REDESCRIPTION OF SARDINELLA SINDENSIS (DAY) (PISCES : CLUPEIDAE) WITH NOTES TO DISTINGUISH THE SPECIES FROM S. GIBBOSA (BLEEKER)

S. LAZARUS

Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin 682 018, India*

ABSTRACT

Sardinella sindensis (Day) is redescribed to distinguish it from S. gibbosa (Bleeker) based on the material collected for the first time from Vizhinjam (Lat. 08° 23 N Long. 76° 59 E). As the original description is found to be inadequate to differentiate it from S. gibbosa and additional characters such as body depth, perforations on the abdominal scales, shoulder spot and gill rakers are described in the paper. The present record extends the distribution of the species to the southwest coast of India where it form a fishery.

INTRODUCTION

Sardinella sindensis (Day) (= Clupea sindensis Day) was described by Day (1878) from Karachi. Since then it has been reported by Regan (1917) from Bombay and by Chan (1965) from the Philippines. But Whitehead (1965) considered Sardinella sindensis and S. gibbosa to be synonymous. However, Talwar and Whitehead (1971) have redescribed S. sindensis, and Whitehead (1973) has pointed out the need to examine more Indian specimens in order to establish the distinction between Sardinella sindensis (Day) and S. gibbosa (Bleeker). The present account provides a detailed description of S. sindensis with notes to distinguish it from S. gibbosa (-S. jussieu (Lacépède) based on the material collected for the first time from Vizhinjam southwest coast of India.

The author is grateful to Dr. E. G. Silas, Director, Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute for the encouragement received. He is thankful to Shri K. V. Narayana Rao, of the same Institute for critically going through the manuscript. He is also thankful to Dr. P. K. Talwar, Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta for kindly sparing a few earlier works referred to in this paper.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present description is based on 27 specimens of Sardinella sindensis ranging between 122 and 150 mm standard length (S.L.) (total length 147-185 mm). For comparison 21 specimens of S. gibbosa ranging in size from 120 to 150 mm S.L. (150 to 187 mm T.L.) were used. They mostly occurred in Achil (hooks and lines) and Chala vala (gill net) catches at Vizhinjam (Lat. 8° 23' N, long. 76° 59' E). Juveniles of S. sindensis were not available for comparison. The methods of taking morphometric measurements and meristic counts are the same as those described by Chan (1965) and Dharmaba (1967). The

[•] Present address : Vizhinjam Research Centre of CMFRI, Vizhnjam.

specimens were preserved in 5% formalin. In the description given below, the range is given first, followed by mean in parantheses, and this is followed by the meristic count having the highest frequency.

DESCRIPTION

Sardinella sidensis (Day) (Fig. 1 a)

- Clupea sindensis Day, 1879. The Fishes of India, p. 638, pl. 163(2); Seychelles, Sind, Bombay; figure (Life-size) or Karachi specimen, shown 95.5 mm S.L.); 1889, Fauna of British India, Fishes, 1:374.
- Sardinella sindensis Regan, 1917. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., Ser; 8:383. (Bombay-India). Chan, 1965, Jap. J. Ichthyol., 13:1-3): II, Fig. 21 (key, 44) specimens; Philippines); Talwar and Whitehead, 1971, Bull. Br. Mus. nat. Hist. (Zooi), 22(2): 57-85 pl. Ia; (2 specimens 95.5 mm and 90.0 mm S.L., Karachi); Whitehead, 1973, J. mar. biol. Ass. India, 14(1): 186, fig. 16 with key and synopsis.

Meristic counts : D 16-18 (17.5), 18; A 16-19 (17.7), 19; P14; V 8 (7-8), 8; C 24-26 (25.2), 26; lateral scales 42-45 (42.7). 42; longitudinal scale rows 12; pre-dorsal scales



FIG. 1 a. Sardinella sindensis (Day)-178 mm T.L.

14-17 (14.4), 14; pre-ventral scutes 17-18 (17.7), 18; post-ventral scutes 15-16 (15.1), 15; gill rakers, upper arm of first gill arch 28.32 (30), 30, lower arm 58-64 (60.5), 61.

