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#### Abstract

The fishery and certain aspects of the population characteristics of $M$. dobsoni from 1962 through 1971 are reported. The fishery was generally bimodal with penks during March-April and September-October and showed wide annual fluctuations. The total catch showed a gradually diminishing increase when the fishing effort reached a level of about 14,000 boat days. The catch per boat day also showed a downward trend, in general. It would appear, therefore that aay additional increase in effort would be of little consequence in raising the yield. The fishery was dominated by larger size groups (above 95 mm ) during JulyNovember. Recruitment of smaller size groups ( 60 mm onwards) was observed duling December-Jantary. The maximum number of broods entering the fishery was five. Age and growth studies indicated that the males and females attain a length of 85 and 105 mm and 95 and 120 mm at the end of one and two years respectively. Thereafter very little growth seems to take place. 'The late ' O ' and the one year class formed the mainstay of the fishery. The mean length showed considerable annual fluctuations but these changes appear to be only due to naturat causes. Females preponderated in all the years except during 1965.66. They often te.nded to exceed the males by more than 1.5 times during April-May and Novem-ber-December which more or less coincided with periods of intense breeding activity. The average annual instantaneous rate of mortality between one and two year olds was found to be 4.18 and 4.61 for males and females respectively. The fluctuations in the annual rainfall seem to bear a direct relation to the magnitude of the fishery. No clear-cut relationship between the juvenile abundance in the estuary and the marine fishery was discernible.


## Introduction

Among the exportable penaeid prawn $M$. dobsoni ranks high and constitutes more than $50 \%$ of the prawn catch by the trawlers at Mangalore, an important landing centre on the Karnataka coast. It forms an appreciable fishery in the indigenous catches too, especially during the south-west monsoon. Juveniles of this species are caught in considerable numbers in the estuaries during August-February.

[^0]The fishery was observed to be of a fluctuating nature. The number of trawlers had also increased from a mere 20 during 1962-63 to about 1,000 at present, resulting in an appreciable reduction in the catch rate. The results of the investigation on the catch trends and certain population characteristics of M. dobsoni over ten seasons from 1962 through 1971 are reported here, since such an information is necessary for a scientific management of the resources. The studies have also covered the relationship between the rainfall, estuarine catch and-marine fishery.

Earlier accounts on the various aspects of the biology and fishery of $M$. dobsoni related to the Kerala coast, particularly of the Cochin region (Menon 1955, George 1961 and Rao 1968).

## Material and methods

The trawl fishing commences from October and closes in early June with the onset of monsoon. However, during 1968-69 and 1970-72 trawling was resumed from September. The trawlers make single day cruise usually from 05.00 to 1400 hrs . A coastal belt up to 22 m depth is fished. The prawns were generally sorted out before landing. Thrice a week $10-20 \%$ of the boats were randomly sampled. The catch and catch per unit effort (boat day) in weight and numbers and the maturity stages were estimated as described in an earlier account (Ramamurthy et al in press). The catch by the indigenous gear at Ullal and Baikampady (near Mangalore) which were visited on most of the working days, was determined, according to the method followed by Sekharan and Dhulkhed (1963). For studies on the length frequency, sex ratio and maturity, the data in respect of the non-selective gear (cast net, shore-seine and boat-seine) are also taken into account.

In the Netravathi and Gurpur estauries at Mangalore, kairampani, a shore-seine consisting of $10-15$ pieces is the most important gear operated. Weekly data were collected from July 1963-June 1968 for estimaing the catch and catch per haul. Subsequently a smaller departmental kairampani of 5 pieces was operated fortnightly.

## Catch and effort

The catch fluctuated considerably between the years as well as within the season (Table 1). The landings varied from 194.86 tonnes during 1963-64 to as nigh as 967.29 tonnes during 1968-69 whereas the catch/boat day declined from 85.5 kg during $1962-63$ to 17.2 kg during 1969-70. The decline in the catch rate in the latter years appears to be due to the increase in fishing fleet. The fishery was generally bimodal with a primary peak during March-April and secondary one during September-October. However, during 1970-71 the best catch was recorded in October.

