Mapping fish research in India – Missed opportunity

Jayashree and Arunachalam¹ have analysed the impact of fish research in India among the global scientific community and reported that majority of publications, particularly those from the central research laboratories, are published in non-Science Citation Index (SCI) and non-Journal Citation Reports (JCR) journals of low impact with poor visibility. They have concluded 'fish research in India appears to be mediocre in general'.

The fish production in our country increased by more than five times² and the contribution of fisheries to the GDP of India increased by nearly three times³ during the last 5 decades, a growth arguably one of the highest among the food production sectors. This growth would not have been possible without an effective research support. A few examples of research-supported fish production are as follows: (i) The then Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute (Barrackpore) published the first paper on the success in induced breeding of carps in 1957 (ref. 4). Subsequently, technologies on induced breeding and larval rearing were developed for a number of species of carps, all of which were published in non-SCI journals. These research developments paved the way for the current annual carp production of >1 million tonnes. (ii) The Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute (CMFRI), Kochi developed the hatchery technology of penaeid

shrimps in 1973 (ref. 5), and by 1978, larval rearing of several shrimps was successfully developed and documented. All these achievements were published in the Institute's non-SCI journals. In 1999-2000, the country has exported farmed shrimps worth US \$ 0.8 billion. (iii) The CMFRI developed hatchery and mariculture technologies for the pearl oyster⁶, edible ovster, mussels⁷ and clams. All these technologies were, and are being documented in non-SCI journals since 1973. Of these, pearl culture and mussel culture have made significant impacts among the entrepreneurs and fishermen. (iv) The Bay of Bengal Programme (FAO), Chennai designed a high opening trawlnet with the help of gear experts in India. The design, which revolutionized the capture fisheries sector, was published as a BOBP working paper in 1980 (ref. 8). In two decades, all the trawlnets (150,000 in number in. 1998) in the country are of high opening type. These nets now produce 1.2 million tonnes of fish/year. (v) Several special publications and bulletins of the central fisheries institutes have helped the Supreme Court, Parliamentary Standing Committees and the maritime state governments in framing several policy documents such as the Aquaculture Authority Bill, Deepsea Fishing Policy and Marine Fishing Regulation Acts, which are milestones in the development of fisheries sector in India.

There are many more examples, which paved the way for, what is hailed as 'Blue revolution' in India. The growth of the fisheries sector, to a very large extent, is due to the impact of research on the fish farmers, fisherfolk, fisheries planners and managers.

The mandate of the central research institutes is to develop technology packages and transfer them to the beneficiaries to increase/sustain fish production. Publications in high impact journals will not help in meeting the objective of directly reaching the beneficiaries. The philosophy of Garfield9 has not considered the importance of this kind of production-oriented research, which is crucial for developing countries like India. By following the methodology commonly adopted for measuring the quality of publications of physical, chemical and biological and several other disciplines of science, Jayashree and Arunachalam¹ have missed a good opportunity to evolve specialized methodology for a proper assessment of the impact of fisheries research (and for other food production researches as well). Scientometrics has to perhaps redefine and reorient its methodology and evolve a meaningful tool for quantitatively measuring the output of science and scientists.

Jayashree, B. and Arunachalam, S., Curr. Sci., 2000, 79, 613–620.

ष्स्तकालय LIBRARY केन्द्रीय समुदी मान्सिणनी अनुयंघान संस्थान Gentral Marine Fisheries Pessarch Institute Correspondence 5. Rao, P. V. and Kathirver M.T. Indian (India) Devaraj, M. and Vivekanandan, E., Curr. Sci., 1999, 76, 314-332. J. Fish., 1973, 20, 228-250. E. VIVEKANANDAN 3. Vivekanandan, E., in Proceedings of the 6. Alagarswamy, K. and Qasim, S. Z., Fisheries for Nutritional Security (ed. Indian J. Fish., 1973, 20, 533-Madras Research Centre. Pandian, T. J.), National Academy of Ag-550. Central Marine Fisheries Research 7. CMFRI Spl. Publ., 1978, 2, p. 53. ricultural Sciences, New Delhi and Institute. Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, 8. Pajot, G. and Crockett, J., BOBP/WP. 68/3 Greams Road 2000 (in press).

- 4. Chaudhuri, H. and Alikunhi, H., Curr. Sci., 1957, 26, 381-382.
- 1980, p. 23.
- 9. Garfield, E., Curr. Contents, 1979, 46, 313-318.

Chennai 600 006, India e-mail: chcmfri@md3.vsnl.net.in