Measurements: In percentage of standard length; head lengh 20.59-25.53 (24.17); maxillary length 9.15-10.20 (9.76); lower jaw length 10.17-10.66 (10.42); snout length 6.34-7.91 (7.18); orbit diameter 5.67-7.35 (6.94); post-orbital length 8.45-10.0 + (9.17); inter orbital width 4.96-6.62 (5.87); width of head between upper ends of gill openings 8.82-10.14 (9.57); depth at dorsal origin 26.43-30.88 (28.13); depth at anal origin 17.86-20.59 (19.21); least depth of caudal penduncle 7.80-8.82 (8.38); pre-dorsal distance 42.55-55.00 (45.23); post-dorsal distance 56.74-64.41 (58.99); pre-pectoral distance 24.29-26.09 (24.89); pre-ventral distance 49.64-52.90 (50.99); pre-anal distance 77.86-81.29 (79.55) length of dorsal base 12.95-15.22 (13.71); length of anal base 15.57-16.10 (15.84); length of last dorsal ray 13.83-17.02 (15.38); length of third anal ray 4.23-5.80 (4.91); length of last branch of last anal ray 4.24-5.88 (5.09); pectoral fin length 15.44-17.02 (16.58); ventral fin length 8.45-10.87 (9.40); caudal fin (lower lobe) length 22.79-27.54 (24.83). Table 1 gives a comparison of a few morphometric and meristic characters of Day's material (as given by Talwar and Whitehead, 1971) with that of the present one.

Head shorter than depth at doral origin. Snout equal to or a little greater than eye diameter. Minute teeth on median ridge of tongue, palatine and lower jaw. Black stellar chromataphores distributed on the tongue. Eye covered by adipose tissue, leaving a vertical slit on the middle. Two supra-maxillae. No hypomaxilla. The lower jaw profile steep. Opercular bones, post orbitals and sub-orbitals covered by an adipose sheath. The cephalic sensory canal system arranged in a radiating pattern. Frontoparietal region with cuneiform area bearing about 9 straie and the supra-orbital with about 4 striae.

Body oblong and fairly compressed. The ventro-median part of the body strongly keeled

Changelos	D	Day's material		Present material	
	Lectotype	Paralectotype	Mean	Rango	
Morphometric (% in S.L.)					
Depth at dorsal origin	25.7	23.9	28.13	26.4330.88	
Head length	26.7	22.5	24.17	20.59-25.53	
Snout length	6.5	6.9	7.18	6.34-7.91	
Eye diameter	6.3	6.9	6.86	5.67- 7.35	
Upper jaw length	9.9	10.6	9.76	9.15-10.29	
Lower jaw length	12.0	1 0.6	10.42	10.17-10.66	
Pectoral fin length	16.2	15.0	16.58	15.44-17.02	
Pelvic fin length	9.9	8.1	9.40	8.45-10.87	
Length of anal fin base	15.7	14.5	15.84	15.57-16.10	
Pre-dorsal distance	46.1	43.3	40.06	40.68-43.44	
Pre-pelvic distance	48.2	48.9	50.99	49.64-52.90	
Pre-anal distance	76.4	77.8	77.49	77.12-77.87	
Meristic :					
Lateral scales	42	43	42.7	42.45	
Longitudinal scale rows	11	••	12	••	
Pre-dorsal scales	15	••	14.4	14.17	
Pre-ventral scutes	18	••	17.7	17.18	
Post-ventral scutes	14	••	15.1	15-16	
Gill rakers : Uper arms	36	37	30.0	28-32	
Lower arms	65	63	60.5	58-64	
Pectoral rays	15	••	14	••	
Pelvic rays	8	••	8	7-8	
Anal rays	18		17.7	16-19	
Dorsal rays	16	17	17.5	16-18	

TABLE 1. Comparison of Day's material of Sardinella sindensis (as given by Talwar and Whitehead, 1971) with the present one in respect of a few morphometric and meristic characters.

either side. The ventral profile slightly more convex than in S. gibbosa.

