

# STUDIES ON VARIATIONS IN THE VERTEBRAL COUNTS OF OIL SARDINE ALONG THE NORTH KANARA COAST

G. G. ANNIGERI

*Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute Centre, Karwar.*

## ABSTRACT

The study on the sub-populations of the oil sardine *Sardinella longiceps* Val. was made based on vertebral counts recorded from specimens collected at seven centres, Karwar, Binage, Shankrubag, Chendia, Bellekeri and Belambar situated over a stretch of about 40 km south along the North Kanara coast and Kankon at a distance of 35 km north of Karwar. In all, 68 samples comprising 2183 specimens in the size range 96-209 mm were examined during the period June 1973 - April 1975. The total number of vertebrae ranged from 43 to 48, the mode being at 47. It was found that vertebral variations were not significant in fish below 160 mm length (mostly juveniles) and those above 160 mm (adults) suggesting that they were homogeneous in character. Data pooled from all centres showed significant difference indicating the possibility that samples drawn were from different sub-populations. Within centres, samples differed significantly especially at Binage and Chendia suggesting that these belonged to different sub-populations. Samples from Karwar, Belambar and Kankon did not differ significantly. It is inferred that sub-populations exist in the oilsardine shoals striking the coast between Karwar, Belambar and Kankon.

## INTRODUCTION

The oil sardine which is migratory in its behaviour frequents the coastal waters of North Kanara in dense shoals and supports local fisheries from September to January or up to March in certain years. Whether these shoals form one homogeneous population or whether there are regional sub-populations needs investigation, since this will have a bearing on future studies on the dynamics of oilsardine resources.

A sub-population is a fraction of a population genetically self-sustaining and self-perpetuating. Different stocks may mingle on their migratory routes or while on feeding grounds but a unit stock returns year after year to a single spawning ground thus maintaining its identity and coherence from generation to generation.

Scanty information is available regarding raciation in oil sardine. Hornell and Nayudu (1924) concluded after comparison of meristic and morphometric

characters of oil sardine from the east and west coasts of India that there was no evidence of races in the species. Devanesan and Chidambaram (1943) suggested the existence of races of oil sardine along the west coast of India on the basis of studies on morphometric characters. Subsequently, many workers stressed the need to investigate the differences, if any, between the oilsardine stocks off Mangalore and further north and those of the southern region.

The present study based on vertebral counts of oil sardine recorded during two seasons 1973-74 and 1974-75 aims at determining whether sub-populations exist in the shoals striking the coastal stretch of about 40 km between Karwar and Belambar to south and about 35 km between Karwar and Kankon to the north.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples for the study were drawn from the centres, Karwar, Binage, Shankrubag, Chendia, Bellekeri, Belambar and Kankon, the latter six being situated 5 km, 10 km, 29 km, 40 km to south and 35 km to north of Karwar, respectively. In all 68 samples comprising 2183 specimens in the size range of 98-209 mm were utilised. Because of the nature of the fishery, collections were made from the landing centres as and when the material was available without any set frequency. Though it was intended to collect specimens only during the spawning season, owing to scanty material, all fish irrespective of whether they are juvenile or adult collected during 1973-75 were utilised for vertebral counts.

After the specimens were collected from the landing centres, basic biological data were recorded from each individual, and then, each specimen was serially numbered taking into account the total length by inserting a numbered tag under the operculum. The specimens were then boiled in water for about ten minutes when the eyes attained the tinge of boiled sago. The vertebral columns were extricated from the flesh and the number of vertebrae in each specimen was counted using a magnifying glass. The data so collected were subjected to statistical treatment to study the variations in the number of vertebrae in the specimens examined. The analysis of variance is given for all the centres below.

#### RESULTS

In the course of the study, the total number of vertebrae met with in the specimens examined (including urostyle) were 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 and 48. Of these, the incidence of 47 vertebrae was the highest followed by 46, 48, 45 and 43. The data from seven centres were subjected to statistical tests taking size of fish, data pooled from all centres, data from each centre and data between centres into consideration.

A major part of the period June to December being the spawning season of the species, data on vertebral counts during the period were bifurcated as those

of fish below 160 mm total length (mostly juveniles) and those above 160 mm (adults) and analysed with a view to studying whether vertebral variations differed significantly in these categories.

1) *Fish below 160 mm*: In this category, 601 specimens were examined for vertebral counts from all centres. The analysis of variance is presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1. *Analysis of variance of vertebral counts of fish < 160 mm in length.*

| Source of variation | Degress of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | Observed F | Significance |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Between samples     | 34                 | 13.87          | 0.4080      | 1.31       | NS           |
| Within samples      | 566                | 176.17         | 0.3113      |            |              |
| Total               | 600                | 190.04         |             |            |              |

NS Non-significant

5%F = 1.41; 1%F = 1.61

ii) *Fish above 160 mm*: In this group, 769 fish were studied for vertebral counts from all centres. The significance is tested in Table 2.

