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ABSTRACT

The mangrove ecosystems render many goods and services ranging from coastal protection to climate regulation. These ecosystems are also reservoirs of carbon stocks, due to their ability to sequester and store carbon in their biomass and the underlying sediment, and therefore significant in view of the climate change mitigation. The present study attempted to assess the biomass and carbon stock of mangroves of Thalassery estuarine wetland of Kerala, south-west coast of India. We assessed the carbon stocks of three carbon pools viz., above-ground, below-ground (root) and sediment. A total of eight species of mangroves were recorded from the Thalassery estuarine wetland, and of these, Avicennia officinalis was the dominant species with an average tree density of 729.37 individuals ha⁻¹ and contributed most (45.05±23.79 t ha⁻¹) to the total carbon. The overall mean above-ground biomass was 189.26±97.80 t ha⁻¹, while the overall mean root biomass was 83.06±40.48 t ha⁻¹. The estimated mean above-ground carbon was 94.63±48.90 t C ha⁻¹, while the mean carbon stock in root and sediment were 41.53±20.24 t C ha⁻¹ and 17.48±7.30 t C ha⁻¹, respectively. In the present study, the estimates of mean combined C-stocks in mangrove and sediment showed that the mangroves of Thalassery estuarine wetland stored 153.64 t C ha⁻¹ which was equivalent to 563.86 t CO₂ ha⁻¹. The mangroves of Thalassery wetland cover an area of approximately 5.8 ha and thus it can be assumed that this wetland has the potential to sequester and store 891.11 t C, equivalent to an estimated amount of 3270.37 t CO₂. The study reinforces the importance of mangrove forests as useful carbon sinks and the need for protection of these critical habitats in the light of climate change mitigation.
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INTRODUCTION

The concentration of atmospheric carbon-di-oxide has been on the rise since the beginning of the industrial revolution (Dedysh, Derakshani, and Liesack, 2001; Le Quere et al., 2012) resulting in consequences like the warming of the planet, change in precipitation patterns and rising sea level. The forests are reservoirs of sequestered carbon stocks and the global community has already started realizing the importance of forest ecosystems in the light of climate change. The forests act as a sink of carbon-di-oxide when conserved, but as a source when destroyed.

The coastal ecosystems of mangroves, tidal marshes and seagrass meadows are reservoirs of carbon stored over centuries. These ecosystems have the potential to sequester and store large quantities of carbon (often referred to as ‘blue carbon’) per unit area when compared to the terrestrial forests. As a result, the blue carbon ecosystem is recognized for its significant role in mitigating climate change. The mangrove forests have a special place owing to their ability to sequester substantial quantity of atmospheric CO₂ and store carbon in their biomass and sediments (Chen et al., 2012; Kauffman and Donato, 2012; Murdiyarso et al., 2009). Although mangroves contribute only a mere 0.7% of tropical forests of the world (Giri et al., 2011), these forests have the potential to store up to 20 billion tons of carbon, which is much higher than the carbon stock in tropical upland, temperate and boreal forests (Donato et al., 2011). Thus, it is interesting to note that the mangrove forests sequester four times more carbon per unit area than the terrestrial forests of the tropics (Donato et al., 2011; Khan, Suwa, and Hagiwara, 2007).

The mangrove forests render several ecosystem services and functions in addition to carbon sequestration. They are important in maintaining the coastal water quality, act as a nutrient filter between land and sea, helps in coastline protection, as breeding and nursery ground for many important fishes and invertebrates and support coastal fisheries (Alongi, Tirendi, and Clough, 2000; Baran and Hambrey, 1998; Barbier, 2000; Diele, Koch, 2000, 2009).
Although being an ecologically and economically important ecosystem, the mangroves are one of the most threatened ecosystems, mainly due to anthropogenic pressures. The degradation of mangroves is caused mainly due to the conversion of mangrove areas for agriculture, aquaculture, urban development and tourism (Sahu et al., 2013; Upadhyay, Ranjan, and Singh, 2002).

Reduction in mangrove area will increase the threat to human safety as the coastal ecosystems become more vulnerable to storm waves, cyclones and erosion (Danielsen et al., 2005; Danielsen et al., 2006; Das and Vincent, 2009; Kathiresan and Rajendran, 2005; Roy and Krishnan, 2005). The reduction in mangrove area also leads to loss of potential carbon sinks and their destruction might lead to greater emissions of carbon-di-oxide back into the air and ocean.