Origin of dorsal fin nearer to snout than to caudal base; lower part of fin invested in scaly sheath. The pectoral fin tips do not reach the pelvic base. Pre-pectoral distance about half the pre-ventral distance. No axillary scale. Ventral inserted almost below the eye diameter. Gill rakers fine and slender, middle of dorsal base; nearer to pectoral base close-set, the longest about $\frac{1}{2}$ of eye diameter.

and the scutes partly concealed by scales on than to anal origin. Axillary scale present. Anal fin nearer to caudal base than to pelvic base. Last two anal rays somewhat larger and more extensively branched than the proceeding rays. Caudal deeply forked with pointed upper and lower lobes ; the lower lobe slightly longer than the dorsal lobe.

Pseudobranch present, exposed, as long as

Scales: Body covered by somewhat thick pairs of interrupted striae whereas those in Abdominal scales firmly adherent with less (below the middle of dorsal) have 6 pairs. perforations (Fig. 2 a). Each scale has only one continuous transverse grove distally and 5 to 7 pairs of interrupted ones with wide. distinct interspace between the disconnected



FIG. 2. Abdominal scales : a. Sardinella sindensis and b. S. gibbosa.

fin rests when folded against the body) have 5 compared to the sparingly perforated hard

cycloid scales. Predorsal medial ridge covered the posterior region (above the middle of anal) by overlapping scale rows on either side. have 7 pairs and those in the middle region

> Egg: Ripe intraovarian egg of S. sindensis is spherical and has a diametre range of 0.784 -0.882 mm. It has a cluster of oil globules placed almost in the centre. The yolk is chambered and, as in other sardines, it is honeycomb-like.

Colour: In fresh condition the shoulder spot at the suppracleithral region is greyish black with grey background and closely-set black pigments. Yellow lateral band is feebly seen or absent. Dorsal 1/3 region greyish while the sides are silvery white. Edge of dorsal dark dusky. Caudal greenish grey with black margin; there is a greenish white line parellel to the edge found on the upper lobe and some times or the lower lobe also. Tips of jaws blakish. Dark spot at base of anterior dorsal rays present. If preserved in formalin upper 1/3 of body brownish grey, yellowish brown on the sides. On the back, each scale pocket is marked by a brown margin. Shoulder spot grevish black. Edges of dorsal and caudal brownish.

Local names: In Tamil it is known as Choodai and in Malayalam it is called Chala mathi.

Distribution : Karachi, Bombay, Philippines. Sydney and at present Vizhinjam.

Distinguishing characters: The most important external distinguishing feature between S. sindensis and S. gibbosa is the distinct difference in the body depth. In S. sindensis the body depth range is 26.43-30.88 (28.13) while in S. gibbosa (Fig. 1 b) it is 23.86-26.96 portions. The number of interrupted striae (25.86). Similarly the abdominal scales of differs from place to place in a particular fish. these two species show a specific difference. Thus, in a fish of 142 mm standard length scales The highly perforated abdominal scales of of the anterior region (where the tip of pectoral S. gibbosa (Fig. 2 b) seem to be tender when number can be of some help. In S. sindensis have the lower count of 14. One out of five the gillraker number varies from 58 to 64. other Day specimens (BMNH 1889.2.1919-24) Whereas in S. gibbosa it ranges from 50 to 56 has 14 post-pelvic scutes. If scute number is only. In fresh condition the shoulder spot of diagnostic, then S. sindensis can be separated S. sindensis appears greyish black with thickly from S. gibbosa by its slightly higher range for

scales of S. sindensis (Fig. 2 a). Gillraker both lectotype and paralectotype of S. sindensis

TABLE 2.	Varyi ng	characters	of	Sardinella sindensis	(Day)	and	S.	gibbosa	(Bleeker))
----------	-----------------	------------	----	----------------------	-------	-----	----	---------	-----------	---

