CRYOPRESERVATION OF SPERMATOPHORES OF THE MARINE SHRIMP *PENAEUS INDICUS* H. MILNE EDWARDS

A.D. DIWAN *and* SHOJI JOSEPH

Central Institute of Fisheries Education, ICAR, Versova, Mumbai 400 061, India

ABSTRACT

Attempts on cryopreservation of spermatozoa have been made in penaeid shrimp *Penaeus indicus*. Viable spermatozoa was preserved successfully for a period of 60 days at –35°C and –196°C temperatures. Among several cryoprotectants used, a combination of DMSO (5%) and glycerol (5%), and DMSO (5%) and trehalose (0.25M) showed best viability rate (75 to 80%) in freeze thawed spermatozoa. Activational changes in spermatozoa during acrosome reaction after induction with egg water have been mentioned. The importance of cryogenic storage of spermatozoa is discussed.

Key words: *Penaeus indicus,* spermatozoa, freezing, viability.

To augment shrimp based aquaculture it is necessary to develop gamete bank so as to produce the quality seed at desired time. Successful cryopreservation of fish gametes is well established for sperm cells from many species (Muir and Roberts, 1993) but similar attempts on invertebrate sperm particularly that of crustaceans are very limited. Chow (1982) for the first time reported the successful preservation of spermatophores of freshwater shrimp *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Ishida *et al.* (1986) later developed a technique for long term storage of lobster (*Homarus*) spermatophores. Spermatozoa of the penaeiod prawn *Sicyonia ingentis* have been successfully preserved for a period of 2 months in liquid nitrogen by Anchordoguy *et al.* (1988). Jeyalectumie and Subramoniam (1989), and Joshi and Diwan (1992) have developed a method to cryopreserve the viable spermatophores in case of mud crab *Scylla serrata* and shrimp *Macrobrachium idella* respectively. Recently Subramoniam (1993, 1994) has reviewed cryopreservation of gametes and embryos of a few cultivable crustacean species. In spite of the fact that among invertebrates, decapod crustaceans are the most economically important group of animals, very little attention has been paid on freezing and preservation of gametes.

J. Aqua. Trop. **15(1)** (2000) 35–43.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Adult specimens of *P. indicus* (males) ranging in size from 100 to 110 mm in length were collected from backwaters of Cochin and brought to the laboratory in live condition. Matured males were distinguished by the presence of united petasma and swollen white colour at the coxae of the last pair of pereiopods. Such animals were kept separately in 500 l circular tanks containing aerated seawater (Salinity ≈ 30‰) and provided with a biological filter. The spermatophores required for acrosome reaction and cryopreservation studies were acquired from such males by using a modified electro-ejaculation method of Sandifer and Lynn (1980).

In crustaceans the sperm is immotile and therefore the viability of spermatozoa was determined by acrosomal reaction (Clark and Griffin, 1993) induced with egg water which was extracted according to the method described by Griffin *et al.* (1987). Extruded spermatophores were individually and gently homogenized to free the sperm cells using a glass tissue grinder in filtered seawater (salinity ≈ 30‰). Tissue fragments were separated from the sperm supernatant by hand centrifugation. Assays for acrosome reaction were conducted in culture tubes of 3 µl capacity. Prior to addition of sperm, 900 µl of egg water was added to each assay tube. Then immediately the supernatant was removed by a pipette and 100 µl of sperm cells were pipetted into the egg water and mixed thoroughly. After five minutes of incubation 100 µl of the sample was removed to another tube and fixed with a drop of 70% ethanol in seawater (as control). The remainder of the sample was allowed to incubate for another 55 minutes. After 1 h incubation, sperm were examined to determine reacted sperm cells which had undergone acrosomal exocytosis as described by Clark *et al.* (1981). The reacted sperm cells were counted using haemocytometer and observing under phase-contrast microscope. Each time more than 100 sperm cells were counted and average of three such counts were taken into consideration to represent reacted spermatozoa in each sample.

