DISTRIBUTION OF MOLLUSCS IN AND AROUND THE CORAL REEFS OF THE SOUTHEASTERN COAST IN INDIA

C. S. Gopinadha-Pillai and K. K. Appukuttan

DISTRIBUTION OF MOLLUSCS IN AND AROUND THE CORAL REEFS OF THE SOUTHEASTERN COAST IN INDIA¹

C. S. GOPINADHA PILLAI AND K. K. APPUKUTTAN² (With three plates, four text-figures and a map)

INTRODUCTION

The report presents the results of a synecological analysis of the molluscan fauna associated with the different hard and soft substrates in and around the fringing coral reefs of Palk Bay and Gulf of Mannar around Mandapam (Map 1) between the longitudes 79° 8′ and 79° 14′ E, and latitudes 9° 12′ and 9° 18′ N. This study forms part of a programme of survey of the reef-associated living resources of the seas around India. An attempt has been made to identify and to assess the comparative dominance of the molluscan communities in the different habitats as also to delineate the physical and biological factors that influence their selection of habitats.

The molluscs of this area are fairly well known, thanks to the works of Hornell (1915, 1917, 1922, 1951), Gravely (1927), Satyamurthi (1952, 1956), Rao (1970), Jones (1970), Silas (1968) and many others whose contributions are listed by Nair and Rao (1974). Though about 450 species are known from this area, there appears to be little attempt in the past to discuss the synecological aspects of molluscan distribution but for the work of Rao and Sundaram (1972). Satyamurthi (1952, 1956) has mentioned the natu-

MATERIALS AND METHOD


Sixteen stations (Map 1) were selected, representing almost all the types of specialised habitats seen in this region.

The collections were made at low tide with the aid of face mask and snorkel, where depth permitted. In Palk Bay, the survey was carried out during August-September: in Gulf of Mannar, during January-February; when calm conditions prevailed. The unit for the survey and population analysis was a sample; plot of quarter square metre marked out with the aid of a metal frame. In addition to these observations and analyses relevant portions of our earlier studies on corals (Pillai 1971a. 1972) and on boring bivalves (Appukuttan 1972) were also incorporated to make the account comprehensive. The nomenclature of the various intertidal zones used in this work is that of Lewis (1955, 1961 & 1972), and Newell (1969).

ral habitat of many species he has described. The present collection includes only 112 species (Table 2)—roughly one fourth of the known species, partly because we have not accounted the many dead shells found except from the raised reefs. In the recent past there has been considerable destruction to reefs due to indiscriminate quarrying of corals and this has directly caused a dwindling of the molluses associated with the reefs. (Pillai 1975).

¹ Accepted August 1978.

² Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin, India.



Details of stations studied:

Station 1. Vedalai, Gulf of Mannar. Sandy beach with open circulation, not protected by a reef. Dominated by Wedge-clams.

Station 2. About 700 m east of station 1 Sublittoral zone. Sandy bottom with seagrass bed. Depth about 0.5 m at low tide with a very high concentration of *Pinna bicolor*. Station 3. About 300 m further east of sta-

tion 2 below the CMFR Institute Jetty in Gulf of Mannar. The groyne of the Jetty in the upper zone provides an artificial habitat and is designated as 3, whereas the lower zone, about 50 m into the sea with a depth of about 50 cm at low tide, is marked 3a. Station 3a has a rich growth of higher algae. The upper zone is typical eulittoral while lower zone is sublittoral.

Station 4. About 1 km east of station 3 in Gulf of Mannar. The station slopes steeply from the elevated sandstones on the shore at a height of nearly 2 m to the low water mark. (Pl. 1, Figs. 1, 2) The upper part of the sand stones represents the littoral fringe zone while the lower zone is typically eulittoral. Littorinids are the dominant molluscs.

Station 5. Manacadu Point on the Palk Bay side of the Mandapam peninsula with remnants of a raised reef. Semifossilised coral boulders on the water mark which harbour many gastropods and is marked 5, is typically eulittoral. (Pl. 3, Fig. 1). The semifossilised coral boulders in the lagoon bottom at a depth of 0.5 to 0.75 m at low tide, marked station 5a, is sublittoral with a dominant assemblage of bivalves.

Station 6. The landing centre at Mandapam in Palk Bay. The upper sandy beach in the eulittoral zone, marked station 6, and the lower sublittoral with algal growth and sandy bottom is 6a. (Plate 3, Fig. 2).

Station 7. The blocks of sandstones under the

Pamban Bridge subjected to heavy current, mostly submerged with a luxuriant growth of edible algae on them.

Station 8. Northwestern tip of the Rameswaram Island on Palk Bay side. A raised reef with an elevation of nearly 1.5 m. Equivalent to Littoral fringe zone.

Station 9. Western side of Rameswaram Island near the Pamban bridge. Sandy eulittoral zone.

Station 10. Eastern tip of Krusadai Island in the Gulf of Mannar where the reef with a boulder zone approaches the shore. Eulittoral, with a dominance of gastropods. This is the only undisturbed reef available for investigation at present here.

Station 11. Sandy beach at the southern side of Krusadai Island. A lower zone in the lagoon with corals and algae is designated as 11a. The beach is protected by a fringing reef.

Station 12. The reef of Manauli Island in Gulf of Mannar. The reefs have mostly been removed and only a few scattered, dead, upturned colonies of *Acropora* and *Porites* are seen, with intermittent large areas of sand.

Bottom sandy with sea grass and other edible algae. Mostly submerged and represents the sublittoral zone. The nearshore area is without vegetation and gets exposed at low tide. Station 14. A mangrove growth at the northeastern shore of Manauli Island. A mud flat, that gets exposed fully at low tide, and teaming with Cerithidea, adjoins the mangroves. Stations 51 and 16. The reef of Palk Bay along the Mandapam Peninsula. The reef crest, outer and inner sides of the reefs were investigated. The outer reef shows a preponderance of ramose corals while the inner (shoreward) side is rich in massive corals (Pillai

1971a). The reef crest is typical eulittoral and is devoid of any living corals.

THE MOLLUSCAN ASSEMBLAGES IN DIFFERENT HABITATS

Sandy shore

(Without vegetation)

The mainland coast along Mandapam and the shores of the near by sand cays in Gulf of Mannar (Stoddart and Fosberg 1972) are mostly sandy, though outcrops of sandstones are found along the mainland coast. Typical rocky shore does not exist. The beach sand is fine-grained, the grains ranging from 2 to 4 mm in size and with an admixture of corals and molluscan shells. The molluscan fraction is mostly of the shells of Cerithium. Umbonium, Dentalium, and Donax. The subsurface has a high percentage of black clay (at a depth of 10 cm and below) with a foul smell of hydrogen sulphide. At Mandapam (Palk Bay) the grain size increases towards the deeper layers with an unconsolidated grit of gastropod shells. The grain size as well as the calcareous content of the beach sand varies from place to place. At station 1 the sand is 0.5 to 1.5 mm in grain size and a sample from the surface yielded 85.66% of insoluble silicon in hydrochloric acid and 14.44% soluble calcareous matter. However, the beach sand of the islands has a very high percentage of calcareous matter. Analysis of a sample from station 11 in Krusadai Island vielded 96.48% of soluble calcareous matter in hydrochloric acid. The high calcareous content in Krusadai is mostly due to the presence of coral fragments. The following discussion of the molluses of sand is based on stations 1, 6, 9 and 11.