Characters	S. sindensis	S. gibbosa
Depth at dorsal origin	26.43-30.88 (28.13)	23.86-26.96 (25.86)
Depth at anal origin	17.86-20.59 (19.21)	16.6719.49 (18.13)
Pre-dorsal distance	42.55-55.00 (45.23)	41.5345.28 (44.27)
Orbit diameter	5.67-7.35 (6.94)	6.86- 7.89 (7.42)
Pre-anal distance	77.86-81.29 (79.55)	76.99-80.18 (78.42)
Pre-pectoral distance	24.29-26.09 (24.89)	23.33-25.44 (24.53)
Pre-ventral distance	49.64-52.90 (51.00)	48.3352.18 (50.01)
Pre-dorsal scales	14-17 (14.4)	13-14 (13.3)
Gillrakers (lower arm)	58-64 (60.5)	50-56(53.9)
Abdomir al scales	Hard with less perforations	Soft with more perforations.
Colour of shoulder spot	Dark with densely concen- trated black pigments on a grey background	Less dark with scattered black pigments on a vallow background

(Mean values are given in parantheses).

concentrated black pigments on a grey back- gillraker numbers (58-72 at 69-122 S.L.; of ground, thus giving a blackish appearance, 43.63 at 90-150 mm S.L. — figures from Whitewhereas in S. gibbosa it is less dark with scatte- head (1973)'. red black pigments on a yellow background. Varying characters of the two species are given in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Talwar and Whitehead (1971) while drawing the distinction between S. sindensis (Day) S. gibbosa (Bleeker) made the following comments : 'Sardinella sindensis, together with S. gibbosa (Bleeker) can be separated from Museum material, and it is unfortunate that body depth and gillraker count. The present

It would thus appear that these two species could be distinguished by gillraker count. Chan (1965) reports, however, a considerable overlap in this character between the two species. But Vizhinjam material did not show such an overlap, the ranges in the gillraker number being 58-64 and 50-56 respectively for S. sindensis (122-150 mm S.L.) and S. gibbosa other species of Sardinella by its slightly higher (120-150 mm S.L.). The greater body depth postpelvic scute count (15-16, rarely 14 or of S. sindensis (26.4-30.9 per cent of S.L.) 17-18; cf 12-14, rarely 11 or 15 -- see key in is also fairly distinct from that of S. gibbosa Whitehead (1973). This slight distinction held (23.9 - 26.9 per cent of S.L.). Regan (1917) true in 44 and 159 specimens (respectively) in his monograph of the genus Sardinella examined by Chan (1965) and also in British also distinguishes these two species by their study has revealed further that the two species could be distinguished from S. gibbosa on the and in the colour of the shoulder spot.

Therefore, it is apparent that S. sindensis number of gillrakers.

differ in the nature of the abdominal scales, basis of the body depth, the perforations of the abdominal scales, the colour of the shoulder spot and also on the basis of the

REFERENCES

CHAN, W. L. 1965. A systematic revision of the Indo-Pacific clupeoid fishes of the genus sardinella (Family Clupeidae). Jap. J. Ichthyol., 12 (3-6): 104-118; Ibid., 13 (1-3): 1-39.

DAY, F. 1878. The Fishes of India, being a natural history of the fishes known to inhabit the seas and freshwaters of India. Burma and Ceylon. William Dawson & Sons, London, Pt. IV, pp. j-xx, 533-778.

DHARMAMBA, M. 1967. On the juveniles of Sardinella fimbriata (Val.) and Sardinella gibbosa (Bikr.). Indian J. Fish., 10 (1) A : 167-181.

REGAN, C. T. 1917. A revision of the clupeoid fishes of the genera Sardinella, Harengula, etc. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) 19: 377-395.

TALWAR, P. K. AND P. J. P. WHITEHEAD 1971. The clupeoid fishes described by Francis Day. Bull. Br. Mus. nat. Hist. (Zool.) 22(2):1-85.

WHITEHEAD, P. J. P. 1965. A review of the Elopoid and clupcoid fishes of the Red Sea and adjacent regions. Ibid., (12) 7:248-257.

----- 1973. A synopsis of the clupeoid fishes of India. J. mar. biol. Ass. India, 14(1): 160-256.