

Fishing Trends of Two **Mud Shrimps Off Mumbai** by trawl

M. Aravindakshan, Sr. Scientist Mumbai R.C. of CMFRI 148/II Floor Army & Navy Bldg, II Floor M.G. Road, Mumbai - 400 001

Penaeid prawns belonging to genus Solenocera are generally called 'Mud Shrimps' in view of their environmental preference. In Indian waters Maharashtra ranks first in the contribution of solenocerids to the fishery. Earlier Kunju (1967) reported a fishery for Solenocera indicus Nataraj which was later identified as S. crassicornis Milne Edwards. This is a coastal species fished by traditional 'Dol' and 'Bhokshi' nets along Maharashtra coast. Solenocerids in general are deep water forms. Occurrence of this species along the coastal waters must have been recent in the evolutionary scale of time as they cannot tolerate steep changes in the physico-chemical conditions which take place when monsoons result in altering salinity and temperature parameters of coastal waters. Solenocera crassicornis population migrates in swarms to deeper waters when monsoonic weather conditions strengthen (Kunju M.M).

That another species of Solenocera identified as S. choprai Nataraj would be a contributor to the coastal fishery of Maharashtra was not expected. Mechanisation of country craft and usage of trawl nets made such a condition possible. The result is another fishery for S. choprai

Table 1 showing catch particulars fo S. crassicornis & S. choprai				greater depths than fishable depth upto 70m by traditional
Period	Total Prawn catch	Component of		trawlers. But larger
	(S.dock, trawl)	S. choprai t/%	S. crassicornis t/%	trawlers belonging to Fishery Survey of India and others can
1991-92	14379 t	967(6.7)	367(4.8)	explore the adjoining
1992-93	19101	62(0.3)	2748(14.4)	fished areas and
1993-94	17699	3502(1.9)	668(3.7)	determine the extent
1994-95	11502	95.3(0.82)	480(4.7)	of fishable stock still
1995-96	9466	7.5(0.07)	1002(10.5)	waiting to be tapped.

fished exclusively by trawl net (Aravindakshan and Karbhari, 1983) came into existence. It is interesting therefore to have a comparative account of these two species and this is attempted covering a period of five years which may be of considerable help in the management of the fishery.

It will be seen from the Table that landings of S. choprai are somewhat less than S. crassicornis in magnitude as well as percentage of total prawn landings. This is due to the fact that only a part of S. choprai could be fished and larger size groups are net fished at present. The distributional record of S. choprai (Melthuis, 1980) shown

Incidentally the meat

of solenocerids is favoured by Japanese fish eating public and this enhances the scope for earning additional foreign exchange.

References

ARAVINDAKSHAN. M and KARBHARI. 1983. Prawn resources showing along Maharashtra coast Mar. Fish. Infor. Serv. 58, 10-12.

HOLTHUIS, L.B. 1980. Shrimp and prawns of the world. FAO. Sym. Vol. I 281 p.

KUNJU M.M. 1967. Observations on the prawn fishery of Maharashtra coast. Mar. biol. Assoc. India Pt. 4.1382-97.