At the low water mark, Murex trapa, Bursa spinosa, Drupa margiriticola and Cerithium spp. are present. During December,

1973 and January, 1974 Aplysia lineolata was found in fair numbers at Vedalai. The mud flat at the northern side of the Manauli Island which gets exposed at low tides harboured plenty of Cerithidea fluviatilis, their number varying from 100 to 150 per square metre. However, along the mainland coast this gastropod is rare and replaced by Cerithium trailli.

On the eulittoral beaches the molluscan fauna is rich, composed of Donax spp. and Atactodea glabrata with a high concentration of the individuals at the mid-beach at a depth of 20 to 25 cm. The deeper muddy substraum is unsuitable for these filter feeders. However, there is local variation in the occurrence and abundance of these two genera of burrowing bivalves. Donax spp. are common along the mainland coast. At Station 1 their concentration was 40 to 60 individuals per square metre in January, 1974, represented mainly by D. faba and D. cuneatus. Alagarswami (1968) reported a concentration of 89 to 217 clams from the same site during December 1962 to November, 1963. He has also reported the presence of D. spinosus, D. apertus and D. incarnatus at Vedalai, however, our collections during January, 1974 vielded none of these species. On the Palk Bay side the intensity of Donax was less, only 10 to 15 individuals/ sq. metre were recorded. This may be due to the more muddy nature of the subsurface sand along Palk Bay coast. At station 1, though D. faba and D. cuneatus occur, there seems to be a sort of grouping among the species, i.e. individuals of the same species incline to concentrate. When one sample plot yielded one species, another plot yielded only the other though both faba and cuneatus are found at the same level of the beach. Further, individuals from the same plot are more or less of the same size probably of


J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 77 Pillai & Appukuttan: Molluscs





Beach sandstones at Station 4.
 Closer view of the square cut blocks.

J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 77 Pillai & Appukuttan: Molluscs





1. Exposed lagoon bottom at Mandapam Palk Bay showing the unvegetated sand, west of Station 5.

^{2.} Ulva reticulata. Large quantities are found washed ashore during September in Palk Bay shore habitated by several gastropods.

same age. This shows that there is very little tendency among the members to disperse. The burrowing clams of the islands are predominantly *Atactodea glabrata* (20-30/sq. m in Krusadai). *Donax faba* and *D. cuneatus* are rare.

The ecological factors that determine such a distribution of Donax along the mainland coast and Atactodea on the island are not clear. The calcareous content of the beach sands on the islands, as already mentioned. is much higher than that of the mainland coast. Further, the mainland coast is subjected to more wave action and beach circulation than the islands protected by the fringing reef. The tendency of *Donax* to concentrate on unprotected beaches with high circulation of water was already pointed out by Taylor (1968). He also stated that though Donax faba and Atactodea glabrata may occur in the same beach at Mahe, Seychelles, the latter species always occupies a higher and more sheltered position on the beach. In general, our observations also show that protected island beaches with a high calcareous content in this area form a favourable habitat for *Atactodea*, while fine-grained sand with very little calcareous content, with good water circulation, is the more favoured habitat for *Donax* spp.

The sandstones:

Blocks of elevated sandstones are found along the Mandapam Peninsula on the Gulf of Mannar side. A small outcrop is seen near the Pamban Bridge at the Palk Bay side also. The sand cays situated in the vicinity of Mandapam are devoid of any sandstone outcrops (Stoddart and Fosberg 1972), though Reddiah (1972) has reported its occurrence along the beaches of Appa Island, further north, in the Gulf of Mannar. The sandstones are elevated up to 2 m in certain places showing signs of wave-cut aberrations. Structurally, they are conglomerate of sand grains of different sizes with molluscan shells, predomi-




Fig. 1. Zonation of various molluses at Station 4. The thick vertical lines show the vertical range of species. 1. Littorina undulata; 2. Nodilittorina pyramidalis; 3. N. leucostica; 4. Cellana radiata; 5. Craspidochiton sp.; 6. Trochus radiatus; 7. Drupa margeriticola; 8. Nerita spp.; 9. Thias (Purpurea) rudolphi; 10. Crassostrea cucullata.

nantly of *Cerithium*. The sandstone assemblage of molluscs discussed below is based on observations at station 4.

In the absence of any rocky outcrops in this area, the typical rocky shore animals occupy the sandstones. At station 4 the molluscan fauna display a pattern of vertical zonation (Fig. 1). At the higher levels representing the Littoral fringe zone (Lewis 1961, Morton and Challis 1969, Newell 1970, Arudpragasam 1970) the dominant animals are the littorinids. At a height of nearly 1.75 m from the high neap tide level Littorina undulata, L. krausi and large individuals of Nodilittorina pyramidalis are found. The first mentioned species is found at the highest zone and displays a lot of phenotypic variations in the colour of their shells. It has a tendency to crowd together under the overhanging cliff and in crevices. The species is not generally seen on the surface of sandstones exposed to scorching sun. L. krausi is rare here. Though Nodilittorina pyramidalis is found mixed with L. undulata its concentration is at a lower level, where they have a tendency to flock together, sometimes in hundreds as we observed in January, 1974. Lower down, below the zone of N. pyramidalis, there is a heavy concentration of Nodilittorina leucostica where there is a constant splash of water. From a sample plot we collected as many as 621 specimens of N. pyramidalis and from a plot below that 180 specimens of N. leucostica. However, we have not observed a zone where these two species mingle, the position of the former being always above the level of the latter. Among the four species of littorinids found here, N. pyramidalis has the widest range of vertical distribution from the upper limit of the littoral fringe to the upper limit of the eulittoral zone. The younger specimens occupy a lower level while the large adults migrate to the

upper level of the littoral fringe. A similar pattern of vertical distribution of this species at Ceylon was recorded by Arudpragasam (1970), while Atapattu (1969) observed a similar phenomenon in *N. granularis*.

Size range and density of population of littorinids at station 4 during January, 1974 was analysed. In random samples of 284 specimens of Littorina undulata, the height of shells ranged from 2 to 13.8 mm, the majority being in the range of 8 to 10 mm (Fig. 2b); only 10 specimens were in the range of 1 to 6 mm. 180 specimens of N. leucostica examined from a sample plot ranged 1 to 11 mm, the maximum number being in the range of 8 to 9 mm (Fig. 2a). A sample plot yielded 621 specimens of N. pyramidalis ranging from 1 mm to 10 mm, the maximum being in the range of 6 to 7 mm (Fig. 2 e). Presence of small specimens in the range of 1 to 2 mm in the natural population of littorinids suggests that breeding and recruitment of individuals to the population occur shortly before January. On the Ceylon coast recruitment of N. granularis to the population takes place at the tail end of Southwest monsoon, i.e. during October to November (Atapattu 1969). This seems to be true of all the members of the Littorina and Nidilittorina of this area.

Below the zone of littorinids (eulittoral zone) occur the limpets Cellana radiata and a small species of Craspidochiton. The edible oyster Crassostrea cucullata is seen fully exposed at low tides, the habitat of which in general is within a narrow belt between tide marks (Hornell 1951). They attach firmly to the sand stones. At low water marks the carnivorous gastropod, Thias (Purpurea) rudolphi and T. carnifera as well as Drupa margiriticola are seen. Cerithium trailli are also found in fair numbers. Very rarely the eulittoral sandstones are found to have the boring




Fig. 2. Size range of some intertidal gastropods collected from sample plots at different stations. a. Nodilittorina leucostica from Station 4, during January, 1974. No. of specimens measured 180. b. Littorina undulata from Station 4, January, 1974, based on 284 specimens. c. Planaxis sulcatus from Station 5, September, 1973, based on 96 specimens. d. Cerithium trailli from Station 5, September, 1973, based on 271 specimens. e. Nodilittorina pyramidalis from Station 4, January, 1974, based on 621 specimens.

bivalve, Lithophaga (Appukuttan 1972).

