# LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP IN FOUR SPECIES OF THREADFIN BREAMS FROM MADRAS

E. VIVEKANANDAN\* AND D. B. JAMES\*

### Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin 682031

#### ABSTRACT

The regression coefficients of length-weight relationship of males and females of N. mesoprion are significantly different at 5% level. In N. tolu, N. delagoae and N. luteus, the differences are not significant. Hence, a regression equation common to both sexes is recommended for each of the latter three species.

## INTRODUCTION

APART from providing a mathematical relationship between the two measurements, length and weight, a regression of weight on length is required for incorporation in a yield equation of Beverton and Holt type. For this purpose, it is a prerequisite to examine whether separate regression equations for males and females are necessary or one equation for each species will suffice. In the present note, the length-weight relationships of four species of threadfin breams, viz., Nemipterus mesoprion (Bleeker), N. tolu (Valenciennes), N. delagoae Smith and N. luteus (Schneider) are reported.

We are thankful to Dr. P. S. B. R. James, Director, C. M. F. R. I., Cochin-31 for encouragement and to Dr. V. Sriramachandramurty for valuable suggestions.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples of the 4 species were collected from the trawl landing centre at Kasimedu, Madras during the years 1981 and 1982. Data on total length (from tip of snout to tip of lower caudal lobe) and weight (to nearest mm and 0.5 g respectively) were recorded separately in males and females.

The length-weight relationship was calculated by method of least squares using the equation

## $\log W = \log a + b \log L$

where W= weight in g, L= total length in mm, and 'a' and 'b' are constants. The significance of difference at 5% level between b values of the sexes in each species was tested by Analysis of Covariance (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

#### RESULTS

#### N. mesoprion

The study is based on 167 males ranging in total length from 93 to 195 mm and 245 females ranging from 97 to 185 mm collected during 1982. The equations obtained are:

Males:  $\log W = -4.7926 + 2.9692 \log L$ ;  $r^2 = 0.964$ 

Females: log W =-  $3.0602 + 2.1570 \log L$ ; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.746

The ANOCOVA test of significance revealed that the difference is significant (Table 1). In

Present address : Madras Research Centre of CMFRI, 29 Commander-in-Chief Road, Madras - 600 105.

Figure 1, the regression lines are plotted separately for males and females. Murty (1982), however, did not find significant difference between regression coefficients of males and females of N. mesoprion collected from Kakinada. Females:  $\log W = -4.7395 + 2.9230 \log L$ ;  $r^2 = 0.960$ 

The difference between regression coefficients of males and females is not significant (Table 1). Hence the data on sexes were pooled and a



Fig. 1. Length-weight relationship in N. mesoprion.

## N. tohu

The regression equations of 119 males (range: 108-230 mm) and 154 females (range: 95-216 mm), collected during 1981 are:

single equation calculated for N. tolu from Madras:

log W = -4.7462 + 2.9274 log L;  $r^2 = 0.958$ N. delagoae

Males:  $\log W = -4.7659 + 2.9341 \log L$ ; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.962 The following are the regression equations of 143 males (range: 118-223 mm) and 200

females (range: 115-214 mm) collected during 1981:

 
 TABLE
 1: Comparison of regression lines of males and females of different species by ANOCOVA

Source of variations		Deviation from df SS		regression MSS
N. meso	prion		<u></u>	
Within				
	Males	165	1.471374	0.0089174
	Females	243 408	16.075556 17.546930	0.0661546 0.0430072
	Pooled	409 1	19.666955 2.120025	0.0480855 2.1200250
	F=49,295;	df=1,	408;	Significant
N, tolu				
Within				
	Males	117	0.133234	0.001140
	Females	152 269	0.525343 0.658577	0.003460 0.002450
	Pooled	270 1	0.658705 0.000128	0.002440 0.000128
	F==0.052;	df=1,	269;	Not significant
N. delag	30 <i>ae</i>			
Within				
	Males	141	1.311694	0.0093028
	Females	198 339	1.529910 2.841604	0.0077268 0.0083823
	Pooled	340 1	2.841681 0.000077	0.0083579 0.0000770
	F=0.009;	df=1,	339;	No t significant
N. luteu	IS		, <u>,,,,</u> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Within				
	Males	214	0.801066	0.0037433
	Females	123 337	1.413506 2.214572	0.0114919 0.0065714
	Pooled	338	2.238496	0.0066228

df=1, 337:

Not

significant

F = 3.641:

Males: log W =  $-4.9770 + 3.0149 \log L$ ; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.963

Females:  $\log W = -4.9602 + 3.0240 \log L$ ;  $r^2=0.914$ 

The difference between regression coefficients of males and females is not significant (Table 1). Hence the data on sexes were pooled and a single equation calculated for N. delagoae

 $\log W = -4.9659 + 3.0186 \log L$ ; r<sup>2</sup> = 0.937

## N. luteus

The regression equations of 216 males (range: 118-225 mm) and 125 females (range: 118-209 mm) collected during 1981 are:

Males: long W= -  $4.5995 + 2.8740 \log L$ ; r<sup>2</sup>= 0.963

Females:  $\log W = -5.0355 + 3.0836 \log L$ ;  $r^2 = 0.862$ 

The difference between regression coefficients of males and females is not significant (Table 1). Hence the data on sexes were pooled and a single equation calculated for N. *luteus*:

 $\log W = -4.6706 + 2.9138 \log L; r^2 = 0.925$ 

## DISCUSSION

For a fish having an unchanging body form and specific gravity, the value b=3, which describes "isometric growth." A fair number of species seem to approach this "ideal" (Ricker, 1958). But as the specific gravity and shape of the fish are subject to changes, this cube law need not hold good always. The values of regression coefficients of males and females separately for *N. mesoprion* and those of the other three species were tested against the theoretical value of 3 by the t-test. This is necessary to enable the use of the appropriate

134

form of Beverton-Holt yield equation. It was different from 3 in all cases except females of found that the b values are not significantly N. mesoprion.

2

1 Ì

Ż

.

ţ

1

#### REFERENCES

MURTY, V. S. 1982. Observations on some aspects of biology of threadfin bream Nemipterus mesoprion (Bleeker) from Kakinada. Indian J. Fish., 28: 199-207 (1981).

RICKER, W. E. 1958. Handbook of computations for

biological statistics of fish populations. Bull. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada, 119: 300 pp.

SNEDECOR, G. W. AND W. G. COCHRAN 1967. Sta-tistical Methods. Sixth Edition. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi, 593 pp.