Table 1. Mouthly catch of M. dobsoni in tonnes and catch per effort in kg (in parenthesis) by the trawlers at Mangalore during different years.

| Monik | 1962-63 | 1963-64 | 1964-65 | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969.70 | 1970-71 | 1971-72 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Siptember |  |  |  | No | fishing |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & (55.5) \end{aligned}$ | No fishig | $\begin{aligned} & 120.52 \\ & (312.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 149.52 \\ (114.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.14 \\ (158.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| October | - | $\begin{gathered} 3.13 \\ (68.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.66 \\ & (13.4) \end{aligned}$ | - No | fishing | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & (3.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.50 \\ & (24.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.74 \\ (104.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353.08 \\ & (93.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 143.78 \\ (75.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.37 \\ & (78.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| November | $\begin{array}{r} 1.10 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15 \\ & (0.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.50 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56 \\ & (1.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.53 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.44 \\ & (4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.36 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.76 \\ & (5.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.98 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.27 \\ & (2.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| December | $\begin{aligned} & 5.15 \\ & (4.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.94 \\ & (2.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.79 \\ (14.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.23 \\ (19.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.02 \\ & (2.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.53 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.97 \\ & (3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.90 \\ & (22.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.84 \\ & (1.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.14 \\ & (8.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.85 \\ & (6.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| January | $\begin{array}{r} 11.49 \\ (9.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.31 \\ & (31.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.77 \\ (12.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.52 \\ & (32.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.11 \\ & (4.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.44 \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.07 \\ & (10.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| February | $\begin{aligned} & 131.57 \\ & (119.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.28 \\ (29.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.52 \\ & (6.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111.90 \\ & (61.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.33 \\ & (16.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.75 \\ & (38.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.66 \\ & (37.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.76 \\ & (7.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.63 \\ & (4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.04 \\ & (12.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.34 \\ (30.4) \end{array}$ |
| March | $\begin{gathered} 239.18 \\ (209.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.23 \\ (16.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.54 \\ & (77.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.40 \\ & (48.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 202.15 \\ & (70.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131.82 \\ & (49.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 560.93 \\ (115.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.72 \\ & (29.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.23 \\ (14.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124.78 \\ & (38.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163.60 \\ & (62.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| April | $\begin{gathered} 55.94 \\ (110.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.10 \\ (45.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82.86 \\ (71.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136.44 \\ & (66.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.28 \\ (33.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.09 \\ & (25.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160.57 \\ & (44.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.99 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.35 \\ & (8.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 178.06 \\ & (35.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.57 \\ (31.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| May | $\begin{gathered} 239.18 \\ (209.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.23 \\ & (16.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133.91 \\ (135.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.89 \\ (55.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.58 \\ & (11.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.19 \\ & (3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.77 \\ (21.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.53 \\ & (2.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.66 \\ & (12.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149.28 \\ & (34.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.55 \\ & (30.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Juns: |  | - No | fishing |  | 0.42 |  |  | No fishing |  | 0.18 | 0.06 |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 479.56 \\ & (85.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 194.86 \\ & (35.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 342.20 \\ & (48.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 499.88 \\ & (46.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 337.76 \\ & (25.7) \end{aligned}$ | 354.41 | 967.29 | 298.92 | $\begin{aligned} & 672.18 \\ & (24.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 832.20 \\ & (30.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 497.82 \\ & (31.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Cis in the prawn catch | 60.5 | 52.3 | 59.3 | 46.8 | 43.5 | 37.3 | 59.0 | 45.4 | 67.7 | 51.1 | 52.3 |