Raised reefs

The raised reefs of Ramanathapuram in South India are already described (Foote 1889, Sewell 1935, Stoddart and Pillai 1972). Two stations, 5 and 8 were studied for their living molluses, and were found to be mostly similar to the elevated sandstones discussed earlier. This includes both the littoral fringe and eulittoral forms.

Mangroves

Mangroves are characteristic of areas with variable salinities, muddy or sandy bottom and calm conditions (Cooman 1969, Macnae 1968, Macnae and Kalk 1962). The molluscan fauna of mangroves in general includes a limited number of gastropods and bivalves. There appears to be no earlier information on the mangrove fauna of this area, though Stoddart and Fosberg (1972) have recently listed the vegetation and discussed the zonation at Manauli Island (Station 14). The molluscan fauna associated with the mangroves can be broadly divided into those that actually live on the vegetation and those that live accord. At station 14 the mangroves are of Avicencia marina, Bruguiera cylindrica and Rhizophora mucronata. A. marina forms a low wooded forest. According to Macnae and Kalk (1966) the animals found in the mangroves are only fortuitously associated depending on the level of water table, resistance to waterloss, demand for protection from sun, degree of consolidation of substratum and availability of food.

The breathing roots of Avicentia at Manauli Island are inhabitated by Planaxis sulcatus often mixed with Littorina melanoxioma. They are found 50 cm above the lowest watermark. On the trunks and leaves of trees, L. scabra occupy upto one and a half metres

from the ground level. Other conspicuous animals on the roots are the barnacles. The spaces among the breathing roots in the eulittoral zone harbours Cerithidea fluviatilis in plenty. The gastropod Cassidula sp. was also collected. The only bivalve we could find in the ground was Gafrarium tumidum, a species that is found commonly in several other habitats.

It is interesting to compare the mangroveassociated molluscs of this area with those of the East Indies and Western Indian Ocean, though the information from this part is by no means complete. Both L. melanostoma and Cassidula sp. are listed as true mangrove forms of the East Indies (Cooman 1968). Cerithidea is common to East Indies and Indian Coast, but the species listed by Cooman from the East Indies is different. Cooman (1968) lists 20 species of molluscs from the East Indies against six species we have collected from here. Isognomon, Crassostrea, Modiolus and Teredo found in the mangroves of East Indies do occur in other habitats in south India, though we could not collect any of them specifically from the mangroves we hovest gated. L. scabra is common to southern and western Indian ocean mangroves (Tayfor 1968) but is not known from East Indies. L. melanostoma found in southeast coast of Imba and costom Imban manageres is not Pated from western inchen ocean. In this respect, our mangroves have faunal elements from both eastern and western Indian occaus However, there is need for more intensive collection of the faunal elements of our mangroves.

Enlittoral Boulders

a. Semifossilised loose lying corals:

At Manacadu Point (Station 5) the raised reef gradually dips into the sea with a lot of

semifossilised loose lying coral boulders of Favia, Favites, Platygyra, Porites, Goniastrea and Acropora having a coating of green algae. The boulders are exposed at almost every tidal change and are covered only at the spring tides. These were found to be an ideal habitat for many algal grazing gastropods. Planaxis sulcatus are abundant and occupy the highest position in the vertical range of distribution. They show a tendency to crowd together in small crevices and depressions of the boulders and those of the same size are found together. In September, 1973 there were 120 to 130 individuals per square metre and their size ranged from 10 to 25 mm. Very small animals were not seen and this suggests that their reproductive period probably coincides with that of Littorina at the tail end of the southwest monsoon. The size range of 96 specimens, all from a sample plot is presented in Fig. 2C, and it shows that the maximum number of individuals was within the range of 20 to 21 mm. Onchidium verruculatum was very common on the undersides of the boulders inhabitated by Planaxis. At fully exposed condition, four to five individuals were found crowded together in crevices. Lower in the vertical range there is a preponderance of Cerithium trailli. The zone of Cerithium is subjected to lesser duration of exposure than the zone of Planaxis. There is a clear-cut demarcation between the zones of Planaxis and Cerithium and we failed to observe any mingling of the two genera. It is likely that Cerithium is less tolerant to exposure than Planaxis. The number of individuals of Cerithium trailli per square metre averaged 286 with an average total weight of 204 gm. In size they ranged from 7 to 22 mm during September, 1973; specimens of smaller size were apparently absent. The maximum number of individuals was seen in the size

range of 10 to 17 mm (Fig. 2d). From the analysis of the size range of individuals during September, it is clear at these gastropods also breed during the tail end of southwest monsoon as in the case of littorinids. The smallest ones (7 to 8 mm) belonged to the latest brood.

Below the zone of Cerithium, Nerita was very common, represented by at least three species, viz. N. maura, N. albicella and N. chaemelon, found in a totally exposed condition. Mingled with Nerita were seen four species of Gajrarium, viz. G. pectinatum, G. dispar, G. divaricatum and G. tumidum; however, Gafrarium has a wider range of habitat selection and is often found on the sandy and vegetated lagoon bottom. Crassostrea cucullata is common here.

b. Eulittoral granite boulders:

At station 3 the groynes of the jetty form an excellent artificial habitat for many gastropods. At low tide, these blocks get exposed for a long time, and reveal underneath the loose boulders, several species such as Purpurea rudolphi, P. carnifera. Conus amadis, C. coronatus, Cyprea moneta, C. caputserpentis and Aplysia lineolata occur. On the surface of boulders Trochus radiatus and Turbo intercostalis were seen. Bivalves were not seen, though Mytilus, recently introduced by the Institute, for mariculture experiments was thriving.

c. The reef crest and reef flat:

There seems to be no well demarcated reef crest or reef flat in this area since the fringing shallow reefs have not developed into a well consolidated structure. Further, whatever existed has been destroyed in many places. In an earlier paper Pillai (1971a) used the term reef crest to denote the highest part of the reef in Palk Bay. This part of the reef is

composed of dead boulders subjected to intermittent exposure as in a typical reef flat. An area similar to reef flat with a boulder zone is present at Krusadai Island (Station 10) and is subjected to heavy breakers. Palk Bay, Trochus radiatus, Turbo intercostalis, Astrea semicostata and Drupa margiriticola are seen at the top of the boulders subjected to prolonged exposure at low tides. On the sides of the boulders, at a lower level, Arca spp. and Isognomon isognomon are rarely seen. Crassostrea cucullata is common. Pinctata sp. is also rarely seen. At Krusadai (Station 10) the fauna was found to be rich and varied, probably due to the undisturbed condition of the reef. Gastropods were very common and were represented by Cerithium morus, Pyrine versicolor, P. zebra, Drupa margiriticola, D. tuberculata, D. horrida, Cyprea arabica, C. moneta, Trochus radiatus, Turbo intercostalis, Thias (Purpurea) rudolphi, Cantharidus undosus, and Nerita albicella. Among the Amphineura a species of Ischnochiton was very common. Bivalves were poorly represented, but for the presence of young specimens of Crassostrea cucullata.