TABLE 2. *Analysis of variance of vertebral counts of fish > 160 mm in length.*

| Source of variation | Degress of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | Observed F | Significance |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Between samples     | 35                 | 10.31          | 0.2946      | 1.15       | NS           |
| Within samples      | 733                | 188.07         | 0.2600      |            |              |
| Total               | 768                | 198.38         |             |            |              |

NS Non-significant

5%F = 1.41; 1%F = 1.61

It is clear from Tables 1 and 2 that vertebral variations were not significant in fish below 160 mm and those above 160 mm length.

Data on 813 specimens irrespective of size (juveniles and adults) collected during the period January to April were analysed and the analysis of variance is given in Table 3.

TABLE 3. *Analysis of variance of vertebral counts of fish all size groups combined, January - April.*

| Source of variation | Degress of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | Observed F | Significance |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Between samples     | 28                 | 12.89          | 0.46        | 1.71       | *            |
| Within samples      | 784                | 211.03         | 0.27        |            |              |
| Total               | 812                | 223.92         |             |            |              |

\* Significant at 5% probability level.

5% F = 1.47; 1% F = 1.71

It is evident that vertebral variations were significant at 5% probability level indicating the origin of samples from different populations.

*Data pooled from all centres:* To find out whether vertebral variations were significant from sample to sample in all the centres, the data collected during the course of the study were pooled and tested as shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4. *Analysis of variance of vertebral counts of fish of all centres, for the entire period.*

| Source of variation | Degress of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | Observed F | Significance |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Between samples     | 67                 | 28.10          | 0.42        | 1.50       | **           |
| Within samples      | 2115               | 586.95         | 0.28        |            |              |
| Total               | 2182               | 615.05         |             |            |              |

\*\* Significant at 1% probability level.

5% F = 1.28; 1% F = 1.41

Since the vertebral variations were significant from sample to sample, it may be surmised that the samples were heterogeneous.

*Data from each centre:* The data from different centres were analysed and tested for differences, if any, from sample to sample.

TABLE 5. Analysis of variance of vertebral counts of fish collected at different centres.

| Centres       | Source of variation | Degrees of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | Observed F | Significance               |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|
| a) Karwar     | Bet ween samples    | 36                 | 10.75          | 0.30        | 1.12       | NS                         |
|               | Within samples      | 1219               | 323.15         | 0.27        |            |                            |
|               | Total               | 1255               | 333.90         |             |            | 5% F = 1.40<br>1% F = 1.59 |
| b) Binage     | Between samples     | 3                  | 3.64           | 1.21        | 3.68       | *                          |
|               | Within samples      | 144                | 47.36          | 0.33        |            |                            |
|               | Total               | 147                | 51.00          |             |            | 5% F = 2.67<br>1% F = 3.91 |
| c) Shankrubag | Between samples     | 3                  | 1.32           | 0.44        | 1.25       | NS                         |
|               | Within samples      | 138                | 48.34          | 0.35        |            |                            |
|               | Total               | 141                | 49.66          |             |            | 5% F = 2.67<br>1% F = 3.91 |
| d) Chendia    | Between samples     | 10                 | 6.59           | 0.66        | 2.44       | *                          |
|               | Within samples      | 340                | 93.11          | 0.27        |            |                            |
|               | Total               | 350                | 99.70          |             |            | 5% F = 1.89<br>1% F = 2.44 |
| e) Bellekeri  | Between samples     | 1                  | 0.03           | 0.03        | 0.09       | NS                         |
|               | Within samples      | 43                 | 14.55          | 0.34        |            |                            |
|               | Total               | 44                 | 14.58          |             |            | 5% F = 4.06<br>1% F = 7.24 |
| f) Belambar   | Between samples     | 4                  | 1.25           | 0.31        | 1.27       | NS                         |
|               | Within samples      | 105                | 25.85          | 0.25        |            |                            |
|               | Total               | 109                | 27.10          |             |            | 5% F = 2.46<br>1% F = 3.51 |
| g) Kankon     | Between samples     | 4                  | 2.23           | 0.56        | 2.08       | NS                         |
|               | Within samples      | 126                | 34.35          | 0.27        |            |                            |
|               | Total               | 130                | 36.58          |             |            | 5% F = 2.44<br>1% F = 3.47 |

NS Non-significant

\* Significant at 5% probability level

It is evident from the Table above that the samples at Karwar, Shankrubag, Bellekeri, Belambar and Kankon are homogeneous. But those at Binage and Chendia show heterogeneity.

