Growth performance, feed utilization, survival and body composition of rabbitfish *Siganus canaliculatus* raised at two different stocking densities in sea net cages
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Abstract: Over a period of 213-day, the effect of two stocking densities (8 and 12 fish/m³) on the performance of the rabbitfish, *Siganus canaliculatus* (3.38g ±0.14) in floating cage nets was tested. The results of the study revealed no differences (p < 0.05) in the growth performance and survival of the two groups. Comparatively, the fish group under the higher stocking density (12 fish/m³) exhibited a lower survival rate. The values of feed intake, feed utilization efficiency and final body composition for both treatments did not differ significantly (p < 0.05). In conclusion, the study suggested the possibility of applying higher stocking density in commercial rabbitfish farming. However, more studies are needed on the effects of high stocking densities on fish survival.
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Introduction

The siganids are herbivorous marine fishes which inhibit rocky and weedy areas of the Indo Pacific area (Al-Abdessalaam, 1995; MAF, 2003). Naturally the fish browse on fleshy green algae and benthic plants. Both the juveniles and adults are primarily diurnal feeders, feeding almost continuously during the daytime (Armando et al., 1999). The fact that the fish possess most of the desirable characteristics for aquaculture, several species have been tried in many countries for this purpose (Horstmann, 1975; Lichatowich et al., 1984; Parazo, 1990; Tacon et al., 1990; Yousif et al., 1996).

In the United Arab Emirates (UAE), the siganids, which are represented by *Siganus canaliculatus*
and *S. javus*, enjoy a high and sustained demand in the country and the commercial size of rabbitfish accepted by the local consumers is 150 g (Anwahi et al., 1986). However, there is substantial gap between supply and demand. As a result, the retail prices of the fish sometimes reach as high as 20 dirham/kg (1 US $ = 3.68 dirham). This gap was expected long time ago to be filled by the development of farmed siganids. (MAF, 1984). The successful hatchery production of rabbitfish, *S. canaliculatus*, in UAE has encouraged the development of plans for economically viable culture methods. Production trials of cultured rabbitfish started as early 1980s and continued since then (Anwahi et al., 1986; Yousif et al., 1996). At present there is an upsurge of interest among fishermen in small-scale cage culture (MRRC, 1995). Despite all these efforts and interest no advances are yet being made on their commercial culture and many aspects of their performance in different grow-out facilities remained unsolved. The paucity of suitable coastal land areas in UAE for fish farming makes cage culture an attractive option. Therefore, efforts should concentrate on the potential of cages in producing commercial crops of siganids. One of the main factors determining the economic feasibility of an aquaculture organism is the maximum stocking density that can be maintained without substantial reduction in growth rate. Higher stocking densities, beyond the optimum levels, may lead to reduction of growth rate, increase of feed conversion ratio and lowering of survival rate (Bjornsson, 1994; Yousif, 2002). However, there is no information on the performance of siganids in cages in UAE. Therefore, the current study was conducted to investigate the growth performance, feed utilization, survival, and body composition of rabbitfish, *Siganus canaliculatus* subjected to two different stocking densities in sea cage nets.

**Materials and Methods**

Fish and experimental procedure

Hatchery bred rabbitfish fingerlings of 3.38 g ± 0.14 (mean ± s.d.) average body weight and 6.31 cm ± 0.014 (mean ± s.d.) in length were randomly distributed in four 5x5x2.5 m$^3$ (55 m$^3$ water volume) floating cage nets with 20 mm square mesh nylon attached to a square steel pipe frames which were connected to the shore with a gangway. All cages were shaded from direct sunlight by green sheets. The steel pipe frames were floated by a 1x0.5x0.4 m$^3$ styrofoam buoys in one of the dredged channels of Abu Al Abyad Island, Abu Dhabi Emirate. The channel is 8 m deep with a good tidal water exchange rate. Two stocking densities of 8 fish/m$^3$ and 12 fish/m$^3$ were tested on duplicate group for 213 days (May to December 2001). The water quality parameters (water temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, transparency, and pH) were measured every day inside the cage at a depth of 2.2 m.

Commercial ARASCO (Saudi Arabia) sinking feed (52% crude protein, 10% crude fat and 0.8% crude fiber) was hand fed to the fish three times a day. To avoid the loss of feed, a 1x1 m$^2$ feeding tray was hung in the water column about 1 m above the cage bottom. Each feeding tray was checked for remaining feed prior to the subsequent feed ration. Initially the fish were served a 1.2 mm particle size pellets at a rate of 7% body weight, 6 days a week, in the first month then replaced by 2 mm pellets, at 3% body weight, during the following 4 months.
and finally shifted to 4 mm pellets, at 2% body weight, in the remaining two months. At monthly intervals, 50 fish were randomly sampled from each cage net, bulk weighed (in grams, g), individually measured (in centimeters, cm) and the daily amount of feed was adjusted accordingly.