The submerged or sublittoral habitats

a. The unvegetated sand:


At several spots in Palk Bay and Gulf of Mannar, the bottom sand is clean and fine grained, (Pl. 2, Fig. 1) with an admixture of clay and dead shells at the subsurface, often with a foul smell. The muddy subsurface is unsuitable for burrowing bivalves and digging scarcely revealed the presence of any infauna. On the surface, Drupa margiriticola, Cerithium spp., Murex tarpa, and Bursa spinosa are generally seen. However, the ideal habitats of all these animals are elsewhere, and many bivalves are seen either lying on the surface or partly buried, like Gafrarium tumi-

dum. At Manauli Island, G. tumidum is found along with Mactra cuneata, Dosinia cretacea and Mesodesma trigona. The mud flats were found to be teeming with Cerithidea fluviatilis in Manauli Island. The other gastropods rarely seen are Nassa thirstis and Polinices mamilla, the former generally harbouring a symbiotic anemone on the shell. The younger specimens of P. mamilla are purple whitish while the adults are milky white and feed on (Taylor 1968). Cardium bivalves occurs in Palk Bay and their dead shells are found on the surface. Rarely Pinna bicolor is seen half buried in sand, though it is abundant on seagrass beds.

b. Submerged dead coral shingle:

At Station 5a, the lagoon bottom is strewn with loose, semifossilised coral boulders with intermittent sandy areas. The nature and composition of the boulders are similar to those already described from Station 5. The sandy areas have many algae like Sargassum, Padina, Turbinaria and the calcareous alga Amphiroa. For details of the algae reference may be made to Rao (1972). The depth at lowest tide is about 50 cm. These boulders harbour a rich and varied fauna of molluscs, especially bivalves. Most of them are found attached to the boulders, the most common being Arca represented by at least three species, viz. A. symmetrica, A. avellana and A. complanata. The swimming bivalve Galeomma paucistriata occurs in fair numbers. It is pale yellowish white with a dark brown prolongation of the mantle in the living condition (Satyamurth 1956). Yet another very common bivalve seer here is Scintilla, represented by two species viz. S. hanleyi and S. timorensis. Vulsella vul sella with its commensal sponges is fairly com mon. Isognomon isognomon and Pinctado anamoides are found in fair numbers. Th J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 77 Pillai & Appukuttan: Molluscs





1. The raised reef and culittoral coral boulders at Station 5, teamed with Planaxis and Cerithium.

^{2.} Eulittoral sandy beach at Mandapam Palk Bay, where *Donax* is plenty. One of the Sample plots is seen in foreground.

Targer specimens of *P. anomoides* ranged from 50 to 65 mm in length. Gastropods are by no means a conspicuous element in the fauna of this habitat, though *Drupa margiriticola*, *Cerithium trailli*, *Turbo intercostalis*, *Cellana radiata* and *Eumarginula obovata* are represented.

c. The sea grass fauna:

At station 2, 6a & 13 the sea grass vegetation is composed of Cymodocea rotunda, C. serrulata, Halodule sp. Syringodium isoetifolium and Thalassodendron ciliatum (Stoddart & Fosberg 1972). They are locally abundant at several places both in Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay. Grass beds are excellent habitat for burrowing molluscs while their leaves and stems afford substratum and protection to epifaunal elements (Taylor & Lewis 1970). Among the epifauna of this area the bivalves are represented by Gafrarium tumidum and rarely Circe scripta. Cyprea histrio, C. arabica, Murex virgineus and Cerithium scabridum and C. trailli the last two being found on the leaves and stems of sea grass. Yet another -common gastropod, Pyrene seen on the leaves and stems is represented by three species, P. versicolor, P. vulpeula and P. flavida. However, Pyrene is more abundant on various algae (vide infra). During January-February young ones of Trochus radiatus and T. stellatus were seen on the leaves, the adults of which are common inhabitants of eulittoral hard substratum. Neritina oualaniensis is also found in Manauli Island. The opisthobranch Dolabella rumphii was found in fair numbers at Station 2 during January, 1974.

The molluscan fauna of the grass beds in this area is dominated by *Pinna bicolor*, and a variety of animals found attached on their shells. There is a very heavy concentration of *Pinna* at Station 2 which is near a sewage

outlet. A similar situation in Seychelles, wher sewage disposal encouraged the settlement c Pinna was recorded by Taylor (1968). Th larger specimens in Gulf of Mannar measur 30 to 35 cm in shell length and as many a 15 are found per square metre at sites of higl concentration. A few species of molluscs sucl as Modiolus metcalfei and M. carvalhoi among the bivalves and Ischnochiton among the Amphineura and Neritina oualaniensis among the gastropods are found attached on the shells of Pinna.

d. Alga associated molluscs: (Fig. 3)

Stations 3a, 7, 11a and 13.

The sublittoral algal communities afford a very suitable habitat for both young and adult molluscs. The common algae, searched for their molluscan macrofauna were Sargassum, Turbinaria, Padina, Ulva, Caulerpa, Gracilaria, Gelidiella and Hypnea. The molluscan assemblage associated with each of these is briefly presented below.

Ulva recticulata forms extensive green patches in the lagoon bottom of Palk Bay along Mandapam during July to October and is often found washed ashore in large quantities. (Pl. 2, Fig. 2). Many gastropods are found attached to this alga, such as Catharidus interruptus, Thais tissoti, Cerithium scabridum, Drupa margiriticola, and Trochus radiatus (very small ones 3 to 5 mm in diameter) and Planispira fallaciosa. Almost all the specimens collected were young ones, their adults being characteristic inhabitants of other substrata.

Caulerpa racemosa is common on the reefs and other isolated hard substrata. Many nudibranchs are reported to be associated with this alga, though our collection yielded none. The bivalve gastropod Berthelinia limax was found in fair numbers in Palk Bay. These animals have a brilliant green colour, perfectly




Fig. 3. A general representation of the molluscs associated with various algae. 1. Ischinochiton sp. \times 2.5; 2. Pyrine zebra \times 1.3; 3. P. versicolor \times 1; 4. Drupa margeriticola \times 1; 5. Trochus stellatus \times 1; 6. Planispira fallaciosa \times 1.5; 7. Phasionella geriticola \times 1; 5. Trochus stellatus \times 1; 9. Cerithium scabridum \times 1; 10. Nodilittorina nivosa \times 1; 8. Pyrine vulpecula \times 1; 9. Cerithium scabridum \times 1; 10. Nodilittorina pyramidalis \times 1; 11. Pinctada anomoides \times 0.5; 12. Berthelinia limax.

matching that of the alga, and it is difficult to spot the animals in the field. There seems to be a sort of specificity in the association between *Berthelinia* and *Caulerpa* and we failed to collect this molluse from any other algae we examined. It may be noted that the first Carribbean bivalve gastropod, *B. caribbea*, was also recorded on *Caulerpa* (Edmunds 1962).

Padina gymnospora is found on the reefs and in the lagoon. There is a preponderance of this at Station 3a from where we collected several samples during January, 1974. At least four species of gastropods, viz. Drupa tuber-

culata, D. margiriticola, Pyrene zebra and Trochus radiatus were found on the leaves along with Ischnochiton sp. Bivalves were not represented. Padina is a less favoured habitat for molluscs when compared to other higher algae from the same locality such as Sargassum and Turbinaria.

Turbinaria sp. grows in patches in several places and was found to be common at station 3a. The economically important small gastropod Pyrene zebra is common on the stems and leaves of this alga. Drupa mangiriticola and D. tuberculata also occur but in very few

numbers. Planispira fallaciosa and Phasionella nivosa occur rarely. Juveniles of Trochus radiatus were found in January with plantigrades of the bivalve Modiolus sp. Turbinaria ornata from Palk Bay yielded Pyrene versicolor a species which we could not collect from T. conoides.

Two species of Sargassum, viz. S. wighti and S. myriosystem are common here. On the former Pyrene zebra and P. versicolor are very abundant. Juveniles of Trochus radiatus and plantigrades of Pinctada anamoides are also rarely seen. Sargassum growing on hard substrata seems to be the most ideal habitat for Pyrene in this area.

