RARE CHIMAEROID AND ELASMOBRANCH FISHES FROM THE CONTINENTAL SLOPE OFF THE WEST COAST OF INDIA*

E. G. SILAS

Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Mandapam Camp

AND

DANIEL SELVARAJ AND A. REGUNATHAN

Central Marine Fisherics Research Substation, Gopala Prabhu Cross Road, Ernakulam, Cochin-11

ABSTRACT

During exploratory trawling from the upper continental slope in depths between 180 and 450 metres off the West Coast of India, specimens of the Chimæroid fish Neoharriotta pinnata (Schnackenbeck) and the rare elasmobranchs Echinorhinus brucus (Bonnaterre) and Atractophorus armatus Gilchrist have been obtained. All three are new distributional records for Indian Seas and they are described and illustrated.

NURING exploratory trawling from the upper continental slope in depths between 180 and 450 metres carried out by R.V. VARUNA and other vessels, several interesting fishes and invertebrates hitherto unknown from Indian Seas have been brought to light. Three such interesting finds are: a chimæroid fish Neoharriotta pinnata (Schnackenbeck) (Subclass Holocephali, Family Rhinochimæridæ) and two deep-water sharks, namely Echinorhinus brucus (Bonnaterre) (Family Dalatiidæ), and Atractophorus armatus Gilchrist (Family Squalidæ). Specimens of N. pinnata have hitherto been caught only from the equatorial coast of West Africa and South-West Africa (Smith, 1961). An egg case suspected to be of this species was reported from the southwest coast of India (Balakrishnan, 1963). We have been able to obtain two such egg cases and the present definite record of adults of N. pinnata from this area helps to confirm the identification of the egg cases.

E. brucus is known to inhabit deeper waters in the warm seas (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1948; Smith, 1961), while A. armatus is known to occur in the deeper waters off Natal Coast and Mozambique (Smith, 1961). Brief diag-

nosis of each species accompanied by outline drawings are given here to facilitate identification.

Neoharriotta pinnata (Schnackenbeck, 1931), Fig. 1 a

Two adult females from 12° 17′ N, 74° 13′ E, depth 360 m, on 24–5–1968; and five juveniles (2 males and 3 females) from 12° 12′ N, 74° 10′ E, depth 396 m, on 24–5–1968, both during R.V. VARUNA Cruise 128; two empty egg cases from 10° 53′ N, 75° 08′ E, depth 180–206 m, on 27–4–1968 during R.V. VARUNA Cruise 127.

Diagnosis of Adults.—Total length 1125 and 1240 mm. respectively. Head 32·7-33·5, trunk height 33·3-33·7, snout length in front of eye $21 \cdot 3 - 23 \cdot 2$, and in front of mouth $18 \cdot 7 - 21 \cdot 1$, oblique diameter of eye 3·1-3·6, inter-orbital distance $4 \cdot 6 - 4 \cdot 9$, width of gill-opening $5 \cdot 7$ -5.8, first predorsal distance 32.6-33.9, second predorsal distance 50.7-51.2, prepectoral distance $33 \cdot 2 - 34 \cdot 6$, prepelvic distance $50 \cdot 1 - 50 \cdot 2$, preanal distance 71.2-73.0, height of first dorsal fin 11·1-11·5, length of pectoral fin 14·2-14·9, length of pelvic fin 9·9-10·0, length of anal fin $5 \cdot 7 - 6 \cdot 1$, length of upper caudal lobe along caudal base 22·1-22·7, and lower caudal base 22.6-22.9% of total length, Weight 3.4 and 4.75 kg. respectively.

^{*} Published with the permission of the Director, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Mandapam Camp.

Echinorhinus brucus (Bonnaterre, 1788), Fig. 1 b

One male, 1620 mm. in total length and 29 kg. in weight from 12° 06′ N, 74° 23′ E, depth 405 m, on 27-7-1968 during R.V. VARUNA Cruise 129.

Diagnosis.—Snout 7.4, mouth to tip of snout 8.0, horizontal diameter of eye 2.7, interorbital distance 8.9, width of first gill-opening 4.1, fifth gill-opening 6.5, first predorsal distance 63.5, second predorsal distance 70.9, distance from snout to base of caudal (upper) 77.5, prepectoral distance 27.8, prepelvic distance 60.2, snout to first gill-opening 21.0, and

Diagnosis.—Snout tip to inner nares $4\cdot0-4\cdot6$, width of mouth $7\cdot6-8\cdot6$, horizontal diameter of eye $5\cdot9-6\cdot2$, inter-orbital distance $7\cdot6-9\cdot1$, snout tip to spiracle $12\cdot6-16\cdot7$, first predorsal $33\cdot5-37\cdot0$, second predorsal $68\cdot5-70\cdot5$, snout to base of caudal (upper) $71\cdot5-83\cdot7$, prepectoral distance $23\cdot9-25\cdot4$, prepelvic distance $59\cdot1-61\cdot8$, greatest depth of body $13\cdot9-16\cdot0$, length of first dorsal spine $5\cdot3-6\cdot6$, and of second dorsal spine $3\cdot9-5\cdot2$, vertical height of first dorsal $6\cdot4-7\cdot7$, of second dorsal $5\cdot3-6\cdot1$, interspace between first and second dorsals $24\cdot0-26\cdot6$, interspace between second dorsal and caudal base $6\cdot5-7\cdot3$, length of




FIG. 1. (a) Neoharriott i pinnata (Schnackenbeck) female 124 cm.; (b) Echinorhinus brucus (Bonnaterre) male 162 cm.; and (c) Attractophorus armatus Gilchrist, female 92.2 cm. in total length,

to last gill-opening 27.8, vertical height of first dorsal 4.3, and of second dorsal 5.1, length of pelvic fin 15.3, greatest depth of body 17.0, interspace between first and second dorsal 4.9 and of second dorsal and caudal 3.7% in total length. Body covered with tubercles of radiating bony structure, each with a central spine. Tubercles whitish.

Atractophorus armatus Gilchrist, 1922, Fig. 1c

Seven females, 841 to 943 mm. in total length from 09° 00′ N, 75° 42′ E, depth 329 m. on 12-11-1968 during fishing from M. V. KLAUS SUNNANA.

pectoral fin from origin to posterior tip 15.8-18.3, and of pelvic fin 10.3-11.4% in total length. Weight 3.25 to 5.50 kg.

Balakrishnan, K. P., J. Zool. Soc. India, 1963, 14, 138.

^{2.} Bigelow, H. B. and Schroeder, W. C. Fishes of the Western North Atlantic, 1948, 1 (1), 59.

^{3.} Gilchrist, J. D. F., Fish. Mar. Biol. Surv. S. Africa, Rep. 2, Spec. Rcp. 3 (1921), 1922, 51.

Schnackenbeck, W., Mitt. Zool. Mus. Hamburg. 1931, 44,23.

^{5.} Smith, J. L. B, The Sea Fishes of Southern Africa, 4th Ed., 1961, p. 75.