To study the trend of the fishery over the years, the catch and catch/boat day are plotted against effort in Fig. 1. The smoothed lines are drawn visually through the points (Gulland 1972). The figure shows initially a steep decline in the catch rate though there was a steep increase in the total catch as could be expected in any 'accelerating phase' of the fishery. However, this declining trend in the catch rate became moderate and steady once the effort reached a level of about 14000 boat days (i.e., about 70 boats calculated at an average of 200 fishing days per boat per season). Similarly the total catch registered a gradually diminishing increase with increasing effort. Therefore, it appears that any further rise in the effort is not likely to increase the total catch but on the contrary would reduce the average catch/boat day which could be uneconomic. A relatively high level of fishing is liable to remove a substantial part of the stock resulting in a reduction in the mean length of the prawns caught. However, the present study does not indicate any clear decrease in the amount of larger prawns caught, as could be seen later.


FIG. 1.

## LENGTH FREQUENCY

Figures 2-6 present the length frequency distribution (in 5 mm group intervals) of males and females respectively. The percentages are based on catch in numbers per boat day. The year-classess of 1961-71 are designed according to the alphabetical order. The fishery during July-October/November was predominantly composed of size groups above 95 mm and 110 mm in the case of males and females respectively. During 1964-65 these size groups continued to dominate in December. Smaller size groups ( 60 mm onwards) were seen to
enter the fishery though in few numbers, during December-January and the fishery picked up in the subsequent months. These observations are in agreement with the findings of George et al. (1968) who noticed seasonal size-oriented movements in the fishing grounds.


FIG. 2.
Rao (1968) found that M. dobsoni breeds throughout the year with peak spawning periods during April, June and November-December. During the present study also several broods were seen to enter into the fishing grounds (Fig. 2-6). The maximum number of broods that could be noticed in a season was five. The pattern of growth was not the same during the different years which could be attributed to the variable ecological conditions. Taking into account the


FIG. 3.
spawning season (vide infra) and the various broods, it is reasonable to consider that the size group B2 ( 78 mm ) of March 1963 which is the product of the previous year's spawning grows to 103 mm in April 1964 i.e., during the second year of its life. The brood C2 ( 88 mm ) of July 1964 appears to attain a length of 108 mm in November 1965 i.e., during the third year of life. In the case of females, it will be seen that the brood b2 (93 mm) of February 1963, a product of 1962 spawning, reaches a size of 118 mm in April 1964 i.e., when it is two-years-old. The progression of the broods such as c2, e1, g1 and h1 follows a similar pattern. The groups f1 of December 1966 and j1 of November 1969 at 83 mm attain a size of 123 mm in February of 1968 and 1971 respectively during the third year. It would, therefore, appear that the males and females of M. dobsoni grow to a length of 85 and 105 mm and 95 and 120 mm during the


FIG. 4.
first and second year of their life respectively. During the third year very little growth seems to take place since the species attains the maximum size by then.

The same data have been further analysed by arranging the progression of modes following the month of appearance. Von Bertalanffy's equation was applied and the following parameters were calculated.

|  | Males | Females |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$ | 109.1 | 120.9 |
| $\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\mathrm{I}_{0}}$ | 0.12 | 0.18 |
|  | 0.57 | 4.02 |



Based on these values, the size attained at age one and two has been estimated and is given in Table 2. The average size at the respective ages is also shown. These values are more or less similar. Therefore it can be concluded that the males and females of $M$. dobsoni reach a length of about 85 and 105 mm and 95 and 120 mm when they are one and two-years-old respeotively. The differential growth in sexes is also quite evident. Banerji and George ,1967) observed that the estimated size of $M$. dobsoni for all sexes is 95,114 and 118 mm at the end of one, two and three years respectively which is more or less supported


FIG. 6.
by the present findings. However, according to Kurup and Rao (1974) the males and females of this species respectively attain a length of 97 and 115 mm and 122 and 138 mm at the end of the first and second year of life.

## Age Composition

Table 3 represents the age distribution of the catch in numbers per boat day according to the sexes. It is apparent that the fishery was primarily constituted by the late $O$-year class (above 60 mm ) and one year old prawns.