Globally, mangroves are distributed in over 123 countries and territories in the tropical and sub-tropical region, with a total mangrove cover of 150,000 sq. km. (Spalding, Kainuma, and Collins, 2010). In India, mangroves are spread over an area of 4,921 sq. km, which is about 3.3% of the mangrove vegetation distributed globally (India State of Forest Report, 2017).

Considering the vastness of mangrove cover in India, substantial amounts of atmospheric carbon-di-oxide is expected to be sequestered and stored by this halophytic vegetation. Kerala, the southern state of India has a total mangrove cover of 9 sq. km. (India State of Forest Report, 2017) with the highest area of 6 sq. km. spread in the Kannur district of the state. The present study is a focused attempt to understand the biomass and carbon stocks of mangroves of Thalassery estuary, an important mangrove wetland of Kannur district of Kerala, south-west coast of India.

**METHODOLOGY**

Thalassery is an important estuarine region in the Kannur district of Kerala, south-west coast of India. The mangroves of Thalassery estuary is found distributed in three large patches viz., Nettur, Koduvally and Chonadam (Figure 1). Nettur (sector I) has an area of 1.8 ha while Koduvally (sector II) and Chonadam (sector III) has 2 ha each.

**Field Sampling**

The study was conducted from April 2017 to March 2018. A total of 17 sampling plots (6 in the sector I, 7 in sector II and 4 in sector III) each of 10 m x 10 m size were established through a non-destructive stratified random quadrat sampling technique to determine the composition of mangroves, tree density and carbon stock. The total sampling area covered was 0.17 ha.

A Global Positioning System, GPS (Garmin GPS map 76CSx) was used to mark the exact location of each sampling station and the geospatial locations of each sampling station are given in Table 1.

**Tree Measurements**

The girth of every individual mangrove tree of the study quadrat was measured. The tree girth measurements were taken at breast height which is 1.3 m above the ground. The tree girth measurements were then converted to diameter at breast height (DBH) measurement by dividing by π (Frontier Madagascar, 2005). All adult trees and saplings of height 1.3 m and above
were considered for the measurement of DBH (Figure 2). In *Rhizophora mucronata*, the trunk diameter at 30 cm above the highest prop root was measured (Komiyama, Poungparn, and Kato, 2005).

Table 1. Study stations in Thalassery mangrove wetland and their geospatial locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Stations / Quadrats</th>
<th>GPS locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sector I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nettur</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11°46.309' N; 075°28.408'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11°46.318' N; 075°28.418'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11°46.327' N; 075°28.430'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11°46.352' N; 075°28.455'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11°46.372' N; 075°28.425'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11°46.320' N; 075°28.438'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koduvally</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11°45.956' N; 075°28.696'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11°46.320' N; 075°28.438'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11°45.927' N; 075°28.672'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11°45.520' N; 075°28.470'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11°46.101' N; 075°28.301'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11°46.100' N; 075°28.210'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11°46.108' N; 075°28.217'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chonadam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11°45.776' N; 075°30.968'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11°45.748' N; 075°30.954'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11°45.723' N; 075°30.937'' E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11°45.509' N; 075°30.470'' E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mangrove plants/trees were classified as seedlings, saplings and adults based on their total height and girth at breast height. The plants which were less than 1 m tall were classified as seedlings. The plants taller than 1 m, but less than 4 cm girth at breast height were classified as saplings, while the plants taller than 1 m with greater than 4 cm girth at breast height were considered as adults (Frontier Madagascar, 2005).

All adult trees present in each of the quadrats were measured for the estimation of above-ground biomass, below-ground biomass and carbon stock. However, the understory vegetation of seedlings and herbs is considered to be negligible and hence not considered for measurement of ecosystem carbon pools (Kauffman and Donato, 2012).

Also, litter being a small component of the total ecosystem, carbon stock is not usually sampled (Kauffman and Donato, 2012). All the dead trees were also taken into consideration and the biomass of dead trees was estimated based on the ‘decay status categories’ following the methods outlined by Kauffman and Donato (2012).