The data in the region between Karwar and Belambar, omitting one centre at a time was analysed and tested to note whether there is any significant difference in the samples. The analysis of variance is presented in Table 6.

TABLE 6. Analysis of variance of vertebral counts of fish in different regions between Karwar and Belambar.

| Regions                | Source of variation | Degrees of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | Observed F | Significance               |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------|
| a) Karwar & Belambar   | Between samples     | 62                 | 25.17          | 0.40        | 1.46       | *                          |
|                        | Within samples      | 1989               | 552.70         | 0.28        |            |                            |
|                        | Total               | 2051               | 577.87         |             |            |                            |
|                        |                     |                    |                |             |            | 5% F = 1.32<br>1% F = 1.47 |
| b) Karwar & Bellekeri  | Between samples     | 57                 | 23.59          | 0.41        | 1.48       | **                         |
|                        | Within samples      | 1884               | 526.86         | 0.28        |            |                            |
|                        | Total               | 1941               | 550.45         |             |            |                            |
|                        |                     |                    |                |             |            | 5% F = 1.32<br>1% F = 1.47 |
| c) Karwar & Chendia    | Between samples     | 55                 | 23.47          | 0.43        | 1.53       | **                         |
|                        | Within samples      | 1841               | 512.31         | 0.28        |            |                            |
|                        | Total               | 1896               | 535.78         |             |            |                            |
|                        |                     |                    |                |             |            | 5% F = 1.32<br>1% F = 1.47 |
| d) Karwar & Shankrubag | Between samples     | 44                 | 16.73          | 0.38        | 1.36       | NS                         |
|                        | Within samples      | 1501               | 419.16         | 0.28        |            |                            |
|                        | Total               | 1545               | 435.89         |             |            |                            |
|                        |                     |                    |                |             |            | 5% F = 1.40<br>1% F = 1.59 |
| e) Karwar & Binage     | Between samples     | 40                 | 14.83          | 0.37        | 1.36       | NS                         |
|                        | Within samples      | 1363               | 370.82         | 0.27        |            |                            |
|                        | Total               | 1403               | 385.65         |             |            |                            |
|                        |                     |                    |                |             |            | 5% F = 1.40<br>1% F = 1.59 |
| f) Karwar & Kankon     | Between samples     | 41                 | 13.80          | 0.34        | 1.27       | NS                         |
|                        | Within samples      | 1345               | 357.49         | 0.27        |            |                            |
|                        | Total               | 1386               | 371.29         |             |            |                            |
|                        |                     |                    |                |             |            | 5% F = 1.40<br>1% F = 1.59 |

NS Non-significant

\* Significant at 5% probability level

\*\* Significant at 1% probability level

It is clear from the Table that vertebral variations Between Karwar and Belambar, Karwar and Bellekeri, Karwar and Chendia were significant, while the variations between Karwar and Shankrubag, Karwar and Binage, Karwar and Kankon were not significant.

TABLE 7. Vertebral counts of oil sardine at various centres.

| Centre     | Numbers of vertebrae |    |    |     |      |     | Total |
|------------|----------------------|----|----|-----|------|-----|-------|
|            | 43                   | 44 | 45 | 46  | 47   | 48  |       |
| Karwar     | —                    | 1  | 8  | 236 | 930  | 81  | 1256  |
| Binage     | —                    | 1  | 1  | 34  | 102  | 10  | 148   |
| Shankrubag | 1                    | —  | 2  | 27  | 107  | 5   | 142   |
| Chendia    | 1                    | —  | 3  | 66  | 265  | 16  | 351   |
| Bellekeri  | —                    | —  | —  | 12  | 29   | 4   | 45    |
| Belambar   | —                    | —  | —  | 19  | 82   | 9   | 110   |
| Kankon     | —                    | —  | 1  | 33  | 91   | 6   | 131   |
| Total      | 2                    | 2  | 15 | 427 | 1606 | 131 | 2183  |

#### DISCUSSION

The present finding that the number of vertebrae ranged from 43 to 48 with the modal frequency at 47 is in conformity with the observations of Antony Raja and Hiyama (1969) but at variance with the report of Li (1960) who stated that the range was 46-47.