The cryoprotectants tested and used in the present study were dimethysulfoxide (DMSO 5%) and glycerol (5%) both prepared in standard seawater (S ≈ 35‰.), a combination of DMSO (5%) and glycerol (5%) (1:1), DMSO (5%) and trehalose (0.25 M) (1:1) and trehalose (0.25 M) alone. For cryopreservation studies multiple sets of cryovials (5 ml capacity) made of polycarbonate were taken in triplicate for each of the cryoprotectants to be tested. Sperm cells isolated form spermatophores by the method described above were diluted in a extender solution (Standard Seawater) to a concentration of 10^6 to 10^7 sperm/ml and immediately incubated in an equal volume of cryoprotectant for 5 minutes at room temperature before initiation of the cooling process. The three different temperatures tested were 0°C, –35°C and –196°C. The freezing chamber of a refrigerator was used for 0°, for –35°C a programmable cryostat was used and for –196°C the samples were stored in liquid nitrogen (LN_2). Sperm samples incubated with cryoprotectants were frozen in the cryovials at

precise cooling rates using a programmable cryostat. The samples were cooled from 0°C to –35°C at a rate of –1°C per minute. Once the temperature reached –35°C the samples to be stored in LN_2 were then exposed to the vapours of LN_2 for 5 minutes before plunging the same into LN_2 permanently. The percentage of viability of sperm was determined for the three different storage temperatures at periodical intervals of 7, 15, 30 and 60 days after storage respectively. To determine the viability of cryopreserved sperm, the samples were thawed at room temperature (20°C). When the sperm suspensions thawed completely, the samples were diluted with 900 µl of standard seawater and centrifuged in a refrigerated centrifuge machine for three minutes. The supernatant was removed and the sperm pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of egg water for 1 h and then the percentage of sperm that had undergone both phases (exocytosis and filament formation) of acrosome reaction was determined.

RESULTS

The morphological features of non-motile (un-reacted) spermatozoa in case of *P. indicus* when observed under a phase-contrast microscope revealed that superficially the sperm is distinguished into three regions, viz. (1) a posterior main body, (2) a central cap rigion and (3) an anterior spike. The posterior main body is an elongated sphere housing an uncondensed nucleus followed by a central cap region which includes acrosomal vesicles. Though the penaeid sperm appear to be non-motile they become active just prior to fertilization during natural spawning. The activated or reacted sperm exhibited a total change in their morphological anatomy. It has been found that in the wild when sperm cells come in contact with an egg at the time of spawning, it binds with the tip of its spike to the egg's vitelline envelope and quickly get activated. The first manifestation of an activated sperm is the loss of anterior spike which immediately results in the externalization of the acrosomal vesicle contents and exocytosis of the acrosomal vesicles. With the exocytosis of the acrosomal vesicles, sperm activation is completed by forming a long acrosomal filament (Fig. 1). Similar changes occur when sperm are artificially induced with an egg water in the laboratory.

Among the five cryoprotectants tested, the performance of DMSO combined with glycerol and DMSO with trehalose was found to be the best. In these solutions viability of freeze thawed spermatozoa was found to be the highest (60% to 80%) even after the extended period (60 days) of cryopreservation at –35°C and –196°C (Tables 2, 3). DMSO, glycerol and trehalose when used independently showed low percentage of viability of freeze thawed spermatozoa cryopreserved at all the three temperatures viz. 0°C, –35°C and –196°C (Tables 1–3).



Fig. 1. Phasemicrographs of the five activational stages of *Penaeus indicus* spermatozoa × 400. (A) an unreacted spermatozoa possessing an anterior spike, (B) a spermatozoa that has undergone acrosomal exocytosis and has lost the spike, (C) initiation of acrosomal filament formation, (D) acrosomal filament formation in progress and (E) a spermatozoa that has completed the acrosome reaction by forming an acrosomal filament.