Biomass and Carbon Stock Estimation

For the estimation of carbon, three pools of carbon viz., above-ground biomass, below-ground biomass (root) and sediment were considered. The allometric equations developed by Komiyama, Poungparn, and Kato (2005) for south-east Asia were used for the estimation of above-ground biomass ($W_{top}$) and below-ground biomass ($W_R$). The allometric equations are:

$$W_{top} = 0.251 \rho D^{2.46}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$W_R = 0.199 \rho^{0.899} D^{2.22}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where, $\rho$ is the wood density of the respective species and D is the Diameter at Breast Height (DBH). The wood densities of different mangrove species were obtained from the World Agroforestry Database (World Agroforestry Centre, 2011).

The total biomass of mangrove trees was obtained by summing up the values obtained for the above-ground and below-ground biomass for all the plots. These values were then averaged to get the mean total biomass, which was finally converted to tonnes per hectare. The biomass values were converted to carbon through the use of a carbon fraction value of 50%.

Soil Sampling and Analysis

A PVC core sampler having a length of 1 m and 4 cm diameter was used to collect sediment samples from each quadrat (Figure 3) in the study area. The sediment sample from
surface to 30 cm depth was collected during each core sampling and the collected samples were stored in clean polythene bags for the estimation of organic carbon. Sediment samples were also collected using the core from each plot for the estimation of sediment bulk density. The sediment samples for estimation of bulk density were oven-dried and the bulk density was calculated by dividing the dry weight of the core sample by the volume of the core. The organic carbon in sediment samples was estimated following the method of Walkley and Black (1934). The sediment organic carbon was determined using the formula:

\[
\text{Sediment organic carbon (t/ha)} = \text{Bulk density (g cm}^{-3}) \times \text{sediment depth (cm)} \times \text{organic Carbon (%)}
\]

\(3\)

Figure 3. Sediment sampling using a PVC core.

RESULTS

The floristic composition and tree density of mangroves in three different sectors of Thalassery estuarine wetland were studied and documented. The carbon stock in three different carbon pools namely above-ground, below-ground (root) and sediment were estimated to arrive at the total carbon stock of Thalassery wetland.

Table 2. Floristic composition of mangroves in different sectors of the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sector I</th>
<th>Sectors II</th>
<th>Sectors III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhizophora mucronata</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avicennia officinalis</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avicennia marina</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonneratia alba</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruguiera sexangula</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegiceras corniculatum</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excoecaria agallocha</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandelia candel</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Floristic Composition

A total of eight species of mangroves viz., *Rhizophora mucronata* (Family: Rhizophoraceae), *Avicennia officinalis* (Family: Avicenniaceae), *Avicennia marina* (Family: Avicenniaceae), *Sonneratia alba* (Family: Lythraceae), *Bruguiera sexangula* (Family: Rhizophoraceae), *Aegiceras corniculatum* (Family: Myrsinaceae), *Excoecaria agallocha* (Family: Euphorbiaceae) and *Kandelia candel* (Family: Rhizophoraceae) which belonged to 7 genera and 5 families were found distributed in different sectors of the Thalassery mangrove wetland. A total of six species were found in the sector I, while 7 species were recorded from sector II and only 3 species from sector III (Table 2). Two species namely *E. agallocha* and *K. candel* were found to have sparse distribution; while the former was found only in sector II, the latter was recorded only in sector III.

Mangrove Tree Density and Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)

A total of 405 individual stems (391 live and 14 dead) were measured and studied from 0.17 ha of the sampling area. Among the eight species, *A. officinalis* was the dominant species with an average tree density of 729.37±693.48 individuals ha\(^{-1}\), followed by *S. alba* with an average tree density of 477.78±587.21 and *A. marina* with a tree density of 471.43±418.70 individuals ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). The average tree densities of *R. mucronata* and *K. candel* were 419.44±360.97 and 275.0±476.31 individuals ha\(^{-1}\) respectively, while that of *B. sexangula* was 63.49±66.89 individuals ha\(^{-1}\). The tree density was low in the case of *E. agallocha* (9.52±16.49 individuals ha\(^{-1}\)) while the density was lowest in *A. corniculatum* (5.56±9.62 individuals ha\(^{-1}\)).