Yet another common alga in almost all ocalities of both Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay S Gracilaria edulis. G. edulis yielded Pyrene versicolor, P. zebra, Phasionella nivosa and Istrea semicostata though none of the species common. During December-January, juveiles of Trochus were common. All the molses found on Gracilaria were gastropods.

Two species of Hypnea, viz. H. musciformis nd H. valentiae, were found to be common n the sandstone blocks under the Pamban ridge. (Station 7). In January, it was found at all the samples contained several plantiades of Modiolus in the size range of 2 to mm. Very rarely juveniles of pearl oysters ere also seen. Juveniles of Trochus, Cellana id Ischnochiton were also collected. Hower, the commonest and most abundant molscs associated with Hypnea during January is Modiolus.

A notable feature of the alga-associated olluscs of this area during the December-bruary period was the presence of a large mber of juveniles of both gastropods and alves. These include those of *Trochus*, *Cela*, *Cerithium*, *Cantharus* and *Pyrene* among

the gastropods, and of Pinctada and Modiolu. among the bivalves. There exists a constan association between the developing stages o some bivalves such as Mytilus edulis and various filamentous algae (Colman 1940; Chipperfield 1953; Bayne 1964). It has been shown that mussels rarely settle on existing beds of adults, probably to avoid competition. The juveniles get attached and detached more than once on filamentous substrates before they finally get settled. The presence of juveniles of various molluscs on different algae indicates that there is no strict specificity during their primary settlement to any alga, all available filamentous substrata being utilised. The presence of juveniles during the December-January period is again an indication to the breeding period of these molluscs here coinciding with those of littorinids.

Coral-associated molluscs:

Corals afford a substrate for several types of animals, providing food and shelter. Mention has already been made of the molluscs associated with the reef flat and dead boulders. In the living corals, the branching forms provide loose interspace where many animals including molluscs can live free from the attack of predators. Massive corals provide attachment surface as well as penetrable substratum for boring animals (Morton and Challis 1969). The reef associated animals can be broadly divided into: Hypobion-those concealed in the shade or under the substratum: the parabion-composed of those animals living on the lighted reaches of living corals; and epibion-constituting those living on recently dead corals or algae. A fourth group is cryptobion composed of burrowing forms or those which live in the burrows of other animals (Morton and Challis 1969). Taylor (1971a) categorises reef-associated molluscs

into those that use corals as a convenient substrate for protection and the others that actually feed on coral polyps.

The structure of the living reef and the zonation of corals in this area have been already discussed by Pillai (1971a, 1971b) and Mergner & Scheer (1974). Though zonation on the fringing reef is indistinct, we recognise here an Acropora community to include ramose corals, a Porites community to designate massive corals dominated by Porites approach foliaceous corals such as Echinopora and Montipora foliosa.

The major components of the Acropora community are Pocillopora damicornis, Montipora divaricata, Acropora formosa, A. corynis, and A. hyacinthus, A. millepora, A. nobilis are more common on the Gulf of Mannar reefs while A. corymbosa is abundant on the Palk Bay side. P. damicornis is omnipresent. Most of these small-polyped ramose corals seef where water is clearer and deeper (Pil-ramose).

The branching corals seem to be favoured by many gastropods where they seek protection in the interspaces of branches and undersides. We could not collect any coral-eating molluscs. Pyrene versicolor, Drupa spp. and Cerithium spp. are common among the branches of Acropora millepora and A. corymbosa. Trochus spp. were found on the dead upper regions and bases of several colonies. A. millepora from Manauli Island yielded Spondylus layardi. A. formosa and A. nobilis with their arborescent coralla are less favoured habitats than the corymbose forms probably due to non-availability of closely placed branches that afford protection. On the dead parts of ill ramose corals, Crassostrea cucullata, Arca

spp., Isognomon isognomon, Pinciada and Lithophaga spp. were seen

Porites community (Fig. 4) forms the basic structure of the reefs in this area. P. solida, P. lutea. and P. somaliensis are fairly common both in the living and semifossilised condition. Among and on Porites are seen Favia favus, Exphastrea spp., Platygyra lamellina etc. (see Area).

Both gastropods and bivalves are found on the massive corals. A few gastropods such as Drupa margiriticola, Pyrene spp. and Cerithium spp., were found crawling on the surface of massive corals. D. margariticola is abundant on the Palk Bay and a large number of them get into the traps set by the local fishermen for catching reef fishes. Astrea semicostata and Planispira fallaciosa are also seen. Lambis lambis is found between the massive coral heads, sometimes in the sandy areas; sometimes the living molluscs afforded substratum to small colonies of Porites or Siderastrea, the corals thus getting free transport. Among the bivalves attached to the surface and undersides of massive corals were Arcu spp., Isognomon, Pinctada and Crassostrea. However, the intensity of surface living bivalves was more on the dead coral shingle than on the living corals.

The dead, and rarely living corals, harbour a rich and varied fauna of burrowing bivalves (Appukuttan 1972). The mytilids are by far the commonest. Lithophaga is represented by at least five species, viz. L. nigra, L. gracilis, L. teres, L. stramineus and L. levigata; L. nigra being the commonest. The lithophaga make deep burrows generally double the length of their shells. Botulla cinnamonea makes shallow burrows. The venerid bivalves Venerupis macrophyllia and the petricolid,




Fig. 4. A general representation of the molluscs associated with massive corals. 1. Venerupis macrophyllia \times 0.5; 2. Lithophaga spp.; 3. Area spp. 4. Isognomon isognomon \times 0.5; 5. Crassostrea cucullata \times 0.5; 6. Pinctada anomoides \times 1; 7. Jouannetia cumingii \times 0.5; 8. Pyrine spp.; 9. Drupa margeriticola \times 0.5; 10. Cerithium trailli \times 0.5; 11. Nodilittorina leucostica \times 1; 12. Turbo intercostalis \times 1; 13. Lambis lambis \times 0.5.

Petricola lithophaga and P. divergence, are also common in shallow burrows. Aloides sulculosa, Gastrochaenia, Pholadides, Jouannetia, Parapholas and Clavagella are the other common burrowing bivalves of this area [For others see Table 1, a detailed account of which has been already published by Appukutan (1972)]. Though most of the burrowing forms are found in the dead parts of the corals, rarely L. gracilis was collected from the living parts of Porites solida and Favia pallida.

DISCUSSION

Species diversity and percentage composition

The percentage composition of the main molluscan groups in the collections from each habitat is presented in Table 2. The entire molluscan fauna found on the mangroves belongs to Gastropoda. Adult gastropods were more on the eulitoral boulders than bivalves, while the submerged shingle has the maximum (85%) concentration of surface living bivalves. The maximum number of species was

found in massive corals (38) of which 26 were bivalves, 18 of them being boring forms and the rest surface living. The mollusca of the littoral fringe was composed entirely of gastropods, while the eulittoral zone had 3.75% Amphineura, 68.5% gastropods and 27.75% bivalves. In the sublittoral molluscan fauna, Amphineura constitute 1.3%, gastropoda 38.7% and bivalves 60%.

Taylor (1971b) has attributed increased diversity of species in the sublittoral zone at Aldabra to tolerance of species to emersion. According to him (p. 206) this factor will account for the reduced diversity of species on higher shores. Those few species capable of inhabiting higher zones will exploit the available habitat resulting in a high density of population. In essence, the organisms of the intertidal zone are predominantly physically regulated communities and their major adaptations are of a physical nature, since the environment is subjected to varying physical factors. In the lower level (sublittoral) the animal communities are biologically controlled since the environment is mostly uniform. The biological inter-reaction result in a greater diversity of species (Sanders 1968). In such a situation the density of individuals of various species may not be as high as on the higher levels.