At the initiation and end of the study, fish samples from each cage were retained and kept frozen at −18°C in sealed plastic bags for subsequent carcass analysis. Fish were first oven dried at 105°C for 24 hours to constant weight and then ground to homogenous powder using a kitchen blender. Fish carcass samples were analyzed in triplicate by standard methods AOAC (1984) for moisture, crude protein, crude lipid and ash.

Analytical procedure

Fish performance was evaluated in terms of percent survival, weight gain (g), specific growth rate (SGR %/day) and condition factor (K%). The feed utilization efficiency was calculated in terms of feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER) protein retention efficiency (PRE %) and energy retention efficiency (ERE %) as follows:

\[
\text{Survival rate} \text{ } (%) = 100 \left[ \frac{(\text{final number of fish}) - (\text{initial number of fish})}{(\text{initial number of fish})} \right]
\]

\[
\text{Wt. Gain (g)} = (\text{final body wt} - \text{initial body wt.})
\]

\[
\text{SGR} \text{ } (%/day) = 100 \left[ \frac{(\log_e \text{final body wt.}) - (\log_e \text{initial body wt.})}{(\text{time, days})} \right]
\]

\[
\text{K} \text{ } (%) = 100 \left[ \frac{(\text{final body weight, g})}{(\text{final body length, cm}^3)} \right]
\]

\[
\text{FCR} = \left[ \frac{\text{feed fed (dry wt., g)}}{\text{fish wt. gain (fresh wt., g)}} \right]
\]

\[
\text{PRE} \text{ } (%) = 100 \left[ \frac{(\text{final body protein, g}) - (\text{initial body protein, g})}{(\text{protein intake, g})} \right]
\]

\[
\text{ERE} \text{ } (%) = 100 \left[ \frac{(\text{final body energy, kJ}) - (\text{initial body energy, kJ})}{(\text{energy intake, kJ})} \right]
\]

All data were subjected to statistical analysis using General Linear Models Procedures (SAS 1991).

Results

During the grow-out period water temperature ranged between 29°C and 33°C and salinity ranged between 50 ppt and 53 ppt. A peak of 35°C for temperature and 55 ppt for salinity was recorded during July and August. The dissolved oxygen, transparency, and pH at the bottom of the cage net ranged during the culture season between 4.7 and 6.0 ppm; 210 and 220 cm; 8.0 and 8.57, respectively. All these ranges were considered as ideal levels for fish well-being in floating cages (Beveridge, 1996).

Growth performance of \textit{S. canaliculatus} subjected to the two different stocking densities in cage nets is shown in Table 1. All growth parameters tested for both treatments showed an increase but did not differ significantly (p < 0.05). The final body weights attained within a period of 213 days for treatments 1 (8 fish/m$^3$) and 2 (12 fish/m$^3$) were 180.75 g and 184.75 g, respectively. The weight gain achieved was 177.39 and 181.35 g for treatments 1 and 2, respectively (figure 1). The values obtained for specific growth rate (SGR %/day) and condition factor were almost the same for both treatments. The final survival rates for treatments 1 and 2 were 83.50 and 78.50%, respectively. Comparatively, the fish under the higher stocking density (12 fish/m$^3$) exhibited a lower survival rate.
Table 1. Growth performance and condition factor of *S. canaliculatus* stocked at two different densities in floating cage nets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial weight (g)</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.000</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final weight (g)</td>
<td>180.75 ± 9.950</td>
<td>184.75 ± 1.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial length (cm)</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.010</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final length (cm)</td>
<td>21.69 ± 0.295</td>
<td>21.75 ± 0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival (%)</td>
<td>83.50 ± 2.500</td>
<td>78.50 ± 3.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (g)</td>
<td>177.39 ± 9.950</td>
<td>181.35 ± 1.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGR (%/day)</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.030</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition factor (%)</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.025</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM of two replicates. There were no significant differences between treatments (p < 0.05).
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**Figure 1.** Weight gain of *S. canaliculatus* raised at different stocking densities in sea net cages.