DISCUSSION

In the crustaceans, the sperm are reported to be always non-motile and non-flagellated (Felgenhauer and Abele, 1991). Several attempts have been made to reveal the structural details of the crustacean spermatozoa through SEM and TEM studies. Lynn and Clark (1983) have done SEM studies on sperm egg interaction in freshwater prawn *M. rosenbergii* whereas in penaeid prawn *Sicyonic ingentis* Clark *et al.* (1981) made in-depth investigations on sperm-egg activational changes through ultrastructural means. Two phases of acrosomal reaction, i.e., exocytosis of the acrosomal vesicle and generation of the

Table 1. Actual counts and percentage of activated spermatozoa of *Penaeus indicus* cryopreserved at –0°C for different durations of time

Duration of cryopreservation	Activated spermatozoa				
	Spermatozoa with spike	Exocytosis	Filament formation	Percentage of activated spermatozoa	Cryoprotectants used
At time zero	25 ± 2	45 ± 3	46 ± 2	78.0	Glycerol
	16 ± 1	53 ± 4	54 ± 3	88.0	DMSO
	18 ± 3	51 ± 3	57 ± 3	86.0	Glycerol+DMSO
	20 ± 2	48 ± 2	51 ± 2	83.0	DMSO+Trehalose
	22 ± 3	44 ± 2	49 ± 2	81.0	Trehalose
	24 ± 2	50 ± 2	53 ± 2	81.0	Seawater
7 days	33 ± 2	37 ± 3	33 ± 2	67.0 a	Glycerol
	51 ± 2	60 ± 2	52 ± 2	68.8 a	DMSO
	30 ± 3	40 ± 2	30 ± 3	70.0 a	Glycerol+DMSO
	36 ± 2	37 ± 2	40 ± 3	69.0 a	DMSO+Trehalose
	52 ± 2	45 ± 3	37 ± 2	63.8 a	Trehalose
	–	–	–	–	Control
15 days	37 ± 4	36 ± 3	34 ± 5	65.85 a	Glycerol
	43 ± 5	37 ± 5	37 ± 5	63.09 a	DMSO
	43 ± 4	50 ± 6	40 ± 6	67.76 a	Glycerol+DMSO
	34 ± 3	42 ± 5	34 ± 5	68.83 a	DMSO+Trehalose
	41 ± 3	30 ± 5	30 ± 5	60.01 a	Trehalose
	23 ± 2	7 ± 1	–	–	Control
30 days	46 ± 5	19 ± 2	34 ± 2	53.48 a	Glycerol
	43 ± 5	25 ± 5	17 ± 1	49.86 a	DMSO
	55 ± 4	30 ± 3	48 ± 1	58.22 a	Glycerol+DMSO
	52 ± 3	40 ± 5	45 ± 5	61.55 a	DMSO+Trehalose
	42 ± 3	15 ± 3	16 ± 4	42.46 a	Trehalose
	14 ± 2	05 ± 0	–	–	Control

Each value is mean of three determinations ± SD a: $P < 0.001$;
The percentage of acrosome reaction on freshly collected spermatozoa is observed to be between 85–90. Control: Standard seawater used as a medium (S ≈ 35‰)

acrosomal filament as noticed in the induced spermatozoa of *P. indicus* of the present study have been identically reported in other penaeid like *S. ingentis* (Clark *et al.*, 1981, 1984 and Griffin *et al.*, 1988). For induction of spermatozoa, among different compounds like bromocalcium ionophore, valinomycin, nigricin and egg water, the egg water has been reported to be the best inducing agent for acrosome reaction (Griffin *et al.*, 1987). Later in-vitro induction of acrosomal filament in the natantian sperm with an egg water has been achieved by many investigators (Griffin *et al.*, 1988; Griffin and Clark, 1990; Clark and Griffin, 1993; Pratoomchat *et al.*, 1993). Therefore, the sperm viability test in the present investigation was done only with an egg water. The morphologically

Table 2. Actual counts and percentage of activated spermatozoa of *Penaeus indicus* cryopreserved at –35°C for different durations of time

