicines, education, entertainment, personal expenses and consumer durable items and did not include expenses towards business purposes. The expenditure pattern of the respondent household is given in Table 7. According to Deaton and Muellbauer (1980), poorer the household the higher the share of total income or consumption assigned to food. It was observed that the fisher of almost all the states spends their maximum earnings on food. The fishers in the state of Andhra Pradesh with highest income spent the least (39.93 per cent) on food as compared to other states, and whole in Madhya Pradesh with very low income spent more than 55 percent of their earning on food items. This agrees with the well known finding that as household income increases, the percentage of expenditures on food decreases (Engel, 1957). The fishers of Tamil Nadu spend 16.45 percent of their income on medical charges. Though the income of fisher of M.P. is less, they spent 19.55 per cent of their income on personal items and 7.77 percent on entertainment, while expenditure on education was only 3.63 percent. Despite low income, spending on these items indicated their indulgence in ill habits like liquor consumption, chewing of pan, Gutka, tobacco *etc.*

Saving and debt position

The study indicated that 48.49 per cent of the respondents had no savings. Around 29.43 per cent of the respondent households could save an amount of less than Rs 50,000 per annum and




Fig. 5. The debt scenario of respondent's household of different states

18.73 per cent of the respondents have an annual saving of Rs 50 thousand to 1 lakh. Around 3.34 per cent of the respondent households had a savings of more than one lakh rupee. It was found that the frequency of respondents possessing savings varied across the states from zero in Andhra Pradesh and 92.16 percent in Kerala. In Jharkhand, U.P. and M.P., the percentage of respondents having savings were high (= 75 percent) but the amount saved were very low (<Rs. 5000) due to stumpy income levels. On the contrary, the percentage of respondents having reserves with more savings was found in the state of Himachal Pradesh. The lack of savings and the need for the sustenance of the livelihood often lead to indebtedness given in Fig.5. The results indicated that the highest average amount of indebtedness was recorded in Himachal Pradesh with Rs. 88750 per annum and lowest in Jharkhand with Rs. 6547 per annum. The highest number of respondents who borrowed money for different purposes was found in Jharkhand (78), followed by in Kerala (77) and Tamil Nadu (75) In Himachal Pradesh though the persons availed credit was less (3 nos.) but the credit amount was highest as compared to the other sampled states.

Conclusion

The study showed that the literacy rate of reservoir fishers was less than the average national literacy of the country. Low income from

Table 6. Monthly income (Rs.) of respondent households

Enterprise	Andhra Pradesh	Himachal Pradesh	Jharkhand	Kerala	Madhya Pradesh	Tamil Nadu	Uttar Pradesh
Fishery	5515.47(56.51)	1512(40.91)	467.2(64.23)	1284.4(82.20)	530.5(99.42)	1593.61(85.12)	1942(49.95)
Labour	2983.85(30.57)	832(22.51)	131.2(18.04)	206.49(13.21)	3.1(0.58)	254.18(13.58)	844.5(21.72)
Agri-culture	929.1(9.52)	595(16.10)	57.5(7.90)	9.88(0.63)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	498.5(12.82)
Business	331(3.39)	480(12.99)	71.5(9.83)	17.48(1.12)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	474.5(12.82)
Any others	0(0.00)	277(7.49)	0(0.00)	44.36(2.84)	0(0.00)	24.43(1.30)	128(3.29)
Total Income	9759.42 (100.00)	3696 (100.00)	727.4 (100.00)	1562.61 (100.00)	533.6 (100.00)	1872.22 (100.00)	3887.5 (100.00)
Expenditure	11654.48	2437	604.5	957.52	517.6	1003.66	4420
Income-expenditure ratio	0.84	1.52	1.20	1.63	1.03	1.87	0.88

Note - values in parenthesis are in percentage

Table 7. Expenditure (Rs.) pattern of respondent households

Expenditure Items	Andhra Pradesh	Himachal Pradesh	Jharkhand	Kerala	Madhya Pradesh	Tamil Nadu	Uttar Pradesh
Food	4653.77(39.93)	995(40.83)	335.9(55.57)	482.74(50.42)	289.9(56.01)	485.36(48.36)	2070(46.83)
Clothing	1420.57(12.19)	265(10.87)	25.6(4.23)	37.69(3.94)	28.5(5.51)	81.73(8.14)	417(9.43)
Fuel	480.6(4.12)	54(2.22)	62.2(10.29)	29.32(3.06)	0(0.00)	57.21(5.70)	161(3.64)
Medical	1333.11(11.64)	260(10.67)	19.9(3.29)	35.51(3.71)	37.7(7.28)	165.1(16.45)	321(7.26)
Education	1961.08(16.83)	324(13.30)	43.9(7.26)	55.08(5.75)	18.8(3.63)	74.87(7.46)	210(4.75)
Entertainment	309.85(2.66)	53(2.17)	33.3(5.51)	23.09(2.41)	40.2(7.77)	11.9(1.19)	254(5.75)
Personal Consumers	416.83(3.58)	233(9.56)	54.7(9.05)	106.28(11.10)	101.2(19.55)	51.2(5.10)	629(14.23)
Durables	1078.67(9.26)	253(10.38)	29(4.80)	187.81(19.61)	1.3(0.25)	76.29(7.60)	358(8.10)
Total	11654.48(100.00)	2437(100.00)	604.5(100.00)	957.52(100.00)	517.6(100.00)	1003.66(100.00)	4420(100.00)

Note- values in parenthesis are in percentage

SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF FISHERS

fisheries, combined with high labour and risk, compelled the young working group to shift occupation towards non-fishing activities. The monthly expenditure pattern of the households was also too low to sustain livelihood of the household. Thus, there is greater need to transform the sector by taking into account its achievements and capabilities. More than the harvest of fish or other resources, local institutions and power structure that control.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Director, CIFRI for his guidance and support. The study was conducted with financial support from Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi.

References

Béné, C. 2003. When fishery rhymes with poverty: a first step beyond the old paradigm on poverty in small-scale fisheries. *World Dev.*; **31**(6): 949-975.

Deaton, A. and Muellbauer, J. 1980. Economics and consumer behaviour. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.* p.62.

Engel, E. 1857. Die productions und consumptions-verhältnisse des konigreichs sachsen. in *Zeitschrift des Statistischen Bureaus des Koniglich Sachsischen Ministeriums des Inners*, No. 8 and 9.

Food and Agriculture Organization. 1997. Number of Fishers. Fisheries Circular, No 929. *FAO, Rome.* 45p.

Hankin, D.G. 1984. Multistage sampling designs in fisheries research: applications in small streams. *Can. J. of Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **41** : 1575-1591.

Ruddle, K. 1994. A Guide to the literature on traditional community-based fishery management in the Asia-Pacific Tropics. Fisheries Circular No. 869, FIPP/C869. *FAO, Rome.*

Ruddle, K. 1998. Traditional community-based coastal marine fisheries management in Vietnam. *Ocean Coast. Manage.*, **40** (3) : 1-22.

Thilsted, S.H., Roos, N, and Hassan, N. 1997. The role of small indigenous fish species in food and nutrition security in Bangladesh. *Naga-the ICLARM Quarterly (suppl)*, July-December: 13-15.