The diameter at breast height of different mangrove species of the study area ranged from 3.04±1.45 cm (*A. corniculatum*) to 11.80±4.02 cm (*S. alba*). The DBH of *A. officinalis* was also high (9.86±4.03cm), but was only next to *S. alba*. The DBH values of *A. marina* and *E. agallocha* were 8.35±4.96 cm and 5.73±3.57 cm respectively (Table 3), while the DBH values were 4.52±4.52, 4.29±1.18, 3.31±2.05 and 3.04±1.45 cm for *A. corniculatum*, *K. candel*, *R. mucronata* and *B. sexangula* respectively.

Biomass and C-stock

The mean above-ground biomass, below-ground biomass (root) and the total biomass of different mangrove species recorded in the study area are given in Table 4. The highest biomass of 90.10±47.7 t ha\(^{-1}\) (AGB of 63.53±34.26 t ha\(^{-1}\) and root biomass of 26.57±13.31 t ha\(^{-1}\) was recorded in *A. officinalis* (Table 4) which was the dominant species in the study region with the highest tree density. The lowest biomass of 0.06±0.57 t ha\(^{-1}\) (AGB of 0.04±0.36 t ha\(^{-1}\) and root biomass of 0.02±0.21 t ha\(^{-1}\) was recorded in *A. corniculatum*.

Among the mangrove species of Thalassery wetland, *A. officinalis* was the species that contributed most to the total carbon (45.05±23.79 t ha\(^{-1}\) ), followed by *S. alba* (44.48±30.71 t ha\(^{-1}\), *R. mucronata* (22.82±2.36 t ha\(^{-1}\) ) and *A. marina* (22.37±19.77 t ha\(^{-1}\) ). The total carbon values of *B. sexangula* (0.41±0.97 t ha\(^{-1}\), *K. candel* (0.90±0.36 t ha\(^{-1}\) ), *E. agallocha* (0.11±0.56 t ha\(^{-1}\) ) and *A. corniculatum* (0.03±0.29 t ha\(^{-1}\) ) were less in Thalassery wetland due to their sparse distribution (Table 4). The biomass and carbon stocks of mangroves in different stations of Thalassery estuarine wetland is presented in Table 5. The overall mean above ground biomass (AGB) was 189.26±97.80 t ha\(^{-1}\), while the overall mean root biomass was 83.06±40.48 t ha\(^{-1}\). The mean AGB values in different sectors ranged from 171.95±129.50 t ha\(^{-1}\) (sector I) to 238.47±87.87 t ha\(^{-1}\) (sector III), while the mean root biomass ranged from
Table 3. Average Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) and tree density of different mangrove species in the Thalassery estuarine wetland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Average DBH (cm)</th>
<th>Tree density (individuals ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rhizophora mucronata</td>
<td>3.31±0.05</td>
<td>419.44±360.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Avicennia officinalis</td>
<td>9.86±4.03</td>
<td>729.37±693.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Avicennia marina</td>
<td>8.35±4.96</td>
<td>471.73±418.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sonneratia alba</td>
<td>11.80±4.02</td>
<td>477.78±587.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Brugiaera sexangula</td>
<td>3.04±1.45</td>
<td>63.49±66.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Aegiceras corniculatum</td>
<td>4.52±4.52</td>
<td>5.56±9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Excoecaria agallocha</td>
<td>5.73±3.57</td>
<td>9.52±16.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Kandelia candel</td>
<td>4.29±1.18</td>
<td>275.02±476.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Biomass and carbon stock of different mangrove species of Thalassery wetland (overall mean of stations with standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Above-ground biomass (AGB) (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Above Ground Carbon (t C ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Root biomass (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Root Biomass Carbon (t C ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total biomass (t ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total Carbon (t C ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhizophora mucronata</td>
<td>29.90±3.15</td>
<td>14.95±1.58</td>
<td>15.74±1.56</td>
<td>7.87±0.78</td>
<td>45.64±4.71</td>
<td>22.82±2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avicennia officinalis</td>
<td>63.53±34.26</td>
<td>31.77±17.13</td>
<td>13.66±11.25</td>
<td>3.02±0.33</td>
<td>88.36±61.41</td>
<td>44.48±50.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonneratia alba</td>
<td>62.93±44.25</td>
<td>31.47±22.13</td>
<td>26.03±17.16</td>
<td>8.86±61.41</td>
<td>90.10±47.57</td>
<td>45.05±23.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brugiaera sexangula</td>
<td>0.53±0.27</td>
<td>0.27±0.64</td>
<td>0.29±0.66</td>
<td>0.15±0.33</td>
<td>0.82±0.97</td>
<td>0.41±0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegiceras corniculatum</td>
<td>0.04±0.36</td>
<td>0.02±0.18</td>
<td>0.02±0.21</td>
<td>0.01±0.11</td>
<td>0.06±0.57</td>
<td>0.03±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excoecaria agallocha</td>
<td>0.13±0.73</td>
<td>0.07±0.37</td>
<td>0.07±0.37</td>
<td>0.04±0.19</td>
<td>0.19±1.10</td>
<td>0.11±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandelia candel</td>
<td>1.14±0.47</td>
<td>0.57±0.24</td>
<td>0.65±0.24</td>
<td>0.33±0.12</td>
<td>1.79±0.71</td>
<td>0.90±0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