Factors Influencing the Distribution of Molluscs in Different Substrates

1) Adaptation to physical conditions:

Temperature tolerance and ability to withstand desiccation is the largest single factor that restricts the distribution of many intertidal animals. Smith and Newell (1955) have shown that the initial settlement of periwinkles takes place on a lower level, the upper tidal levels being later colonised by adults. A

similar phenomenon is shown here by Nodilittorina pyramidalis on sandstone. Planaxis sulcatus and Cerithium trailli occupy different levels, the former always being at a higher level at Mandapam. Ability to restrict the loss of water during exposure and the thickness of shell are major adaptations for a successful life on intertidal areas. Among the Planaxis and Cerithium trailli collected from the same station there was a notable variation in their fresh flesh and shell weight ratio. The ratios of flesh weight to shell weight in Planaxis and C. trailli were 1:7.2 and 1:5.8 respectively as calculated from 50 specimens from random samples. This clearly indicates a higher ratio in shell weight to that of flesh in Planaxis which will probably account for its ability to occupy a higher position in the vertical range of zonation, than C. trailli.

ii) Feeding relationship and distribution:

Availability of food is a major factor that influences the distribution of animals. concentration of Pinna bicolor among the seagrass beds is correlated with the availability of rich food from the plant material found in the sediments around (Taylor & Lewis 1970). The preponderance of Pinna near the site of sewage disposals lends further support to the view that supply of food for these filter feeders immensely influences their abundance. The presence of Thias (Purpurea) rudolphi and Drupa margariticola also appears to be correlated with their carnivorous feeding relationships. Thias feeds on Cellana, Gafrarium and other gastropod found on the upper zone of the eulittoral. Polinices mamilla and other gastropod found on the eulittoral zone feed on bivalves found on the same zone. The mangrove associated Littorina scabra are able to feed on mangrove vegetation. The occurrence of large populations of Planaxis and

TABLE 1

LIST OF MOLLUSCS COLLECTED FROM DIFFERENT HABITATS WITH THEIR RELATIVE ABUNDANCE

7	Vo. Name of species	Reisod teef	Sand- stone	finitional boulders	Submer- god Shingle	Unvege- taled sand	Sea grass	Algae	Man- grove	Reef crest	Co Mass- ive	orals Branch- ing
5	· ·	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11g
-	Class Amphineura			-						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	
1.	_	_	P	_								
2.			_	č	-	_		_	_	_	-	-
3.	Emarzinula obovata		_	R			. –	_	_		_	_
	Class Gastropoda	-	_			_		_	-	_		
4.	Cellana radiata	_	P	P								
5.	Stomatella sp.	_	_	R	-		_	_	-	_	-	_
6.	Cantharidus interruptus	_	-	_	_			_	-	-		-
7.	Trochus radiatus	_	P	P	_	_	R	R P	-	-	_	-
8.	T. stellatus	_	_	-		-		_	-	P	P	-
9.	Turbo intercostalis	_	_	P	-	-	R		-		P	R
10.	Astrea semicostata	_	_		_	R	-	R	. —	P	P	Ř
11.	Phasionella nivosa	_	_		-	, K		R P	-	R	R	
12.			_	P	-		_	P	-	_	-	<u>ئد</u>
13.	N. albicilla	_	_	R	-	-	_	· –		R	-	÷
14.	N. chameleon		_	R	-			-	-	-	_	_
15.	N. plicata		R	_		-	_	. –	_	_	_	-
16.	Nerit na oualaniensis	_	_	· _	_	R ·	R	-	_	-	_	-
17.	Littorina kraussi	_	R	_	_	K,	K		_	-	_	_
18.	L. melanostoma	_		_	_	_	-	_	_ D		_	_
19.	L. scabra		_	_	-	_	_	-	R		_	-
20.	L. undulata	P	C	R		_	_	-	P	_	_	_
21.	Nodilittorina leucostica	_	Ċ		· -	-	-	_	-		_	_
22.	N. pyramidalis	P	č	_	-	. –	_	_	-	-	R	-
23.	Cerithidea fluviatilis	_	_		_	\bar{c}	_	R	_	-	R	_
24.	Planaxis sulcatus	P	_	Ċ	_	C	-	_	P	_	_	
25.	Cerithium citrinum	-		_	_	-	-	-	P		_	_
26.	C. trailli	_		\mathbf{C}	P	 P	R		-	_	_	R
27.	C. obeliscus	_		P	1	P		-	-	P	P	-
28.	C. scabridum	_	_	_	_	P	R	- D	-	-	_	_
2 9.	Lambis lambis	_	_	_	_		ĸ	P	_	_	_	R
3 0.	Polynices mamilla	****	_	_	. -	 R	-	-	-	_	R	R
31.		_	_	p P	_	K	_		_	_	_	-
32.	C. caputserpentis			P	-	-	_		_	R P	-	

33. C. histrio	_	_	R	_					_	_	_
34. C. moneta	_		P	_	_		_	_	P		_
35. Bursa spinosa	_	_	_		R	_	_		•	_	_
36. Murex virgeneus		_	R	_	_	R	_		_	_	R
37. M. trapa	_	_		_	R		_	_			_
38. Drupa horrida		_	_	_	_	_	R	_	R	_	_
39. D. margiriticola	_	R	P	P	P		P	_	Ĉ	c	c
40. D. tuberculata		_	_	_	_	_	R	_	R	_	P
41. Thias (Purpurea) rudolphi		P	P	_	R	_	_		P	_	•
42. Thias carnifera	_	R	R	_	_	_			_		_
43. T. tissoti	_	_	_	_		_	R	_	_	_	-
44. Pyrene flavida		_	_	_	_	R	_	_	_	_	_
45. P. versicolar		_	_	_	-	P	c	_	P	– P	c
46. P. vulpecula		_	_	_	-	R	R			r	C
47. P. zebra		_	_	_	_		C		\bar{c}	_	c
48. Cantharus undosus		_	_	_	_		R		P	-	C
49. Nassa thersites	_	_	_	_	R	_			r	_	
50. Marginella anguistata			R		1	_	-	-		_	
51. Conus amadis	_	_	R	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
52. C. coronatus		_	R	_		_	_		-	_	_
53. Haminoea sp.	_	_	R	_	– R		. —	_			-
54. Aplysia lineolata	_		P	_		_	_	_		_	
55. Dolabella rumphii	_	-	•	_	R	 P	-		_	-	
56. Berthelinia limax	_	_		_	K	Г	- D	_	_	_	- ,
57. Cassidula sp.	-	_		-		-	P	_	_	-	- .
58. Onchidium verruculatum	_	P '	c	-		- 7		R	-	-	-
59. Planispira fallaciosa	_	r	C	_	_	-	 D		_		_
60. P. vittata		_		-	_	_	R	–	-	_	R
Class Bivalvia	~~	***			_			_		\mathbf{R}_{\perp}	_
61. Arca avellana				P							
62. C. complanata		_	_	C		_		_	_	-	· (-
63. A. fusca	_	_	_		_	-		-	=	_	 .
64. A. symmetrica	_	_		– P				-	P	C	P
55. Modiolus carvalhoe	-	-	_		-	_	-	· . —	-	С	-
66. M. metcalfei	-	-	_	_	-	R	_	_	-	-	_
67. Modiolus sp.	_	_	_	- '		P	_	-	-		_
68. Lithophaga gracilis	-	-			-		С	-	-	_	_
69. L. levigata	_	_	-	-		-	· -	-	_	С	R
70. L. nigra	_	- D	_			-	-	-	_	P	_
71. L. stramineus	_	R	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	P	P
72. L. teres	 TD		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	P	R
. L. 10163	R	-					_	-	_	P	R