Duration of cryopreservation	Activated Spermatozoa				
	Spermatozoa with spike	Exocytosis	Filament formation	Percentage of activated spermatozoa	Cryoprotectants used
At time zero	23 ± 2	46 ± 3	51 ± 3	80.80	Glycerol
	17 ± 2	55 ± 3	50 ± 2	86.00	DMSO
	15 ± 1	52 ± 3	51 ± 2	87.20	Glycerol+DMSO
	21 ± 1	49 ± 2	50 ± 2	82.50	DMSO+Trehalose
	22 ± 2	43 ± 3	49 ± 3	80.70	Trehalose
	25 ± 3	51 ± 3	54 ± 2	80.10	Seawater
7 days	16 ± 5	25 ± 3	15 ± 5	70.75 n	Glycerol
	25 ± 5	28 ± 4	33 ± 4	70.54 a	DMSO
	15 ± 5	29 ± 5	28 ± 5	79.38 n	Glycerol+DMSO
	16 ± 4	32 ± 6	33 ± 6	79.87 n	DMSO+Trehalose
	21 ± 6	26 ± 4	21 ± 24	69.00 a	Trehalose
	22 ± 5	4 ± 1	–	–	Control
15 days	36 ± 6	39 ± 5	35 ± 5	67.20 a	Glycerol
	27 ± 5	37 ± 4	35 ± 4	65.79 a	DMSO
	29 ± 5	55 ± 6	36 ± 5	75.94 n	Glycerol+DMSO
	31 ± 3	58 ± 5	50 ± 4	77.80 n	DMSO+Trehalose
	40 ± 6	32 ± 4	30 ± 5	62.87 a	Trehalose
	33 ± 5	10 ± 3	–	–	Control
30 days	37 ± 6	33 ± 5	38 ± 5	64.90 n	Glycerol
	54 ± 1	33 ± 4	48 ± 5	59.39 a	DMSO
	40 ± 6	41 ± 5	57 ± 5	70.57 n	Glycerol+DMSO
	33 ± 5	28 ± 5	54 ± 5	74.63 n	DMSO+Trehalose
	36 ± 5	16 ± 5	31 ± 4	57.89 a	Trehalose
	17 ± 2	9 ± 2	–	–	Control
60 days	42 ± 3	22 ± 4	33 ± 4	56.70 a	Glycerol
	60 ± 5	28 ± 3	43 ± 4	54.19 a	DMSO
	60 ± 4	53 ± 4	51 ± 5	63.41 a	Glycerol+DMSO
	36 ± 5	33 ± 5	48 ± 3	69.23 a	DMSO+Trehalose
	77 ± 6	36 ± 4	41 ± 3	50.00 a	Trahelose
	36 ± 3	9 ± 2	–	–	Control

Each value is mean of three determinations ± SD a: $P < 0.001$; n: not significant compared to time zero values
Control: Standard seawater used as a medium (S ≈ 35‰)

identifiable structural changes of the sperm during acrosome reaction in fact made the viability studies easy. It is the basic information required for further manipulation of the sperm.

Table 3. Actual counts and percentage of activated spermatozoa of *Penaeus indicus* cryopreserved at −196°C for different durations of time

Duration of Cryopreservation	Activated spermatozoa				
	Spermatozoa with spike	Exocytosis	Filament formation	Percentage of activated spermatozoa	Cryoprotectants used
At time zero	23 ± 2	51 ± 3	54 ± 3	82.00	Glycerol
	18 ± 2	56 ± 2	55 ± 3	86.00	DMSO
	16 ± 2	53 ± 2	55 ± 3	87.00	Glycerol+DMSO
	21 ± 4	51 ± 3	47 ± 2	81.80	DMSO+Trehalose
	25 ± 2	48 ± 2	45 ± 3	79.30	Trehalose
	23 ± 2	52 ± 3	51 ± 3	81.00	Seawater
7 days	47 ± 4	82 ± 5	56 ± 5	74.22 n	Glycerol
	50 ± 2	64 ± 4	53 ± 4	70.07 a	DMSO
	26 ± 3	56 ± 5	39 ± 2	78.57 n	Glycerol+DMSO
	25 ± 3	59 ± 5	56 ± 5	81.92 n	DMSO+Trehalose
	48 ± 1	55 ± 5	49 ± 3	68.46 a	Trehalose
	67 ± 2	10 ± 3	–	–	Control
15 days	49 ± 3	64 ± 3	54 ± 2	70.67 n	Glycerol
	48 ± 1	59 ± 2	47 ± 3	68.90 a	DMSO
	35 ± 2	71 ± 3	55 ± 3	78.19 n	Glycerol+DMSO
	26 ± 4	57 ± 3	55 ± 4	80.39 n	DMSO+Trehalose
	39 ± 4	35 ± 4	29 ± 3	62.72 a	Trehalose
	28 ± 2	9 ± 2	–	–	Control
30 days	42 ± 4	47 ± 3	40 ± 3	67.46 a	Glycerol
	42 ± 3	47 ± 4	42 ± 3	67.99 a	DMSO
	30 ± 2	57 ± 5	40 ± 3	75.52 n	Glycerol+DMSO
	27 ± 4	55 ± 4	40 ± 3	78.10 n	DMSO+Trehalose
	31 ± 3	22 ± 3	24 ± 3	59.89 a	Trehalose
	17 ± 1	7 ± 2	–	–	Control
60 days	50 ± 5	35 ± 5	38 ± 4	58.70 a	Glycerol
	46 ± 4	24 ± 4	38 ± 3	56.67 a	DMSO
	42 ± 4	38 ± 3	49 ± 4	67.16 a	Glycerol+DMSO
	37 ± 4	27 ± 4	58 ± 5	69.97 a	DMSO+Trehalose
	53 ± 5	27 ± 3	35 ± 3	53.73 a	Trehalose
	42 ± 5	15 ± 3	–	–	Control