74.64±53.66 t ha⁻¹ (sector I) to 98.70±32.30 t ha⁻¹ (sector III). The above-ground Carbon ranged from 85.98±64.75 t ha⁻¹ (sector I) to 119.24±43.94 t C ha⁻¹ (sector III) with an overall mean value of 94.63±48.90 t C ha⁻¹, while the carbon stock in root ranged from 37.32±26.83 (sector I) to 49.35±16.15 t C ha⁻¹ (sector III), with a mean carbon value of 41.53±20.24 t C ha⁻¹. The total mean biomass of Thalassery mangrove was found to be 272.32±138.27 t ha⁻¹. Of the three sectors, the highest mean biomass was recorded in sector III (337.17±120.17 t ha⁻¹), while the lowest mean biomass was recorded in the sector I (246.59±183.16 t ha⁻¹).

Figure 4. Mangrove biomass, C-stocks and CO₂ equivalent potential of Thalassery wetland.

The total carbon stock in different sectors of the study stations was 123.30±91.58 t C ha⁻¹ (sector I), 129.33±55.75 t C ha⁻¹ (sector II) to 168.59±60.09 t C ha⁻¹ (sector III). The overall mean carbon stock value was 136.16±69.14 t C ha⁻¹ which was equivalent to 499.71 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ sequestered and stored in the above ground and root biomass of Thalassery mangroves. The T/R which is the ratio of the above-ground biomass and the root biomass ranged from 2.30 to 2.41 with an average value of 2.28.

C-stock in Mangrove Sediment

The sediment bulk density varied from 0.47 (sector III) to 0.95 g cm⁻³ (sector II), with an overall mean bulk density value of 0.64 g cm⁻³. The mean sediment bulk density of sector I was 0.50 g cm⁻³. The mean percentage sediment organic carbon was 2.74, 1.72 and 5.24 in sectors I, II and III respectively, with an overall mean of 3.23%. The mean total organic carbon in the sediment pool was 17.48±7.30 t ha⁻¹ with 13.58±5.66, 16.59±4.64 and 24.87±6.61 t ha⁻¹ in sectors I, II and III, respectively (Table 5).

Total C-stock

In the present study, the estimates of mean combined C-stocks in mangrove and sediment showed that this mangrove wetland stored 153.64 t C ha⁻¹ (above-ground 94.63±48.90 t C ha⁻¹, root 41.53±20.24 t C ha⁻¹ and sediment 17.48±7.30 t C ha⁻¹) which was equivalent to 563.86 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ (above-ground 347.29 t CO₂ ha⁻¹, root 152.42 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ and sediment 64.15 t CO₂ ha⁻¹) (Figure 4). The percentage share of carbon in the three carbon pools indicated that the above ground C-stock was the highest (61.59%), followed by the below-ground (root) C-stock (27.03%) and the C-stock of sediment (11.38%).

DISCUSSION

Our studies in Thalassery estuarine wetland along the southwest coast of India has shown that the mangrove species A. officinalis was dominant among all the eight species recorded, with an average tree density of 729.37 individuals ha⁻¹. However, S. alba had the highest DBH value when compared to A. officinalis. The DBH values obtained during the present study
for *A. officinalis*, *E. agallocha* and *A. corniculatum* were much lower when compared to the values obtained by Sahu, Manish Kumar, and Ravindranath (2016) for the same species in the Mahanadi mangrove wetland in the east coast of India.