73.	Botula cinnamonea	_		-	_						D	
74.	Isognomon isognomon		_	_	c	_		_	_	-	P	_
75.	I. legumen	_	_	_	_			_	_	-	P	P
76.	I. nucleus	_	_	_	P		_	_	-	-	R	-
77.	Vulsella vulsella		_	_	P	_	_	-	-	_	_	-
78.	Pinctada anomoides	_	-		P	_	-	_		-	- D	_
79.	P. margaritifera	_			R	_	- -	R	-	R .	R	R
80.	Pinna bicolor	_	_	_	_	R	Ċ		-		R	R
81.	Spondylus layardi		_	_			_	_	-	_	_	-
82.	Spondylus sp.	_	_		_		-	_	_	-	_ D	R
83.	Crassostrea cucullata	_	P	P	Ξ		_	_	_	- D	R	
84.	Lucina edentula		_	_	_	_	– P	_	_	P	P	P
85.	Codakia angela	_	_	_		_	P	_	_		-	_
86.	Galeoma paucistriata	_	_		P	_		_	_	_	_	_
87.	Scintilla hanleyi	_		_	P	_	_	-	_	_	_	-
88.	S. timorensis	_	_	_	R	-	-		_	_	_ '	_
89.	Gafrarium dispar		-	R	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
90.	G. divaricata	_	_	R	_	_	-	_	_	-		_
91.	G. pectinatum	_	_	R	R	_	-		-	-	_	_
92.	G. tumidum			P	-	P	_ D	_	_	_	_	_
93.	Circe scripta	_	_	_	_		P R	_	_	-	-	-
94.	Dosinia cretacea	_		_	_	- R		_	_	-	-	
95.	Dosinia sp.				_		_ D	_	_		_	_
96.	Venerupis macrophyllia	P			_	-	R	_	-	_		_
97.	Petriocola divergens	P	_	_		_	_	_	_	R	R	R
98.	P. lithophaga	_	-	_		-	_	_	-	P	P	_
99.	Mesodesma trigona	_	_	_	_	- n	_	-	-	_	P	_
100.	Atactodea glabrata	-	_	-	-	R		_	-	-		_
101.	Mactra cuneata		_	_	_	P	-	-	-	-	-	_
102.	Donax cuneatus	_		_	_	P	-	_	_	_	_	_
103.	D. faba	_	_	_	-	P	-	_	-	_	-	_
104.	Aloides sulculosa	_	_	_	-	С	_	_	_	_	_	_
105.	Gastrochaena apertissima	-	_		_	_	'-	-	-		P	P
106.	G. gigantea	R	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	, P	P
107.	G. impressa	R	_	_	_		_	_	_	-	P	P
108.	Pholadidea cheveyi		_	-	_		_	-	_	-	P	P
109.	Parapholas quadrizonata	– P	_	-	-		_	-	_	_	P	_
110.	Diplothyra sp.	R	****	_	~		-	_	_	-	P	_
111.	Jouannetia cumingii	P P	_	_	-		_	_	_	-	P	_
112.	Clavagella lata	I	_	_		_	_	_			P	_

P = Present; C = Common; R = Rare.

MOLLUSCS IN AND AROUND CORAL REEFS

Table 2

The total number of species of molluscs collected at each habitat with the percentage representation of different classes

	Tatal Na		Percentage	compositio Bivalvia	
Habitat	Total No. of species	Amphineura	Gastropoda		
Raised Reef	14	8	25	67	
Sandstones	14	7	79	14	
Eulittoral boulders	32	6	78	16	
Submerged shingle	13	nil	15	85	
Unvegetated sand	22	nil	63	37	
	19	5	52	43	
Seagrass	21	5	85	10	
Algae	5	nil	100	nil	
Mangrove Reef flat	21	5	71	24	
Massive corals	38	2.5	28.5	69	
Branching corals	26	nil	43	57	

Cerithium on the eulittoral boulders in Palk Bay is also associated with the presence of algae like Chaetomorpha and Euteromorpha on which these gastropods feed (Rao & Sundaram 1972).

iii) Protection:

Many molluscs select a substratum free from predators and wave action. Several gastropods live among the branches of ramose corals and algae. Wieser (1952) pointed out that the principal factor controlling the nature and abundance of organisms of intertidal seaweeds is the growth form of the species of seaweed. Variations in the density of gastropod population on different algae have been discussed earlier. Boring bivalves are typical examples of marine animals on penetrable substrates like corals and wood. Some molluscs have cryptic colours for protection. Berthelinis limax on Caulerpa is a classic example in this regard.

iv) Larval behaviour:

The presence of juveniles of eulittoral gas-

tropods on sublittoral algae may be due to a larval adaptation. The larvae or juveniles are unable to thrive or are less successful on the more exposed conditions subjected to much physical changes and hence first get settled on lower levels and later migrate to their ultimate ecological niches. This will also avoid competition for food from the adults. The primary settlement of mytilid larvae on filamentous substrate away from the adult beds has already been discussed. This will also help the dispersal of young ones to an extent. The occurrence of juveniles of Trochus, Cellana and Nodilittorina on sublittoral algae may be a larval adaptation to more favoured habitats than their adults normally live on.

SUMMARY

The eulittoral, and littoral fringe sandstones of this area show a clear vertical range of zonation by different gastropods, correlated with the conditions of wave action, exposure,

desiccation, temperature and availability of food.

There is a preponderance of gastropods on the littoral fringe and eulittoral hard substrates while the submerged hard bottom supports mostly bivalves. Wherever gastropods are plenty, bivalves are of little significance, and vice versa.

The molluscan fauna of the seagrass community is dominated by *Pinna bicolor*. At the sites of waste sewage disposal, the density of population is very high.

Beach-clams are represented by *Donax* and *Atactodea*. The former is very common along the mainland coast while the latter occurs on the island shores.

No species of molluscs exists in large quantities though Cerithium, Cerithidea, Drupa, Thias and Planaxis are common in the intertidal zones. The commercially important gestropod, Pyrene spp., occurs in large numbers on reefs and higher algae. Among the bivalves Pinna, Gafrarium, Donax, Grassostrea and Arca are very common. Recently there is a settlement of pearl oysters at the bottom boulders of Palk Bay lagoon along Mandapam.

The presence of juveniles and spat of several molluscs during the onset of northeast monsoon indicates that most of the common molluscs of this area breed towards the close of southwest monsoon.

The young ones often choose a safer or more protected environment different from the ecological niche at which the adults ultimately settle.

Quarrying of corals for industrial purposes, resulting in the destruction of the reefs in

several places around Mandapam, has caused the dwindling of the reef associated molluscs. This was ascertained by a comparative study of the fauna of disturbed and undisturbed reef tracts.

The major factors that limit the distribution and abundance of various species in different habitats are—nature of bottom, wave action, exposure, temperature, availability of suitable food, and behavioural aspects of larvae and adults.