## Mean Length

The values of mean length for the various years for males and females are given in Table 4. When the fishery was a failure during 1963-64, 1966-67

Table 2. Estimated size (in mm) of M. dobsoni at different ages

| Age in month | Male <br> Estimated size | Average size <br> (from Table 5) | Female <br> Estimated size | Average size <br> (from Table 5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 81.3 | 76.2 | 91.8 | 87.1 |
| 24 | 102.5 | 104.0 | 117.3 | 118.7 |

Table 3. Abundance of age groups and mortality rate

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Males } \\ 0 . \text { Year } \\ \text { (upto } 85 \mathrm{~mm} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | 1.Year ( $86-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 2-\mathrm{Y} \text { ear } \\ & \text { (to6-mm } \\ & \& \text { above) } \end{aligned}$ | Mortality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0-Year } \\ & \text { (upto } \\ & 95 \mathrm{~mm} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-\mathrm{Year} \\ & (96-120 \mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Females <br> 2.Year <br> (121mm <br> \& above | Martality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962-63 | 7185 | 1760 | 49 | -- | 3211 | 6258 | 3 | - |
| 1963-64 | 607 | 1132 | 209 | 2.13 | 177 | 1750 | 81 | 4.35 |
| 1964-65 | 574 | 2154 | 85 | 2.59 | 297 | 4045 | 67 | 3.26 |
| 1965-66 | 3236 | 1233 | 1 | 7.67 | 725 | 3512 | 1 | 8.30 |
| 1966-67 | 798 | 1195 | 1 | 7.12 | 253 | 2409 | 11 | 5.76 |
| 1967-68 | 650 | 1186 | I | 709 | 183 | 3454 | 72 | 3.51 |
| 1968-69 | 1183 | 840 | 55 | 3.06 | 230 | 1368 | 4.5 | 4.33 |
| 1969-70 | 745 | 548 | 89 | 2.23 | 172 | 1536 | 49 | 4.39 |
| $1970-71$ | 439 | 870 | 116 | 1.55 | 211 | 1518 | 81 | 2.94 |
| 1971-72 | 1522 | 568 | - | 1.5s | 833 | 2084 | 15 | 4.62 |
| Average |  |  |  | 4.18 |  |  |  | 4.61 |

Table 4. Mean length (in mm) of M. dobsoni

|  | $1962-63$ | $1963-64$ | $1964-65$ | $1965-66$ | $1966-67$ | $1967-68$ | $1968-69$ | 1969.70 | $1970-71$ | 1971.72. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 81.5 | 91.1 | 93.8 | 81.5 | 86.4 | 87.5 | 84.9 | 87.7 | 93.1 | 81.8 |
| Female | 93.2 | 103.7 | 103.4 | 96.8 | 100.2 | 103.2 | 99.7 | 101.1 | 109.4 | 95.1 |

and 1969-70, the mean size of males and females ranged from 86.4 to 91.1 mm and 100.2 to 103.7 mm respectively whereas when the fishery was a success during 1965-66, 1968-69 and 1971-72 the corresponding values were 81.593.1 mm and $96.8-109.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Thus the variations in the mean length do not appear to have any relation to the fluctuations in the fishery. Therefore, the changes in the mean length would seem to be only due to natural fluctuations. In the Cochin area a somewhat decreasing trend in the mean size of $M$. dobsoni was noticed by Mohamed (1973) which was attributed to the shoreward shifting of the fishing grounds.

## Sex ratio and maturity

The proportion of sexes based on the estimated numbers during the different seasons is shown in Table 5. The females predominated in all the years except in 1965-66. The monthly distribution of the sexes indicated the frequent incidence of females exceeding males by more than 1.5 times during April-May and November-December. This generally coincided with the peak periods of breeding, as would be seen later. It is of interest to note that George and Rao (1967) observed significant variations in the sex distribution associated with segregated movements for breeding purpose.

