**Epinephelus malabaricus**, Malabar Grouper

Assessment by: Samoilys, M., Amorim, P., Choat, J.H., Law, C., Ma, K., Myers, R., Nair, R., Rhodes, K., Russell, B., Suharti, S. & To, A.


Copyright: © 2018 International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

Reproduction of this publication for resale, reposting or other commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission from the copyright holder. For further details see Terms of Use.

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species™ is produced and managed by the IUCN Global Species Programme, the IUCN Species Survival Commission (SSC) and The IUCN Red List Partnership. The IUCN Red List Partners are: Arizona State University; BirdLife International; Botanic Gardens Conservation International; Conservation International; NatureServe; Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew; Sapienza University of Rome; Texas A&M University; and Zoological Society of London.

If you see any errors or have any questions or suggestions on what is shown in this document, please provide us with feedback so that we can correct or extend the information provided.
Taxonomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kingdom</th>
<th>Phylum</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Animalia</td>
<td>Chordata</td>
<td>Actinopterygii</td>
<td>Perciformes</td>
<td>Epinephelidae</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Taxon Name:** *Epinephelus malabaricus* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)

**Synonym(s):**
- *Epinephelus malabaricus* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801)

**Common Name(s):**
- English: Malabar Grouper
- French: Mérou Malabare
- Spanish: Mero Malabárico

**Taxonomic Notes:**
There has been taxonomic confusion and misidentifications between *Epinephelus coioides*, *E. tauvina* and *E. malabaricus* (Heemstra and Randall 1993). Therefore, historical data for *E. malabaricus* can be uncertain.

**Assessment Information**

**Red List Category & Criteria:** Least Concern [ver 3.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T61338A46627320.en)

**Year Published:** 2018

**Date Assessed:** November 18, 2016

**Justification:**
This widely distributed species inhabits a variety of nearshore habitats. It can be common in parts of its range and is relatively fast-growing. Adults and juveniles are exploited by fisheries, especially for the live reef fish food trade based in Hong Kong. Population declines have occurred in the Andaman Islands, New Caledonia and the Philippines, and probably elsewhere in areas of heavy fishing pressure, but the level is not known or quantified. Even anecdotal information is missing from large areas of the range of this grouper, including Indonesia, most of East Africa, the Red Sea and New Guinea. Populations are considered to have remained healthy in Australia. Overfishing is a threat on a localised basis, and is not known to be driving global-level population declines that would approach a 'threatened' level. Therefore, it is listed as Least Concern. It is strongly recommended that fishery management and data collection is improved to monitor population trends, as well as additional research on its life history. The change in status from the previous assessment reflects an improved application of the Red List categories and criteria, as well as a better understanding of available data.

**Previously Published Red List Assessments**
2006 – Near Threatened (NT)
http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2006.RLTS.T61338A12463955.en
Geographic Range

Range Description:
This species is distributed in the Indo-Pacific from East Africa to the Red Sea, east to Tonga, north to the Ryukyu Islands, Japan and south to northern Australia. It is absent from the Persian Gulf (Heemstra and Randall 1993, Craig et al. 2011). Its depth range is one to 150 metres.

Country Occurrence:

Native: Australia; Brunei Darussalam; Cambodia; China; Comoros; Disputed Territory (Paracel Is., Spratly Is.); Djibouti; Egypt; Eritrea; Fiji; French Southern Territories (Mozambique Channel Is.); Hong Kong; India; Indonesia; Iran, Islamic Republic of; Israel; Japan; Jordan; Kenya; Macao; Madagascar; Malaysia; Mauritius; Mayotte; Micronesia, Federated States of; Mozambique; New Caledonia; Oman; Pakistan; Palau; Papua New Guinea; Philippines; Réunion; Saudi Arabia; Seychelles; Singapore; Solomon Islands; Somalia; South Africa; Sri Lanka; Sudan; Taiwan, Province of China; Tanzania, United Republic of; Thailand; Timor-Leste; Tonga; United Arab Emirates; Viet Nam; Wallis and Futuna; Yemen

FAO Marine Fishing Areas:

Native: Atlantic - southeast, Indian Ocean - eastern, Indian Ocean - western, Pacific - southwest, Pacific - northwest, Pacific - western central, Pacific - eastern central
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Epinephelus malabaricus
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Population

This species can be relatively common in parts of its range, including Australia (Heemstra and Randall 1993, Sheaves 1995). Information on population abundance and fishery catches of Epinephelus malabaricus is limited largely due to historical confusion/misidentification with E. coioides and E. taurus. Recent fishery-independent estimates of population densities found zero or low densities of this species on shallow coral reefs (shallower than 30 m) (http://reeflifesurvey.imas.utas.edu.au/portal/search accessed October 2014; M. Samoilys unpublished data 2009-2015). It is targeted during all life history stages throughout its range, including for the live reef food fish trade, and is probably overfished in some countries, sometimes markedly. Although, fishing pressure on E. malabaricus has reduced the global population, quantitative data on changes in population size are not available to estimate percent decline. This species is not regularly monitored either by visual census or in any fisheries. Furthermore, even anecdotal information is missing from large areas of its range, including Indonesia, the Red Sea and New Guinea. In addition, historical confusion with other grouper species introduces significant uncertainty when discerning population trends.

