

Antibiotic exposure to minimize microbial load in live feed *Isochrysis galbana* used for larval rearing of Indian pearl oyster *Pinctada fucata*

Pearl oyster larvae are reared in static water in dense numbers and fed with large amounts of unicellular algae. The combination of high larval densities, debris from dead larvae and high loads of organic matter stimulates the selection and growth of opportunistic bacteria in larval tanks^{1,2}. High microbial load noted in the rearing water, tissue samples and in the micro algal feed, resulted in poor spat production of less than 3.0% in *Pinctada fucata* hatchery³. In general, pathogenic microbes invade the hatcheries by three principal routes, viz. sea water, brood stock and algal food⁴. Prophylactic antibiotic usage has been suggested to reduce bacterial load in live feed^{5,6}. Here, the exposure time and the minimum dose of chloramphenicol required to reduce the proliferation of bacteria in the mass culture of micro algae, *Isochrysis galbana* is reported.

Experiments were conducted in the Marine Biotechnology Laboratory of Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Vizhinjam (South India). *I. galbana* was inoculated in 11 flasks and maintained under constant illumination for growth. Log phase culture (100 ml) was aseptically dispensed in four 250 ml conical flasks. Chloramphenicol (Hi Media) was added at 10, 100 and 1000 mg/l to each 250 ml conical flask respectively, and one flask was kept as control along with replicates.

The bacterial load in the *I. galbana* culture was determined by the plate count method⁷. The algal samples were collected aseptically at four different time intervals, viz. immediately after the application of antibiotic, and after 3, 6 and 12 h respectively. Each sample was serially diluted, plated in nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The viability of *I. galbana*

was examined using hemocytometer at the respective time interval.

The use of chloramphenicol in *I. galbana* culture resulted in decreased bacterial load with increase in time (Figure 1). After three hours of exposure, 88.7, 90.6 and 94.3% reduction was noted at 10, 100 and 1000 mg/l respectively. The algal cells in the three experimental groups were active. After 6 h of exposure, the reduction in bacterial load was 75, 42 and 93% at 10, 100 and 1000 mg/l respectively. The algal cells at 10 and 100 mg/l were actively moving, while at 1000 mg/l 25% of algae was inactive or dead, indicating the adverse effect of antibiotic (Table 1). In the control group, bacterial population increased with the advancing culture period. The load almost doubled at the end of 12 h, as seen in Figure 1.

Bacterial growth in algal cultures is a complex phenomenon involving quantitative as well as qualitative aspects. Cesar⁴ reported high viable counts of bacteria in the phytoplanktonic food for adult oysters and larvae due to their rich source of nutrients. Similar observations of enhanced microbial load in feed and rearing water of *P. fucata* were reported^{3,8}. The decomposing cells of micro algae were also reported to be providing a good source for growth of bacteria⁹. Guillard¹⁰ noted that bacteria in micro algal cultures fed to bivalve larvae will adversely affect the larvae.

The results of the present study suggest that 6 h exposure of algal feed, *I. galbana* to chloramphenicol at 10 mg/l is sufficient to reduce the pathogenic bacterial load in rearing medium of pearl oyster larvae without adversely affecting the micro algae.




Figure 1. Effect of antibiotic on reduction of bacterial load in *Isochrysis galbana* at different time intervals.

Table 1. Viability of *Isochrysis galbana* in different concentrations of chloramphenicol

| Time (h) after exposure | Viability (%) in | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 10 mg/l | 100 mg/l | 1000 mg/l |
| 3 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 6 | 100 | 100 | 75 |
| 12 | 100 | 80 | 0 |

1. Olafsen, J. A., In *Salmon Aquaculture* (eds Heen, K., Monahan, R. L. and Utter, F.), Fishing News Books, Oxford, 1993, pp. 166–175.
2. Vadstein, O., Oie, G., Olsen, Y., Salvesen, I., Skjermo, J. and Skjak-Break, G., In *Fish Farming Technology* (eds Reinertsen, H. et al.), A. A. Balsema Publishers, Rotterdam, 1993, pp. 69–75.
3. Lipton, A. P., Subhash, S. K., Paul Raj, R. and Anitha Rani, A., First Indian Pearl Congress and Exposition, 2003, pp. 52–54.
4. Cesar, L., Jorge, B., Carlos, P. D. and Alicia, E. T., *Aquaculture*, 1987, 65, 15–29.

5. Gatesoupe, F. J., *Ann. Zootech.*, 1982, **4**, 353–368.
6. Gatesoupe, F. J., In *Aquaculture – A Biotechnology in Progress* (eds De Pauw, N. et al.), European Aquaculture Society, Bredene, Belgium, 1989, pp. 721–730.
7. Collins, C. H., Patricia, M., Lyne, J. M. and Grange, In *Microbiological Methods by Collins and Lyne*, Arnold Publication, London, 2001, 7th edn, pp. 94–101.
8. Subhash, S. K., Lipton, A. P. and Paul Raj, R., *Proceedings of the International Conference on Disease Management for Sustainable Fisheries*, Abstr., 2003 (full paper in press).
9. Ingrid, S., Kjell, I. R., Jorunn, S. and Gunvor, O., *Aquacult. Int.*, 2000, **8**, 275–287.
10. Guillard, R. R. L., *Biol. Bull.*, 1959, **117**, 258–266.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank Prof. Mohan Joseph Modayil, Director, CMFRI, Cochin for facilities. The research work formed a part of the National Agricultural Technology Project on 'Nutrition and Pathology in Mariculture'. We also thank Dr M. K. Anil, CMFRI, Vizhinjam for providing micro algal cultures.

Received 5 July 2004; revised accepted 28 July 2004

S. K. SUBHASH¹
A. P. LIPTON^{1,*}
R. PAUL RAJ²

¹*Marine Biotechnology Laboratory,
Vizhinjam Research Centre,
Vizhinjam 695 521, India*

²*PNP Division,
Central Marine Fisheries Research
Institute,
Cochin 682 018, India*

**For correspondence.
e-mail: liptova@yahoo.com*