The DBH values obtained for *A. officinalis*, *S. alba*, *R. mucronata* and *E. agallocha* in the present study are however comparable to the results obtained by Vinod et al. (2018) for the same species in Kadalundi estuarine wetland. Species-wise comparison of the total carbon stock revealed that *A. officinalis* had the highest value of 45.05±23.79 t C ha⁻¹, closely followed by the carbon values of *S. alba* (44.48±30.71 t C ha⁻¹). Highest carbon stock in *A. officinalis* was recorded even in the Kadalundi estuarine wetland (Vinod et al., 2018), as this species had the highest tree density as well as DBH. The overall mean above-ground biomass of mangroves of Thalassery estuarine wetland (189.26±97.80 t ha⁻¹) recorded during the present study was much lower when compared to the above-ground biomass obtained by Kauffman et al. (2011) for the Micronesian mangroves (363 t ha⁻¹ at Yap and 225 t ha⁻¹ at Palau). The overall mean AGB of mangroves obtained during the present study was however much higher than the values obtained by Khan, Suwa, and Hagiwara (2009) for the Manko Wetland, Okinawa, Japan (80.5 t ha⁻¹); Chandra, Seca, and Abu Hena (2011) for the Sarawak Mangrove Forest, Malaysia (116.8 t ha⁻¹); Kathiresan et al. (2013) for the mangroves in the estuaries along the Bay of Bengal (60–117.7 t ha⁻¹); Golley, Oдум, and Wilson (1962) for the mangroves of Puerto Rico (62.9 t ha⁻¹); Woodroffe (1985) for the mangroves in a flooded explosion crater in New Zealand (6.8 t ha⁻¹ for short stunted mangroves to 104.1 t ha⁻¹ for the taller mangroves of *Avicennia marina var. resinifera*); Murdiyarso et al. (2009) for the mangroves of North Sulawesi (61.4 t ha⁻¹) and Sahu, Manish Kumar, and Ravindranath (2016) for the mangroves of Mahanadi mangrove wetland of India (124.91 t ha⁻¹ in natural mangroves and 125.55 t ha⁻¹ in planted mangrove stands). However, the present mean AGB values are almost comparable with the mean AGB value of 166.63 t ha⁻¹ obtained for Kadalundi estuary, south-west coast of India (Vinod et al., 2018), 159 t ha⁻¹ obtained for *Rhizophora apiculata* in Thailand (Christensen, 1978).

The biomass of mangroves is dependent on many factors such as the species, tree density, stem diameter, growth forms and age of the mangrove forest (Knox, 1986; Lugo and Snedaker, 1974; Woodroffe, 1985) and the review of the above-ground biomass values of mangroves also clearly indicated that the values vary from region to region depending on various factors mentioned above. The mangrove stands of the three sectors of Thalassery (Nettur, Koduvally and Chonadam) that were studied have a dominant standing stock of *A. officinalis* which has contributed significantly to the AGB, and our interaction with the local communities residing in the locality.
close to the wetland has given an indication that the stands of *A. officinalis* have been established over 4 decades.

The above-ground carbon pools obtained for the mangroves of Thalassery wetland during the present study (94.63±48.90 t C ha⁻¹) were almost comparable with that of the studies of Vinod et al. (2018) for Kadalundi wetland, India (83.32 t C ha⁻¹) and Kauffman et al. (2011) for Micronesian mangrove forests at Palau (104.4 t C ha⁻¹). However, the present value was much lower when compared to the Micronesian mangrove forests at Yap (Kauffman et al. 2011; 169.2 t C ha⁻¹). The Thalassery wetland was found to have higher above-ground C-stock when compared to the natural mangrove stands of Mahanadi Mangrove Wetland (Sahu, Manish Kumar, and Ravindranath, 2016; 62.45 t C ha⁻¹), mangrove stocks of southern China (Chen et al., 2012; 55 t C ha⁻¹)

The overall mean carbon stock of root biomass (41.53±20.24 t C ha⁻¹) obtained during the present study is higher when compared to the values obtained for the mangrove stands of Kadalundi wetland, India (34.96±4.30 t C ha⁻¹; Vinod et al., 2018), southern China (21.4 t C ha⁻¹, Chen et al., 2012), Mahanadi Mangrove Wetland, India (27.86 t C ha⁻¹ for planted and 26.69 t C ha⁻¹ for natural mangrove stands, Sahu, Manish Kumar, and Ravindranath, 2016) and Tamil Nadu, India (12.9 to 18.1 t C ha⁻¹; Kathiresan et al., 2013). However, the C-stock of root biomass obtained in Yap by Kauffman et al. (2011) was much higher than the present value obtained for the mangroves of Thalassery wetland.