Table 6 shows the monthly distribution of maturity and impregnation in percentage among females during the different seasons. The frequency of mature females and impregnated ones among the different length groups is given in Table 7. Mature females were present in almost all the months of the different years thereby indicating that this species breeds throughout the year. This is in conformity with the observations of Rao (1968). However, slight variations in the timing of the spawning peaks were noticed. Peaks of spawning activity were evident in January, April and October-November. The smallest mature female was 71 mm . Impregnated specimens were encountered in most of the months, the smallest one measuring 68 mm . The percentage of impregnation was found to be generally high in May, August and October.

## Mortality rate

Since the 0-year class was not fully represented in the fishery, the total instantaneous rate of mortality was calculated between one and two-year-old. The estimated values varied considerably from year to year. (Table 3). However, the values for females were higher than those for males except during 1966-67 and 1967-68. The average annual instantaneous mortality rate between the first and second year class was estimated to be 4.18 and 4.61 for males and females respectively. These values were higher than those estimated by Kurup and Rao (1974) for the Cochin region especially in the case of females.

## Relation of the fishery to rainfall

The landings of $M$. dobsoni by the trawlers and indigenous gear together with rainfall are given in Table 8. The catch per unit effort for indigenous gear relates to cast net. Bulk of the rainfall was during June-September. It could be seen that the heaviest rainfall occurred during 1970-71 and the lowest during 1964-65 whereas the marine fishery was at its best during 1968-69 and poorest during 1963-64. However, the annual rainfall seems to bear a direct relation to the fishery in most of the years. During 1963-64, 1966-67 and 1969-70 the rainfall and the prawn landings showed a decrease whereas during 1965-66. 1967-68, 1968-69 and 1970-71 the fishery improved with the increase in rainfall. Gunter and Hildebrand (1954) observed that the catch of Penaeus setiferus
${ }^{\top}$ Table. 5. Sex ratio of M. dobsoni (first column under each month is males, and second females)

| Months | September |  | October |  | November |  | December |  | January |  | Februasy |  | March |  | April |  | May |  | July |  | August |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962-63 | 42.2 | 57.8 | - | - | 25.0 | 75.0 | 20.4 | 79.6 | 52.0 | 48.0 | 39.4 | 40.6 | 50.4 | 449.6 | 63.1 | 136.9 | 55.0 | . 045.0 | - | - | 30.0 | 70.0 | 48.5 | 51.5 |
| 1963-64 | - | - | 16.0 | 84.0 | 30.2 | 69.8 | 52.4 | 47.6 | 62.7 | 37.3 | 63.1 | 136.9 | 47.6 | 652.4 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 38.6 | . 661.4 | 67.8 | 32.2 | 67.4 | 32.6 | 49.5 | 50.5 |
| 1964-65 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 44.7 | 55.3 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 28.3 | 71.7 | 29.0 | 71.0 | 35.8 | 84.2 | 44.1 | 155.9 | 38.1 | 161.9 | 36.7 | .763.3 | - | - | 42.7 | 57.3 | 39.0 | 61.0 |
| 1965-66 | 17.2 | 82.8 | - | - | 34.6 | 65.4 | 63.2 | 36.8 | 42.4 | 57.6 | 61.2 | 288.8 | 52.4 | 447.6 | 40.5 | 559.5 | 39.5 | .560 .5 | - | - | 45.5 | 54.5 | 51.3 | 48.7 |
| 1966.67 | 9.3 | 90.7 | - | - | 14.3 | 85.7 | 20.4 | 79.6 | - | - | 56.7 | 743.3 | 35.4 | 464.6 | 49.0 | 051.0 | 55.7 | . 44.3 | 43.8 | 56.2 | 33.7 | 66.3 | 42.7 | 57.3 |
| 1967-68 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 23.3 | 76.7 | 25.8 | 74.2 | 55.2 | 44.8 | 21.7 | 778.3 | 50.4 | 449.6 | 37.2 | 262.8 | 58.9 | .9 41.1 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 33.1 | 66.9 |
| 1968-69 | 46.4 | 53.6 | 86.0 | 14.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 23.4 | 76.6 | 35.2 | 64.8 | 53.7 | 746.3 | 23.6 | 676.4 | 24.7 | 775.3 | 31.5 | .5 68.5 | 72.0 | 28.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 31.7 | 68.3 |
| 1969-70 | 20.5 | 79.5 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 58.5 | 41.5 | 25.9 | 74.1 | 41.6 | 58.4 | 38.9 | 961.1 | 34.0 | 066.0 | 76.1 | 123.9 | 55.5 | .5 44.5 | - | - | 65.9 | 34.1 | 44.0 | 56.0 |
| 1970.71 | 56.2 | 43.8 | 40.6 | 59.4 | 16.3 | 837 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 15.3 | 84.7 | 57.4 | 442.6 | 32.5 | 567.5 | 47.1 | 152.9 | 61.7 | .738.3 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 42.6 | 57.4 | 44.1 | 55.9 |
| 1971-72 | 75.9 | 24.1 | 36.7 | 63.3 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 45.7 | 54.3 | 55.2 | 244.8 | 51.7 | 748.3 | 24.8 | 875.2 | 32.8 | .867.2 | - | - | 60.8 | 39.2 | 42.4 | 57.6 |