Each value is mean of three determinations ± SD a: $P < 0.001$; n: not significant compared to time zero values
Control: Standard seawater used as a medium (S ≈ 35‰)

There are very few reports on cryopreservation of crustacean sperm. The temperature at which the sperm samples are stored as well as cryoprotectants used for dilution of sperm have definite and significant role on the achievement of viability of cryopreserved sperm in *P. indicus* in the present study. From

the results it is found that –196°C is the best temperature for storage of intact viable sperm for longer periods than the other two temperatures, i.e., –35°C and 0°C. Among the cryoprotectants, mixture of DMSO and glycerol and DMSO and trehalose have been found to be the best preservative media. Since the glycerol was first reported as effective in protecting sperm from freeze-thaw damage by Rostand (1946), this has been widely used as cryoprotectant in cryopreservation studies. Using glycerol as a cryoprotectant Chow *et al.* (1985) could preserve spermatozoa of shrimp successfully at –196°C temperature but the same in DMSO did not show encouraging results. Anchordoguy *et al.* (1988) reported that the sperm of *S. ingentis* cryopresend in glycerol at –195°C for 1 month showed no decrease in viability upon thawing. In *Scylla serrata* the viability of the sperm in 30 days of storage was fairly high (95%) in samples stored in glycerol and DMSO + trehalose at –196°C (Jeyalectumie and Subramoniam, 1989). In *S. ingentis* Anchordoguy *et al.* (1988) tested different cooling rates and it was reported that a cooling rate of 1°C/min was the best in cryopreservation studies. The same cooling rate was used in the present investigation also.

In the present study glycerol and DMSO when used independently as cryoprotectants, percentage of viability of spermatoza was comparatively better at all temperatures tested. Only in trehalose there was almost 50% mortality. Anchordoguy *et al.* (1988) reported the high percentage of viable sperm in shrimp *S. ingentis* from the samples preserved in 5% DMSO. With regard to trehalose as a cryoprotecting media Jeyalectumie and Subramoniam (1989) reported that it is not an efficient medium when used independently. Similar findings have been reported by Anchordoguy *et al.* (1988) while working on cryopreservation of sperm in *S. ingentis*. Form the results of the present study it is concluded that viable spermatophores of penaeid prawns can be stored for prolonged duration through cryopreservation techniques.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors wish to express their sincere thanks to Dr. M. Devaraj, Director, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin for providing facilities and encouragement.

REFERENCES

Anchordoguy, T., Crowe, J.H., Griffin, F.J. and Clark, W.H. Jr. (1988). Cryopreservation of sperm from the marine shrimp *Sicyonia ingentis*. *Cryobiology,* **25:** 238–243.

Chow, S. (1982). Artificial insemination using preserved spermatophores in the palamemonid shrimp *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Bull. Japan. Soc. Scient. Fish.* **48:** 177–183.