During June-December which includes the spawning season of the species, the data when bifurcated at 160 mm length, analysed and tested for significance revealed that vertebral counts did not differ significantly in fish below 160 mm length (mostly juveniles) and those above 160 mm (adults) thereby indicating that they were homogeneous in character. Since most of the adults examined were in spent-recovering condition, it is likely that the fish segregated into shoals on the spawning grounds at the time of spawning may have mingled on the in-shore feeding grounds. The analysis of variance of vertebral counts of fish of all size groups combined during January to April showed significance at 5% probability level indicating the origin of samples from different populations. When the data from all centres were pooled and tested, the variance ratio was significant at 1% probability level, suggesting that the samples drawn were from

different sub-populations. The data revealed significant differences from sample to sample at Binage and Chendia, but not so at other 5 centres. It is possible that samples drawn from Binage, Chendia and during January to April period from all centres, represent different sub-populations striking the coastal stretch after spawning, for feeding. When the test was carried out for data between centres (vide Table 6) there was significant difference in the regions between Karwar and Belambar, Karwar and Bellekeri and Karwar and Chendia but not in the regions between Karwar and Shankrubag, Karwar and Binage and Karwar and Kankon. This clearly shows that the samples drawn between Karwar to Shankrubag show homogeneity but those from Chendia to Belambar or Karwar to Belambar show heterogeneity. Since the species is migratory, it cannot be said that any sub-population is endemic to a particular locality.

Studies on stocks of other clupeoids are worthy of mention. Jones (1954) stated that preliminary morphological studies on hilsa in the Chilka Lake, the Mahanadi River and the Hooghly did not show any significant differences in the external characters and body proportions of the fish. Pillay (1957) utilising meristic and non-meristic characters for his studies observed that Chilka hilsa belonged to a stock separate from that of Hooghly. Graham (1956) stated that the modal frequency of vertebral counts for spring herring spawners falls at 57, while in the case of autumn spawners, this stands at 56. Although they are racially distinct, having different spawning grounds, these several closely related stocks mix with each other in spring for food. Vrooman (1964) serologically separated three genetically different groups of Pacific sardine, *Sardinops caerulea* on the basis of frequency of occurrence of C-positive blood factor. In the case of the North sea herring, Cushing (1967) opined that there are three spawning groups among the autumn spawners. Mais (1972) based on meristic and morphometric characters of Pacific sardines of the west coast of North America and Mexico showed the existence of three stocks.

Viewing the present findings in the light of the observations made by other workers in different waters, it may be inferred that there may be different sub-populations of oil sardine along the North Kanara coast. More detailed studies on meristic and morphometric characters coupled with serological and electrophoretic investigations may help establish the extent and identities of these stocks.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Dr. R. V. Nair, Director, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, under whose direction the present account was prepared. He is also grateful to Dr. K. V. Sekharan, Senior Fishery Scientist, C.M.F. R. Institute for his guidance and valuable help rendered in the course of this investigation. He is thankful to Dr. M. V. Pai, Officer-in-Charge, C.M.F.R. Sub-station, Karwar for his co-operation while preparing the paper.



## REFERENCES

- ANTONY RAJA, B. T. AND Y. HIYAMA. 1969. Studies on the systematics and biometrics of a few Indo-Pacific sardines. (An extract). *Rec. Oceanogr. works in Japan* 10(1): 75-103.
- CUSHING, D. H. 1967. Fisheries Biology. A study in population dynamics. *The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Milwaukee, and London*, pp. 200
- DEVANESAN, D. W. AND K. CHIDAMBARAM. 1943. On the alleged existence of races in the population of the oil sardine, *Sardinella longiceps* in the Arabian Sea. *Proc. 30th Indian Sci. Congr., III*: 60
- GRAHAM, M. 1956. Sea Fisheries. Their investigations in the United Kingdom. *Edward Arnold (publishers) Ltd London*, pp. 487
- HORNEL, J. AND M. R. NAYUDU. 1924. A contribution to the life history of the Indian oil sardine with notes on the plankton of the Malabar coast. *Madras Fish. Bull.*, 17: 129-197.
- JONES, S. 1964. Hilsa investigations at the Central Inland Fisheries Research Station - Aims and achievements. *J. Asiatic Soc.*, 20: 65-67
- LI, KWAN-MING. 1960. Synopsis on the biology of sardinella in the tropical eastern Indo-Pacific area. Species synopsis No. 5, *Proc. World Sci. Meeting on Biology of Sardines*, 2: 175-212.
- MAIS, KENNETH, F. 1972. A sub-population study of the Pacific Sardine. *Calif. Fish. and Game*, 58(4): 296-314.
- PILLAY, T. V. R. 1957. A morphometric study of the populations of *Hilsa ilisha* (Hamilton) of the river Hooghly and of the Chilka Lake. *Indian J. Fish.*, 4(1):344-386.
- VROOMAN, ANDREW M. 1964. Serologically differentiated Sub-populations of the Pacific sardine, *Sardinops caerulea*. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada*, 21(4): 691-701.