Table 6. Monthly percentage of mature and impregnated females during the different years.

|  | September <br> M. Imp. |  | October | Imp | November M. Imp. |  | December <br> M. Imp |  | Ianuary <br> M. Imp. |  | February <br> M. lmp. |  | March <br> M. Imp. |  | April <br> M. mp |  | May <br> M. Imp. |  | July <br> M. Imp. |  | August <br> M. lmp. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962-63 | 24.3 | 50.7 | - | - | 66.2 | 33.3 | 34.3 | 18.5 | 30.2 | 33.7 | 18.0 | 45.1 | 28.2 | 23.0 | 8.8 | 42.8 | 17.4 | 48.1 | - | - | 18.8 | 43.0 |
| 1963-64 | - | - | 51.8 | 3.4 | 39.5 | 14.8 | 33.3 | 56.3 | 25.4 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 41.5 | 20.7 | 36.9 | 2.8 | 26.8 | 12.2 | 16.3 | 14.5 | 33.9 | 43.7 | 6.2 |
| 1964-65 | 10.0 | - | 62.8 | 44.2 | - | - | 32.1 | 12.5 | - | 14.3 | 13.3 | 6.6 | 15.5 | 22.3 | 7.3 | 24.0 | 20.0 | 31.4 | - |  | 16.1 | 77.5 |
| 1965-66 | - | 23.2 | - | - | - | 35.3 |  | 10.4 | 7.0 | 45.7 | 6.6 | 31.1 | - | 28.6 | 5.2 | 23.3 | - | 35.7 | - | - | 4.3 | 73.9 |
| 1966-67 | 72.1 | 32.5 | - | - | - | 83.3 | 4.3 | 18.2 | - | - | 25.4 | 40.5 | 23.6 | 47.1 | 30.0 | 34.2 | 5.8 | 28.0 | 13.7 | 41.4 | 12.2 | 20.0 |
| 1967-68 | - | - | 33.3 | 16.7 | 34.4 | 18.7 | 4.3 | 12.2 | 4.9 | 40.3 | 25.4 | 27.8 | 6.0 | 37.5 | 8.3 | 25.5 | 8.3 | 32.1 | - | - | 34.5 | 16.2 |
| 1968 -69 | 28.3 | 23.5 | 28.5 | 85.7 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 23.1 | 19.7 | 30.0 | 35.0 | 33.3 | 37.7 | 21.0 | 18.8 | 31.4 | 28.6 | 24.3 | 17.4 | - | - | - | - |
| 1969-70 | 10.0 | 16.7 | 56.0 | 72.0 | 14.8 | 43.3 | 44.4 | 38.4 | 21.5 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 20.6 | 32.0 | 32.8 | 8.3 | 33.3 | - | 28.5 | - | - | 20.3 | 37.8 |
| 1970-71 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.1 | 53.3 | 24.0 | 47.3 | 15.6 | 27.4 | 24.3 | 22.8 | 13.4 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 30.5 | 15.1 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 35.1 | - |  | 21.1 | 27.8 |
| 1971-72 | 23.1 | 18.0 | 41.7 | 62.5 | - | - | 6.0 | 10.8 | 31.2 | 21.0 | 17.1 | 21.6 | 8.7 | 19.2 | 3.6 | 30.3 | 10.0 | 15.0 | - | - | 16.0 | 16.0 |