Chow, S., Taki, Y. and Ogasawara, Y. (1985). Cryopreservation of spermatophore of the freshwater shrimp *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Biol. Bull.* **168:** 471–475.

Clark, W.H. Jr., Kleve, M.G. and Yudin, A.T. (1981). An acrosome reaction in Natantian sperm. *J. Exp. Zool.* **218:** 279–291.

Clark, W.H. Jr., Yudin, A.I., Griffin, F.J. and Shilgekawa, K. (1984). The control of gamete activation and fertilization in the marine penaeid, *Sicyonia ingentis.* In: *Advances in Invertebrate Reproduction* Vol. 3 (Engles, W. *et al.*, Eds.) Elsevier Sciences, New York. 459 pp.

Clark, W.H. Jr. and Griffin, F.J. (1993). Acquisition and manipulation of Penaeoidean gametes. In: *CRC-Hand Book of Mariculture*, 2nd Edition, Vol. 1, (McVey, J.P., Ed.), CRC Press, London, pp. 133–151.

Felgenhauer, B.E. and Abele, L.G. (1991). Morphological diversity of decapod spermatozoa. *In*: *Crustacean Sexual Biology,* (Banur R.T. and Martin, J.W., Eds.), Columbia Unviersity Press, New York, pp. 322–341.

Griffin, F.J., Clark, W.H. Jr., Crowe, J.H. and Crowe, L.M. (1987). Intracellular pH decreases during the in-vitro induction of the acrosome reaction in the sperm of *Sicyonia ingentis. Biol. Bull.* **173:** 311–323.

Griffin, F.J., Shigekawa, K. And Clark, W.H. Jr. (1988). Formation and structure of the acrosome filament in the sperm of *Sicyonia ingentis. J. Exp. Zool.* **246:** 94–102.

Griffin, F.J. and Clark, W.H. Jr. (1990). Induction of acrosomal filament formation in the sperm of *Sicyonia ingentis. J. Exp. Zool.,* **254:** 296–304.

Ishida, T., Talbot, P. and Kooda Cisco, M. (1986). Techniques for long-term storage of Lobster (*Homarus)* Spermatophores. *Gametes Res* **14:** 183–195.

Jeyalectumie, C. and Subramoniam, T. (1989). Cryopreservation of spermatophores of the edible crab, *Scylla serrata. Biol. Bull.* **177:** 247–253.

Joshi, V.P. and Diwan, A.D. (1992). Artificial insemination studies in *Macrobrachium idella* (Hilgendorf, 1898). *Proceedings on Freshwater Prawns.* (Silas E.G., Ed). Kerala Agricultural University Publ., Trichur, pp. 110–118.

Lynn, J.W. and Clark, W.H. (1983). A morphological examination of sperm egg interaction in the freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii. Biol. Bull.* **164:** 446–458.

Muir, J.E. and Roberts, R.J. (1993). Conservation and preservation of genetic variation. In: *Recent Advances in Aquaculture.* Vol. IV, Blackwell Scientific Pub., London. pp. 297–337.

Pratoomchat, B., Piyatiratitivorakul, S., Menasveta, P. and Fast, A.W. (1993). Sperm quality of pond reared and wild caught *Penaeus monodon* in Thailand. *J. World. Aquacult. Soc.* **24(4):** 350–540.

Rostand, J. (1946). Glycerine et resistance du sperme aux basses temperatures. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **22:** 1524–1525.

Sandifer, P.A. and Lynn, J.W. (1980). Artificial insemination of Caridean Shrimp. In: *Advance in Invertebrate Reproduction.* (Clark, W.H. and Adams, S.T., Eds.), Elsevier Publ., New York, pp. 271–288.

Subramoniam, T. (1993). Spermatophores and sperm transfer in marine crustaceans. In: *Advances in Marine Biology,* Vol. 29, (Blaxter J.H.S. and Southward, A.J., Eds), Academic Press, New York. pp. 129–214.

Subramoniam, T. (1994). Cryopreservation of crustacean gametes and embryos. *Proc. Indian Natn. Sci. Acad.* **60:** 229–236.

Received 30.03.98
Accepted 20.08.99