New Caledonia: Historically, E. malabaricus was common in New Caledonia, but has become rare in shallow waters over the past 20 years. Some individuals remain in deeper waters (M. Kulbicki pers. comm. 2004). It is considered overexploited in the southern lagoon along most of the west coast of New Caledonia. On the east coast and in the Loyalty Islands, the stock is probably moderately exploited, but abundance has declined from levels 30 to 40 years ago judging from photographs taken at that time. The average size observed at Noumea market is around 5-10 kg and the maximum size around 25 kg. The species is regularly present in catches, especially during the spawning season, but never in large quantities (M. Kulbicki pers. comm. 2004)

Malaysia: This species is commonly sold in Malaysian markets, but usually in small quantities.

India: This is a common species along the west coast of India and in the Gulf of Mannar. It is also one of the dominant species in the Andaman Islands, and may be exploited there in large quantities for the live fish trade (J. Charles pers. comm. 2004).

East Africa: Historical aggregate grouper landings data (1978-2001) from Kenya declined by about 80% since peaking in the 1980s. In southern Kenya, 67 individuals of this species were observed in local fisheries during a six-month survey. Together with E. coioides and E. fuscoguttatus, E. malabaricus comprised the bulk of the grouper catch in terms of biomass (Agembe et al. 2010). Some grouper populations are protected within Kenyan marine parks, but even in those areas, densities are very low. During a two year trapping project within two Malindi and Watamu marine parks in Kenya, only one specimen of E. malabaricus (>60 cm) was taken (B. Kaunda-Arara pers. comm. 2003).

Asia-western Pacific: The species was not recorded during a rapid biodiversity assessment of the Calamianes Islands in Palawan Province, Philippines (Werner and Allen 2000) or in the Raja Ampat Islands in West Papua, Indonesia (McKenna et al. 2002), or in the Togean and Banggai Islands in Sulawesi, Indonesia (Allen and McKenna 2001). The species was also not recorded in surveys conducted from 2007 to 2015 in the Coral Triangle, the main Hawaiian Islands, American Samoa, Mariana, Northwestern Hawaiian Islands and Pacific Remote Islands (NOAA 2016). Only two individual sightings
are reported from the Pacific Islands over a 10 year time span (2001-2011) - from Vanuatu and Kiribati (Pacific Regional Oceanic and Coastal Fisheries Development Programme). Juveniles of *E. malabaricus* are wild-caught and used to supply mariculture in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam, the Philippines, Sri Lanka and China. It is is the most commonly taken species after *E. coioides* in many areas (Sadovy 2000, Gaspare and Bryceson 2013). In the Philippines, *E. coioides* and *E. malabaricus* comprise most of the catch of juveniles for grow-out in the Philippines and anecdotal declines of up to 50% have been reported for some areas due to overfishing and possibly habitat degradation (Sadovy 2000).

Western Indian Ocean and Gulf of Aden: Underwater surveys conducted in the western Indian Ocean from 2009 to 2015 did not record this species in Tanzania, Comoros and northeastern Madagascar (Samoilys and Randriamanantsoa 2011, M. Samoilys unpublished data). It was only observed at two sites in 2011 in northern Mozambique (average density 0.31 fish/ha; Samoilys et al. 2011). It was not observed in Chagos, which suggests this species may not occur there. It has been observed on the remote fully protected area of Isle Glorieuses (France) with densities ranging from zero to 0.93 fish per hectare (0-8.06 kg/ha). Densities were higher in Djibouti, ranging from zero to 2.78 fish per ha (0.0-13.16 kg/ha). In the Andaman Islands, this species comprised 21.6% (1.296 tonnes) of annual grouper landings in 2010-2011, catch per unit effort varied from 4 to 16.5 kg per h⁻¹ and the population was considered overexploited (Kirubasankar et al. 2013).

**Hong Kong:** It is reportedly rare in Hong Kong (Sadovy and Cornish 2000) and recent surveys did not locate any individuals there as well (Shao and Ho 2016). A wet market survey conducted in Hong Kong from 2004 to 2006 recorded only 26 wild-caught individuals (To 2009). A survey conducted in Hong Kong wet markets and restaurants in 2012/2013 recorded 185 wild-caught and farmed individuals, and 100% of these were suspected to be immature base on the estimated maturity size (Lam 2013). It is not known how much of the marketed fish in southeast Asia is sourced from the wild (as adult or as juvenile for grow-out) versus from hatchery-based culture. **Taiwan:** Based on 10-15 years of underwater observation in a very limited locality in Taiwan, this species is regarded as “occasional” (K-T. Shao pers. comm. 2016).