The qualitative abundance of common molluscs in different habitats is discussed. Numerical assessment of individuals of some common species was made by analysing sample plots.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. E. G. Silas, Director, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute for his constant interest and encouragement in this work. Thanks are due to Mr. C. Mukundan, Mr. M. S. Rajagopalan, Dr. K. S. Rao and Mr. V. Kunjukrisha Pillai for offering constructive criticism towards improvement of the manuscript various stages of preparation. Mr. C. P. Gopinathan gave valuable advice on the preparation of the figures and Mr. K. L. Kesavan, artist of this institute, drew them. It was a matter of great pleasure for the authors to have the constant company of Dr. K. P. Kuriakose, and Mr. P. N. Radhakrishnan Nair during. field trips and collection of data. Mr. S. Kalimuthu has identified the different algae mentioned in the text. Miss A. Kanagam offered secretarial assistance.

REFERENCES

ALAGARSWAMI, K. (1968): Studies on some aspects of the Wedge-Clam, *Donax faba* Gmelin from Mandapam coast in the Gulf of Mannar. *J. Mar. biol. Ass. India.* 8(1): 56-75.

APPUKUTTAN, K. K. (1972): Coral-boring Bivalves of Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay. Proc. Symp. Corals and Coral reefs, 1969. Mar. biol. ass. India: 379-398.

ARUDPRAGASAM, K. D. (1970): Zonation on two shores on the west coast of Ceylon. J. Mar. biol. Ass. India, 12(1): 1-14.

ATAPATTU, D. H. (1969): Distribution, movement and behaviour of *Nodilittorina granularis* (Gray) on a shore at Negombo, Ceylon. *Proc. Symp. Mollusca, 1968. Mar. biol. Ass. India, Pt. II:* 513-518.

BAYNE, B. L. (1964): Primary and secondary settlement in *Mytilis edulis* (Mollusca). *J. Anim. Ecology*, 33(3): 513-526.

CHIPPERFIELD, P. N. J. (1953): Observations on the breeding and settlement of *Mytilus edulis* (L.) in British waters. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.*, 32: 449-476.

COLMAN, J. (1940): On faunas inhabiting intertidal seaweeds. ibid., 24: 129-183.

COOMAN, H. E. (1969): Biological aspects of mangrove mollusks in the West Indies. *Malacologia*, 9(1): 79-84.

EDMUNDS, M. (1962): Bivalved gastropods from Jamaica. *Nature* (Lond.), 195 (4839): 402.

FOOTE, R. B. (1889): Notes on Rameswaram Island-I. *Madras Christian College Mag.*, July, 1888: 828-840.

Gravely, F. H. (1927): Littoral fauna of Krusadai Island. Mollusca. *Bull. Madras Govt. Mus.* (Nat. Hist.) new ser., I(1).

HORNELL, J. (1915): The Indian varieties and races of *Turbinella*. *Mem. Indian. Mus.* Calcutta, 6: 109-122.

Madras Presidency. Madras Fish. Bull. 11: 1-51.

(1922): The common molluscs of south India. ibid., 14: 97-215.

———— (1951): Indian molluscs. Bombay Natural History Society, 1-96.

Jones, S. (1970): The molluscan fishery resources of India. *Proc. Symp. Mollusca. Mar. Biol. Ass. India*, pt. 3: 906-918.

Lewis, J. R. (1955): The mode of occurrence of

the universal intertidal zones in Great Britain. J. Ecology, 43: 270-290.

shores—a biological or physical entity? Oikos, 12: 280-301.

------ (1972): The Ecology of the Rocky shores. The English University Press: 1-323.

MACNAE, W. (1968): A general account of the fauna and flora of mangrove swamps and forests in the Indo-West Pacific region. Adv. mar. Biol. 6: 73-270. Academic Press, London.

MACNAE, W. & KALK, M. (1962): The ecology of the mangrove swamps at Inhaca Island, Mocambique. J. Ecol., 50(1): 19-34.

MORTON, J. E. & CHALLIS, D. A. (1969): The biomorphology of Solomon Islands shores with a discussion of zoning patterns and ecological terminology. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*, ser. B, 255: 459-516.

NAIR, R. V. & RAO, K. S. (Ed.) (1974): The commercial molluscs of India. Bull. Cent. mar. Fish. Res. Inst., 25: 1-170.

Newell, R. C. (1969): Adaptations to temperature fluctuations in intertidal animals. *Amer. Zool.*, 9: 293-307.

———— (1970): Biology of intertidal animals. 1-555. Logos Press, London.

PILLAI, C. S. GOPINADHA (1971a): The distribution of corals on a reef at Mandapam, Palk Bay. J. mar. biol. Ass. India, 11 (1 & 2): 62-72.

fauna of the southeastern coast of India and the Laccadives. In Regional variation in Indian Ocean coral reefs. Symp. Zool. Soc., London, 28: 301-327.

of environment and human interference on the coral reefs of Palk Bay and Gulf of Mannar along the Indian coast. Seafood Export J., 7(12): 1-13.

RAO, K. SATYANARAYANA & SUNDARAM, K. S. (1972): Ecology of intertidal molluses of Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay. *Proc. National Acad. Science*, 38: pt. B: 462-474.

RAO, M. UMAMAHESWARA (1972): Coral reef flora of the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay. Proc. Symp. Corals and Coral Reefs. Mar. Biol. ass.

India: 217-230.

RAO, K. VIRABHADRA (1970): Pearl Oysters of the Indian region. *Proc. Symp. Mollusca. Mar. biol. Ass.* India, pt. 3: 1017-1028.

REDDIAH, K. (1972): The Appa Island and its fringing reef in the Gulf of Mannar. J. mar. biol. Ass. India, 12(1 & 2): 57-63.

SANDERS, H. L. (1868): Marine benthic diversity: a comparative study. Am. Nat., 102: 243-282.

SATYAMURTHI, S. T. (1952): The mollusca of Krusadai Island (in the Gulf of Mannar). I. Amphineura and Gastropoda. *Bull. Madras Govt. Mus.*, (new ser.) 1(2): part 6: 1-265.

Island (in the Gulf of Mannar): II. Scaphopoda, Pelecypoda and Cephalopoda. ibid. 1(2): part 7: 1-202.

SEWELL, R. B. S. (1935): Studies on corals and coral formations in Indian waters. *Mem. Asiatic. Soc.*, Bengal, 9: 461-540.

SILAS, E. G. (1968): Cephalopoda of the west coast of India collected during the Cruises of the Research Vessel "VARUNA" with a catalogue of the species known from the Indian Ocean. Proc. Symp. Mollusca. Mar. biol. Ass. India, part 1: 277-359.

SMITH, J. E. & NEWELL, G. E. (1955): The dyna-

mics of the zonation of the common Periwinkle [Littorina littorea (L.)] on a stony beach. J. anim. Ecol., 24(1): 35-56.

STODDART, D. R. & FOSBERG, F. R. (1972): South Indian Sandcays. Atoll. Res. Bull., 161: 1-16.

STODDART, D. R. & PILLAI, C. S. GOPINADHA (1972): Raised reefs of Mamanathapuram, South India. Trans. Inst. British George., 56: 111-125.

TAYLOR, J. D. (1968): Coral reefs and associated invertebrate communities (mainly molluscs) around Mahe Seychelles. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* (B) 254: 129-206.

assemblages in the Western Indian Ocean. In Regional variation in Indian Ocean coral reefs. Symp. Zool. Soc., London, 28: 501-534.

Aldabra Atoll. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*, ser. B, 260: 173-213.

TAYLOR, J. D. & LEWIS, M. S. (1970): The flora, fauna and sediments of the marine grass beds of Mahe Seychelles, J. Nat. Hist., 4: 199-220.

WIESER, W. (1952): Investigations on the microfauna inhabiting seaweeds on rocky coasts. IV. Studies on the vertical distribution of the fauna inhabiting seaweeds below the Plymouth Laboratory. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 31: 145-174.