Table 7. Percentage of mature and impregnated females in different lengh group

|  | Below$\mathbf{M}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { Imp. } \end{gathered}$ | 61.70 |  | 71-80 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Length groups in } \mathrm{mm} \text { ) } \\ & 81-90 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 101-110 |  | 111-120 |  | 121-130 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | M. | Imp. | M. | Imp. | M. | Imp. | M. | Imp. | M. | Imp. | M. | Imp. | M. | Imp |
| 1962-63 | - | - | - | - | 11.1 | 19.0 | 27.2 | 21.8 | 20.6 | 36.6 | 26.7 | 42.0 | 26.1 | 54.6 | - | 33.3 |
| 1963-64 | - | - | - | 14.3 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 16.0 | 24.0 | 28.1 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 33.9 | 43.0 | 35.2 | 46.1 | 38.4 |
| 1964-65 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22.6 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 15.8 | 31.7 | 29.1 | 47.3 | 33.3 | 78.6 |
| 1965-66 | - | - | - | - | 5.5 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 10.5 | 4.4 | 31.8 | 3.5 | 33.0 | 1.3 | 44.7 | $\cdots$ | 50.0 |
| 1966.67 | - | -- | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 8.1 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 30.0 | 23.7 | 46.3 | 35.1 | 36.9 | 13.3 | 46.6 |
| 1967-68 | - | - | - | - | 16.7 | 12.5 | 5.0 | 15.9 | 8.5 | 28.4 | 10.2 | 32.0 | 30.0 | 33.3 | 54.5 | 45.4 |
| 1968-69 | - | - | - | - | 12.5 | - | 26.8 | 16.6 | 29.9 | 46.7 | 27.2 | 29.6 | 27.1 | 26.8 | 25.0 | 39.1 |
| 1969-70 | - | - | - | 25.0 | 41.7 | 19.2 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 20.5 | 18.6 | 25.2 | 32.0 | 29.3 | 48.6 | 40.9 | 65.4 |
| 1970-71 | - | - | - | [3.3 | - | 9.8 | 10.8 | 25.7 | 24.4 | 28.8 | 21.4 | 29.2 | 35.1 | 37.0 | 58.3 | 51.1 |
| 1971-72 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 4.0 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 17.4 | 28.0 | 13.0 | 25.2 | 30.0 | 31.1 | 66.2 | 66.2 |

Table 8. Rainfall, estuarine catch and marine fishery

in Texas waters was correlated with the rainfall of the preceding year and the year before that, this time lag being partly related to the salinity fluctuations following the wet and dry spells and to the year-class composition.

## Relation of the fishery to estuarine catch

The low values of the catch per haul in the estuarine environment from 1968-69 onwards (Table 8) was due to the fact that a smaller kairampani was operated (vide supra). The steady increase in the estuarine catch during 196367 was reffected in the increased marine landings except in 1966-67. During 1967-68, though the estuarine landings declined, the marine fishery showed a rising trend. The relation was again observed to be direct during 196970 and 1970-71 and inverse during 1971-72. George (1963) and Rao (1972) have observed that even the abundance of larvae and post-larvae would provide an index to forecast the marine fishery. However, the present study has not established any clear relationship between juvenile abundance and the fishery. This might be due to the fact that the fishing operations in the estuary were
restricted to the receding tide. A better picture of the relationship may emerge if an index of the immigrating and emmigrating population could be obtained.
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