**Current Population Trend:** Decreasing

**Habitat and Ecology** (see Appendix for additional information)

This demersal species occurs in a variety of habitats: coral and rocky reefs, tidepools, estuaries, mangrove swamps and sandy/mud bottom from the shore to depths of 150 m. Juveniles are found nearshore and in estuaries (Heemstra and Randall 1993, Sheaves 1995), while other studies have classified estuary populations of *Epinephelus malabaricus* in the Indo-Pacific as being comprised of both juveniles and adults. Maximum total length is 114 cm, and a 110 cm individual was aged to 19 years in Australia (H. Choat pers. comm. 2016). Fork length ranges from 12.2-62 cm for individuals caught from estuaries, and 58.2-76.2 cm from offshore waters. This species is a monandric protogynous hermaphrodite (Lau and Li 2000, Gaspare and Bryceson 2013) with sex transition occurring around ten years of age (Murata et al. 2010). Length at maturity is 58 cm in Indonesia (Mous and Pet 2016). Maturity size range is 45-50 cm total length (Lau and Parry-Jones 1999). A spawning aggregation is known from Prony Bay, New Caledonia (M. Kulbicki pers. comm. 2004). Though life history data are somewhat limited, this is considered to be a relatively fast-growing species with a medium age of sexual reproduction.
maturity and large maximum size.

**Systems:** Marine

**Use and Trade**

This is an important grouper species taken in fisheries in the Indo-Pacific region. It is caught with handlines, trawls, longlines, traps, spear and hook-and-line (Heemstra and Randall 1993). It is targeted during all life history stages, especially for sale in the live reef food fish trade based in Hong Kong (Sadovy et al. 2003), but only aggregated import data are available (i.e., several grouper species are combined into one category). Mariculture of this species was first started in Penang in 1973 and continues with fry usually imported from Thailand, the Philippines or caught in local coastal waters (Mohsin and Amba 1996). Fry/fingerlings are taken from the wild for mariculture in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam, the Philippines, Sri Lanka and China. *Epinephelus malabaricus* is the most commonly taken species after *E. coioides* in many areas. Small individuals of both of these species are primarily taken from brackish water or mangroves (Sadovy 2000). Annual production from hatcheries in Thailand increased from 15,000 fish in 1991 to 265,200 in 1995 (Yashiro 1999). The species is also reared in Hong Kong (Lee and Sadovy 1998).

**Threats** *(see Appendix for additional information)*

Overfishing is a significant threat to this species in several parts of its range, but this is not considered a major threat on a global-level at this time. It may also be impacted by habitat degradation in estuarine areas.

**Conservation Actions** *(see Appendix for additional information)*

In Queensland, Australia, there is a size and bag limit for recreational catch of this species (http://www.dpi.qld.gov.au/fishweb/11379.html) and it occurs in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. In the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, fishing is banned for a period of 45 days from April 15 to May 31 annually for mechanised trawlers, gill netters and purse seiners (Kirubasankar et al. 2013). While many marine parks have been introduced in areas within the range of *E. malabaricus* (e.g., Papua New Guinea, Indonesia and the Philippines) most of these are considered to be 'paper parks' and are poorly managed or legislation is poorly enforced. However, some marine parks in East Africa that this species occurs in are relatively well-enforced (Mafia in Tanzania and Maindi in Kenya; Gaspare and Bryceson 2013). It also occurs in Djibouti’s marine parks (Samoilys et al. 2014). Larval rearing of this species has been achieved in Thailand (Tookwinas 1989) and hatchery production occurs in Taiwan.
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## Appendix

### Habitats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Suitability</th>
<th>Major Importance?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Threats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat</th>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Impact Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Biological resource use -&gt; 5.4. Fishing &amp; harvesting aquatic resources -&gt; 5.4.1. Intentional use: (subsistence/small scale) [harvest]</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stresses:</td>
<td>2. Species Stresses -&gt; 2.1. Species mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Biological resource use -&gt; 5.4. Fishing &amp; harvesting aquatic resources -&gt; 5.4.2. Intentional use: (large scale) [harvest]</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stresses:</td>
<td>2. Species Stresses -&gt; 2.1. Species mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conservation Actions in Place

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation Actions in Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-Place Land/Water Protection and Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Place Species Management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conservation Actions Needed

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)
**Conservation Actions Needed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Land/water protection</th>
<th>1.1. Site/area protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Species management</td>
<td>3.1. Species management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1.1. Harvest management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Law &amp; policy</td>
<td>5.1. Legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.1.2. National level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Law &amp; policy</td>
<td>5.4. Compliance and enforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research Needed**

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Research -&gt; 1.2. Population size, distribution &amp; trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Research -&gt; 1.3. Life history &amp; ecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Conservation Planning -&gt; 2.2. Area-based Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Conservation Planning -&gt; 2.3. Harvest &amp; Trade Management Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Data Fields**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower depth limit (m): 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper depth limit (m): 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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