The average ratio of the above-ground biomass and the root biomass (T/R) in the present study was 2.28. This value was comparable with that of the T/R values of Mahanadi Mangrove Wetland, India (T/R value of 2.3; Sahu, Manish Kumar, and Ravindranath, 2016), Kadalundi wetland, India (T/R value of 2.38, Vinod et al., 2018) and Micronesian mangrove forests (T/R value of 1.1 to 4.4; Kauffman et al. 2011). The T/R values of mangrove forests are generally lower when compared to the terrestrial trees since a substantial part of the biomass of mangroves get allocated in the root system so as to enable them to stand erect in muddy conditions of the coastal wetlands.

In the present study, the average organic carbon in the sediment carbon pool was 17.48 t C ha⁻¹ which was much lower than the values obtained for the Mahanadi Mangrove Wetland in the east coast of India (57.6 t C ha⁻¹, Sahu, Manish Kumar, and Ravindranath, 2016), Micronesian mangroves (Palau 128.1 t C ha⁻¹, Yap 119.5 t C ha⁻¹; Kauffman et al., 2011), Kadalundi, India (63.87 t C ha⁻¹; Vinod et al., 2018), Okinawa, Japan (57.3 t C ha⁻¹; Khan, Suwa, and Hagihara, 2007). The sediment C-stock in Thalassery estuarine wetland constituted 11.37% of the total carbon stock (above ground carbon, root carbon and sediment carbon pools) and the mean sediment C-stock obtained in the present study was equivalent to 64.15 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ and the present study fully agrees with the fact that the sediments in a mangrove area serves as an important carbon pool (Donato et al., 2011; Kauffman and Donato, 2012; Kauffman et al., 2011). Although the sediment C-stock obtained for Thalassery wetland is less (based on carbon values of upper 30 cm depth), the values are indicative of the capacity of mangrove sediment to act as a carbon sink; however, Lawrence (2012) and Nellermann et al. (2009) have suggested that studies of carbon stock in different sediment depths would prove to be important.

The mangroves of Thalassery wetland (Nettur, Koduvally and Chonadam) cover an area of 5.8 ha. Considering the estimated total carbon stock of 153.64 t C ha⁻¹ in Thalassery wetland, it can be assumed that this wetland has the potential to sequester and store 891.11 t C, equivalent to an estimated amount of 3270.37 t CO₂. The present study thus signifies the potential of mangrove blue carbon ecosystem as an important carbon sink which is essential for climate change mitigation.

CONCLUSIONS

The mangrove wetlands are important habitats rendering many ecosystem services including fisheries, aquaculture, tourism, nursery and breeding grounds of fishes and invertebrates, feeding and nesting habitat of avian fauna, coastline protection, control of soil erosion, as a nutrient filter between land and sea and climate regulation. However, this critical habitat is vulnerable to anthropogenic pressures like dependency on mangroves for timber and firewood, conversion of mangrove areas for agriculture, clearing mangroves for aquaculture and coastal pollution. Worldwide there is an increasing awareness on the importance of mangroves as a source of carbon sink, in view of the climate change mitigation strategies. The increasing CO₂ levels in the atmosphere warrants the need to identify efficient and acceptable approaches to reduce the same and reducing the atmospheric CO₂ through carbon sequestration appears to be a more viable solution. The blue carbon ecosystems, particularly mangroves, are potential carbon sinks with the ability to store large quantities of carbon in their biomass and sediment. Considering an estimated total carbon stock of 153.64 t C ha⁻¹ in Thalassery wetland, it can be assumed that the mangroves spread over 9 km². in the state of Kerala, south India, will have the potential to sequester and store approximately 138,276 t C. Therefore, it is imperative that the mangrove habitats need to be restored and protected as a climate change mitigating measure.
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