Though the values of feed intake and feed utilization efficiency did not differ significantly (p < 0.05) for both stocking densities (Table 2), the values of feed conversion ratio, protein efficiency ratio, protein retention efficiency and energy retention efficiency obtained for treatment 2 were slightly better than those for treatment 1.
Table 2. Feed intake and feed utilization efficiency of *S. canaliculatus* stocked at two different densities in floating cage nets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed intake (g)</td>
<td>310.00 ± 10.00</td>
<td>260.00 ± 10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCR</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.045</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.025</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE (%)</td>
<td>65.13 ± 1.490</td>
<td>82.79 ± 3.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERE (%)</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.780</td>
<td>8.48 ± 0.450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM of two replicates. There were no significant differences between treatments (p < 0.05).

The final body composition showed no significant difference (p < 0.05) in moisture, crude protein, crude lipid, ash and energy content between the two experimental groups (Table 3).

Table 3. Carcass composition and energy content of *S. canaliculatus* (% wet weight) stocked at two different densities in floating cage nets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>74.45</td>
<td>67.18 ± 0.275</td>
<td>68.48 ± 0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>19.49 ± 2.725</td>
<td>19.44 ± 0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude lipid</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>9.20 ± 2.720</td>
<td>8.35 ± 0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.325</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy content (kJ/g)*</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>6.73 ± 0.570</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM of two replicates. There were no significant differences between treatments (p < 0.05).

* estimated energy values were calculated based on the conversion factors: protein 16.74 kJ/g and lipid 37.67 kJ/g (Yousif et al., 1996).

Discussion

Fingerlings averaging 3.38 g body weight stocked at 8/m² and 12/m³ in sea cage nets attained by the end of the experimental period (213 days) a comparatively reasonable body size of over 180 g. This size falls under the acceptable marketable limits in UAE (Anwahi et al., 1986). Compared to the results obtained by other workers for this species reared in floating net cages (Hortsmann, 1975; Tsueda et al., 1976; Tacon et al., 1990), the growth rates attained in the present study were superior. Beside the ideal culture conditions, this outstanding growth of the fish in the present study could also be attributed to the high protein content of the feed (52%). Basayri and Tanaka (1988) reported that *S. canaliculatus* grew faster under diets containing 58% crude protein than those fed lower levels. Parazo (1990) also demonstrated that diets containing high protein levels promoted good growth of *S. guttatus*. The growth of the fish was slow at the beginning of this study but accelerated in the later culture stages. The same phenomenon was reported by many researchers (Hortsmann, 1975; Tahil, 1978).
The results of the present study indicated that stocking density seems to have no negative effect on the growth of *S. canaliculatus*. Most of the studies on stocking density of different cultured fish species suggested that crowding of fish usually leads to suppressed growth rates (Holm et al., 1990; Bjornsson, 1994; Irwin et al., 1999; Yousif, 2002). Our results may suggest that the highest stocking density applied in this study (12/m³) is still much below the maximum possible density and that there is a possibility of considering much higher stocking density. However, the results of the survival rates observed in this trial may discourage such trends. Though not significantly different (p < 0.05), the survival rate of the fish under higher stocking density was lower than that of the fish under the lower stocking density. In both treatments, most of the fish died during July and August where water temperature and salinity reached 35 ºC and 55 ppt, respectively. The dying fish were observed to completely stop feeding, swim near the water surface and their body coloration became very dark.

Although crowding has shown to interfere with feeding efficiency of many fish species (Beitinger, 1990; Montero et al., 1999; Yousif, 2004), our findings showed no such direct effect. The relatively increased FCR values obtained for both treatments are not surprising bearing in mind that the feed administered to the experimental fish was in fact shared by other wild fish fry freely entering the cages. The fry of at least five different fish species, *Terapon theraps*, *Monodyctylus argenteus*, *Chanos chanos*, *Acanthopagrus latus*, *Sparus sarba* and a wild small shrimp species *Palaemon concinnus*, were observed actively feeding with the experimental fish. Tacon et al. (1990) attributed the poor conversion ratios of *S. canaliculatus* raised in floating cages to the extraneous fish.

The initial and final carcass composition of the fish in both experimental groups showed almost similar values for crude protein but there were differences in moisture, lipid and ash. This is in accordance with the findings of Parazo et al. (1990) which showed that only these parameters were affected. The carcass moisture was inversely related to body fat content. This is in consistence with reports for *S. canaliculatus* and other farmed fishes (Patrichia et al., 1993; Yousif et al., 1994; Catacutan and Coloso, 1995; Yousif et al., 1996).

In conclusion, the results of this study further suggest the potential of *S. canaliculatus* for small-scale commercial farming in UAE. However, this potential remains hindered by the high mortalities during summer time. Most of the previous efforts to develop the culture of this species in UAE have concentrated on the culture methodology and nutrition studies (Yousif et al., 2005). More focus is needed to identify the causes of high mortalities during summer time and how to contain them.
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